## AMUSEMENT RIDE SAFETY ADVISORY BOARD MEETING JANUARY 23, 2019

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE HARRISBURG, PA 10:00 AM

### ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

Deputy Secretary of Agriculture Fred Strathmeyer

Thomas Rebbie Philadelphia Toboggan Coaster Inc. W **Bradley Nesland** Dorney Park PA State Showmen's Association Jim Houghton Stephen Gorman Waldameer Park John D. Blaney, Jr PA State Assoc. of County Fairs William B. Hall, III Public Member John C. Pittman \*\*Via phone Public Member Kenneth Potter, Jr. Special Advisor John D. Makrias \*\*Absent Special Advisor Stephen Swika, III PA State Showmen's Association Robert A. Di Domizio, Jr. PE \*\*Absent **RAD Engineering Corporation** 

......

## **AGENDA**

- A. CALL TO ORDER Deputy Secretary Fred Strathmeyer
  - a. Sunshine Law Announcement
- **B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:** 
  - a. Approval of the minutes October 16, 2018
- **C. SECRETARY'S REMARKS:**
- D. DIRECTOR'S REPORT:
- **E. OLD BUSINESS:**

# **NEW RIDE APPROVALS**

MANUFACTURER RIDE NAME

DiEmidio Construction Bouldering Rock Wall DiEmidio Construction Ninja Warrior Course

Dragons Den Pittsburgh Adventure Courses & Ziplines

Vista International Mini Break Dance

Vista International Mini Break Dance Trailer Model

F. NEW BUSINESS: Knoebels Grove – Brian speaking on two new rides for 2019

Downdraft by B

Mini Break Dance (Tumberling Timbers) by Visa

HersheyPark - Gary Chubb - Major Modification - CupFusion

(formally Reese's Xtreme Cup)

- **G) BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS:**
- **H) PUBLIC COMMENTS:**
- I) ADJOURNMENT:

Upcoming Advisory Board meetings will be held at the Department of Agriculture at 10am in room 309

Tuesday, April 16, 2019 Tuesday, August 20, 2019 Wednesday, October 16, 2019

### COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

BUREAU OF RIDE AND MEASUREMENT STANDARDS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

AMUSEMENT RIDE SAFETY ADVISORY BOARD

JANUARY 23, 2019 MEETING

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE: Walter Remmert, Chair

Thomas Rebbie; Bradley Nesland; Jim

Houghton, Stephen Swika, III; Stephen

Gorman; Members

William B. Hall, III; John C. Pittman

(via phone); Public Members

Kenneth Potter, Jr.; John D. Makrias;

Special Advisors

Fred Strathmeyer, Deputy Secretary, DOA

Natalie Behe, Program Coordinator, DOA

HEARING: Wednesday, January 23, 2019

10:02 a.m.

LOCATION: Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture

2301 North Cameron Street

Harrisburg, PA 17110

Reporter: Lindsey Deann Richardson

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

ALSO PRESENT: Brian Knoebel, Brett Knoebel, Gary Chubb, Fred Liddle, Bill Petrucci, Giulia Petrucci, Dana Ziegler, Lucio Longhi

			3
1	I N D E X		
2			
3	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	5 - 35	
4	Fabtech	35 - 36	
5	Visa International - Mini Break Dance		
6	Park Model and Trailer Model	36 - 37	
7	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	37 - 42	
8	EOS Rides - Reese's Cup Fusion	42 - 44	
9	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	44 - 47	
10	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	47 - 50	
11	Dragons Den Pittsburgh - Adventure Course		
12	and Ziplines	50 - 59	
13	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	59 - 79	
14	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	79 - 82	
15	DiEmidio Construction - Bouldering		
16	Rock Wall	82 - 87	
17	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	87 - 90	
18	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	90 - 92	
19	DiEmidio Construction - Ninja Warrior		
20	Obstacle Course	92 - 94	
21	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	9 4	
22	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	94 - 107	
23			
24			
25			

			4
1		EXHIBITS	
2			
3			Page
4	Number	Description	<u>Offered</u>
5		NONE OFFERED	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

### PROCEEDINGS

-----

CHAIR: Good morning, everybody. My name is Walt Remmert. I'm the Bureau Director for Ride and Measurement Standards, overseeing the Division of Amusement Rides and Attractions, or Safety, which is apparently more apropos.

Some housekeeping items. I had to change the conference call last minute, so we might get some late people dialing in, because they might not have checked their e-mail. Skype for Business is new for the Commonwealth, and the person who set up the meeting, in this case was Yvonne, and if she's not here to sign in, then nobody can get in on that call. So I had to send out a new one.

This won't happen in the future.

We'll get better at it. It's new technology for us,
so I ask for your forbearance and forgiveness for
the last minute change.

I do have Joe on the phone with us, which is always a good place for him. He will be doing the lion's share of discussion, any of your questions for the agenda items, especially in the absence of people who were unable to dial in, if they didn't get the new number. So we'll keep our

fingers crossed and we're very hopeful.

I have rearranged the agenda and I'll discuss that along the way. I would like to ask Board members to remember that you do have a stenographer here, as well as presenters. Please state your name clearly and slowly. Board members, you don't have to do that, but people who talk to us from the gallery or from the telephone, we ask you to introduce yourselves one by one and spell your last name, if it's not a common last name. I think we can handle Smith and Connor, but everything else you should probably spell it and we would appreciate that.

Those of you on the phone, if it's more than Joe, please keep your phone on mute, as we can hear an awful lot of background noise, and I'm sure everybody else can hear.

And Board members, when you're speaking, please make sure the green light is on your microphone, and share amongst yourselves.

There is a push button that turns the light on and off. If you don't want to be heard, turn the light off. If you want to be heard, turn your light on.

And in the same respect, one at a time. That way the stenographer can keep track of

the discussion as it goes on.

2.4

I have moved a few agenda items around. And I want to recognize that Deputy Fred Strathmeyer is here, who will be chairing the meeting for us today. And I appreciate that. I know you have an awfully busy schedule with hemp and a bunch of other items.

So without further ado, we're at ten o'clock and would you like to open?

### MR. STRATHMEYER: Sure.

So first of all, I want to thank everyone for being here today. I wish you all a Happy New Year. Hopefully this year will be as good as we had last year, nice and clean, no problems.

And at any rate, again, I appreciate what this industry has done for the state. And I really, really appreciate the time and effort that this Advisory Board has put into the last four years that I've been here, and obviously prior to me being here. What you do is beyond exemplary. So again, I appreciate what you offer to the citizens of the state and beyond, quite frankly, all those people that visit our parks and ride our rides.

Just a matter of Sunshine - yes?
MS. BEHE: Yes.

8

```
1
                   CHAIR: Correct.
                                      Yes.
2
                   MR. STRATHMEYER:
                                      I need to do
3
    Sunshine.
                   I hope everyone's had a chance to look
 4
5
    at the minutes. I would ask for a motion to approve
    the minutes from the past meeting.
                   May I have a motion, please?
8
                   MR. PITTMAN: John Pittman, I make a
9
    motion to approve.
                   CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Pittman.
10
11
                   Is there a second?
12
                   MR. STRATHMEYER: Second, please?
13
                   MR. REBBIE: Aye.
14
                   MR. STRATHMEYER:
                                      Okay.
15
                   It's been motioned and a second.
                                                       All
    in favor?
16
    AYES RESPOND
17
18
                   MR. STRATHMEYER: Opposed?
19
    NO RESPONSE
20
                   MR. STRATHMEYER:
                                      Thank you. Okay.
21
                   Mr. Remmert?
22
                   CHAIR: It's me?
23
                   MR. STRATHMEYER:
                                      It's up to you now.
24
                   CHAIR: Good morning, everybody.
25
    Thank you, once again, for coming to our quarterly
```

Amusement Ride Safety Advisory Board meeting.

inception; not nearly as well.

I echo Mr. Strathmeyer's comments.

There is nothing quite like this in the Commonwealth. There are others that try to do what this Board does do, and it has done since its

This is one of those rare occasions that every aspect of the industry is represented to the benefit of all. Never to the detriment, always the benefit.

And as I was reminded several times, speaking to the Showmen's Association, it's all about safety. Right? Not just safety, but public safety. And then the further betterment and improvement of our industries, you know, respectively. There is no better physical sign of that than this Board.

I'm going to lean on you heavily, as I introduce the next topic, which I think I sent out to you by e-mail. So for those of you who are not aware or on the phone, somewhere around September of last year, I got a notion.

I've been in the position for about seven-and-a-half years or so, and have been constantly running up against statutory language and

regulatory language, our Act. Our Act was envisioned and executed perfectly in 1984. So that was when it was signed into statute. And since that day, it has been the backbone of how we do business here.

As of late, in my last seven years tenure in shepherding this industry, as far as regulators is concerned, we've seen an explosion and variety of new ride types and times and what is considered entertainment, what is considered amusement ride and attraction.

And I commence to getting my cocktail napkin out and jotting down a bunch of ideas, brainstorming. And I culminated that cocktail napkin, all of those brainstorm ideas, and I field it to the Advisory Board members, hopefully in a succinct - you know, that you took it as that, just a foundation, a starting point.

All right. So somewhere so we can start a discussion to decide if there's an appetite within the industry to modernize, to update the Act - the law that governs amusement ride safety and inspections.

There was no sit down with my boss or his boss. So this did not get generated from inside

the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture. This did not get generated from interest outside of the Department of Agriculture. No legislature, no Senator, no Representative, nobody approached us and said hey, touch this.

This was truly my desire to ask the industry if we could go - touch this as proactive, what would that look like? And could we do good by doing so? And then I threw down a bunch of ideas, from a regulator standpoint, on what we've run up against.

In that draft, that cocktail napkin, that brainstorming session that I put on paper, was ideas that could wrap our arms completely around what they do today. So I just want to offer a couple of comments.

The law doesn't contemplate, specifically, but it's been inclusive since the inception of the inflatables.

The law does not touch or say anything about amusement ride registration. And we do that as a method or mode to make sure that inspections are done. It specifically calls out fairs, carnivals and amusement parks, but doesn't wrap our arms around anything that's outside a fair, carnival

or amusement park.

And it was fantastic in 1984 and has been fantastic until today. And it will continue into the future, even if we don't touch the statute. But I want you to look at it, as the industry leaders, the Advisory Board, represent all various parts of the industry and say, in 34 years is it time to touch it again? And if so, can we make it better?

Can we now learn our lessons from all the things that we've known over the years, exclude things that don't necessarily need us to be inspected, or capture and codify, put into law, all those things that we do. So we have a good idea moving forward, when we're not here, right, - because we're going to shepherd this for the future - that they understand what the expectation is from now moving forward.

So we're getting a little far away from the inception of the law and what we do today. I promise you that.

Our folks are within the - not the letter of the law, but the spirit of the law. And it's very difficult, as a regulatory body, to act in the spirt of the law when you have to have it in

writing in order to enforce it.

With everybody in the industry, nobody has driven this, no tragic event, no, you know, specification. But I'd like to give an answer to the Commonwealth Association.

I'd like to categorize extreme sports.

I'd like to decide what the industry believes we should be overseeing and what we shouldn't.

But right now, the way the law is written and the way we interpret it, if it's an amusement ride or attraction - and that's a broad definition - we're doing an awful lot of things, way more than what amusement parks and carnivals and fairs expect us to be doing.

Is that what we should be doing?

That's the questions that I come to the Board and your respective organizations to help us try and answer.

Now, one last piece, of this - two last pieces. This is a project, not a requirement. If we gather it all and we have great conversation, and we get everybody's ideas and the consensus is they don't want to move forward with this, then we're not going to move forward with this. It's an all or nothing proposition. Right?

It could be the industry who wrote it in the beginning is willing to stand behind their collective product that we're asking you to be involved in for the good of all or not. And then I put the file in the folder that says 2019, we sat down with the industry representatives. We all decided collectively that, you know, it's not time to move this, for whatever reasons.

Now, I have a couple questions about the fees. Let me offer you this. I'm a regulator, there's no specific line item in the budget anymore for fees that cover the Amusement Ride Safety Program.

Not saying that it'll ever not be funded. And matter of fact, I expect it to be funded forever, right, with no change to the law, with no additional fees. But as a regulator, it is my requirement to look out for what I shepherd. And this program doesn't have a specific, ask for money, that provides guaranteed oversight of the program. That's why that fee language was included in that cocktail napkin, that brainstorming.

Overall, do I care if it's in there, if that's the consensus? No. Because it's a consensus document that we're trying to get here.

If there's adamant opposition, then take a Sharpie, and line item 417 off of a piece of paper, or whatever it might turn out to be.

But as a responsible representative of the industry, I offer you this. This is a moment in time to discuss these and put it in the statute, and then maybe regulation, depending on how that works. And say, this is what we're willing and only what we're willing, and no more or less, and put specific language in. Rather than have somebody open it up and change it in the future and throw something in there that you have no say in it.

Either way, it's okay. This is a starting point. This document that I sent out was a beginning and hopefully brings people to the table to discuss all the various items in it and not get wrapped around the fee part.

Although, I'm very happy the fees brought people to the table. Perhaps we would be having a conversation relative to trade organizations, but I'm not wrapping my arms around a fee. Regardless, the program will continue.

That's - if it has the perpetuity, I don't expect that to change. So this is not a ransom to get you to a table or say, hey, it's going

to change in the future. That is not at all -. 1 2 This is proactive regulatory with the Advisory Board 3 that was given - and its respective organizations. Did I get everything? Pretty good, 4 5 right? 6 So I'll invite comments at this point 7 or questions, but I'd like to keep them short, if 8 possible. And I'm certainly happy to answer them 9 further with individual organizations post meeting. But I was pretty much advised to get in front of 10 11 this and try to explain or express myself. 12 So takeaways. Fees are not required, 13 it's a discussion with industry. We're trying to do good here, right, and modernize a 34-year-old Act 14 15 that doesn't contemplate what we do today. 16 want consensus or else it doesn't go anywhere. I think that covers it all. So can I 17 18 field any specific question at this time? I'm happy 19 to? 20 You have to turn your green light on. 21 Right at the bottom. There you go. MR. HOUGHTON: Does the Bill have to 22 23 be open to do the fees? 2.4 CHAIR: Fees, yes. 25 MR. HOUGHTON: The Bill has to be -?

CHAIR: Yeah. The law - so a little bit about statutory construct, as far as I know it. Please go back and verify it, right. I'm not the expert. I did stay at a Holiday Inn Express, but not recently.

So laws give regulators general authority to write regulations that specify what the law allows. Right? So if it's not called out in the law, I can't add it by regulation. So if it doesn't exist in the law, in general terms, or even specific terms, then I can't do it in a regulation.

So specifically let me offer you this. I register people, because we put it in the regulations, but there's nothing to put - if you said, I don't want to register, nobody can do that, that's why I bring it up as an example.

But no, I'm not going to register.

There's nothing in my law that I can't fall back and say, here's your fine or here's your suspension.

It was constructed with the assumption that something's automatically wrong with it, but that's statutory. That law language doesn't exist. So the framework doesn't exist in the law, I can't write it in the regulation, and it can't be upheld.

So general authorities, specific

18 requirements general authority, does that help? 1 2 MR. HOUGHTON: Yeah. 3 CHAIR: All right. Mr. Potter? 4 5 MR. POTTER: What is your timeline for 6 this project? CHAIR: None. I don't plan on taking 8 It's really an appetite. I'm going to exceptions. 9 continue to chomp away at it and ask if there's information from each trade organization. 10 Right? 11 So I'm not going to let it lie fallow. I want to make as much progress until I run into a 12 13 wall where I can't get that particular industry to 14 come to the table and want to discuss it, and then 15 I'll move to the next item. 16 MR. POTTER: I could be wrong, but I 17 thought we got into this a decade or so ago with 18 Legal. 19 But anybody remember? 20 MR. HALL: Yeah, Mr. Wilson, wasn't he 21 the attorney that counsel met? 22 MR. PITTMAN: That was the regulation 23 ten years ago. Update it. 24 CHAIR: Yeah, I'll offer you this.

2008 and 2009, there was a moment that Mr.

25

Dillabaugh, along with Mr. Filoromo discussed changing regulations, which is a completely different process.

MR. POTTER: Did that happen?

CHAIR: It did, and it was -.

 $\underline{\text{CHAIR:}}$  Right. So they came forward and they said they wanted to change -.

MR. POTTER: It wasn't a comprehensive look at - I remember talking conversations about major mods and minor mods and we have rides in two classes only. Right? Correct?

So every ride is either one class or another. There are like two classifications for all - for every possible ride.

So that was all about regulations.

Regulatory change right now - and I thought we had a deeper drive at some point, but it might have been prior to that, it might have just been conversational, I don't think it - you know. It obviously didn't go far enough. But I don't want to besmirch my predecessors, but I'm better at it.

I know. I know. No, I've done it a

couple times here. Agriculture feels comfortable with the process and the support that we've been getting from all the departments.

But reality is if we don't have a consensus document to bring forward, as industry representatives and regulatory representatives, there's really - there's no further discussion to be had.

 $\underline{\text{MR. SWIKA:}}$  Well, back then I was a field inspector for the Bureau here, and -.

MR. POTTER: And a damn fine one.

MR. SWIKA: Oh, yeah. Well, I was the

13 best.

Anyway, we came in here, all of us field guys, with Mr. Filoromo. And we went line for line through the whole log and every regulation.

And as a consensus, we sat around the table, how can we make it better?

At that time I think we had some different things coming in. What was coming in then was these haunted attractions and stuff. So we had to address that as an attraction.

So went through this whole - top to bottom of this. So we did put a lot of time and effort into it back then, and some of the

regulations were changed.

So most of this stuff that you're proposing that we looked at and that proposal could be a regulation change, you know -. And you know, lest we get into the fee part, which all my organization - I just don't want it to get out of hand, if you know what I mean.

CHAIR: I agree. That's why
everybody's invited to the table. I was asked and
I'm still awaiting an answer, it's a longer
discussion on it, how much of the statutory, the law
changes can be accomplished by a regulatory change?
So that's the feedback I got back from Showmen's
Organization, and I appreciate that.

And I'll hopefully have that information - and it's a group discussion, so as we move through the different line items and discuss or not discuss them, we would include - this was an inclusive process. Right?

But I would like to keep the inclusive process to a representative for the industries who can then share it with the respective organizations as to not have - like ASTM, some of these larger organizations - the more people you have, then it becomes a little bit cumbersome.

So I leave it to the representatives and their respective organizations and industries to invite the appropriate people to the discussions when appropriate.

Yes, Mr. Potter?

MR. POTTER: Have you taken a look at any other states' statutory framework, as part of a - just a - just for ideas?

CHAIR: Well, I'm going to offer - this is something in perspective. Pennsylvania really got it right in 1984. And Pennsylvania's really got it right in '84 stands today.

So this current version of the law is the model for a lot of newer jurisdictions on how they wrote their current laws. Oregon and Colorado come to mind. Most definitely the - really the concept was based on how that collective group did it then.

All I'm looking for is - your answer is, yes, there's no other statute that could look anything like ours, unless it looks like ours because they took ours. Right? This is a one-of-akind model, so to speak, on how to do business.

MR. POTTER: I figured it wouldn't be that long of a look, because at least that was based

on - a large number of states that have no framework whatsoever. Some are very minimal and then some are, perhaps New Jersey-ish, is heavy on the top.

CHAIR: I like that.

Mr. Hall?

MR. HALL: Well, to answer you, I remember when the safety law went into effect 34 years ago, and I believe a lot of states then came to us as a model.

A lot of my political friends used to tell me go to New York State. They have a law for everything. In the amusement industry I think a lot of the states, especially New Jersey, when they had some fatalities, came here and modeled some of their laws after what we've done.

And I don't know all of the 50 states, but I would say that Walter's probably right when he says what we had 34 years ago is still top as of today.

CHAIR: I will add, because I should, Joe, has been blessedly silent for the moment. He and I don't agree. Right? Joe is a proponent of the way the law exists. And has, exactly what I hope he did, brought his expertise and experience to the table and calling out and questioning me on

every line item.

So I have to convince Mr. Filoromo, along with everybody else. And it's not trying to convince, I'm trying to get a discussion with all of the participants on whether we had the appetite to make changes.

And I offer you, in that document, changes and why. I think right now we have three field inspectors and quality insurance inspectors and one supervisor at the over 10,000 registered amusement rides and attractions, that the tens of thousands of itineraries that we have each year, are the QA inspectors doing what was originally envisioned.

Or have we broadened our scopes so much that we're deluded to the point that we're not doing the business that the law was originally intended for us to do? And is that the Commission - there's nothing else to call it, you know, when you have almost half or little more than half of the registered items as inflatables. Right?

And all of those things - and that takes some of our time. Is that where we should be focusing our time? It's an ask, not a requirement. Because right now the law says we do everything if

```
it meets the statutory definition of a ride or attraction. That included haunted attractions - right, with a temporary or permanent structure, not corn mazes.
```

As soon as ASTM would pass a hay ride model of rules, right, and once that is in ASTM, sure enough then somebody is going to ask us why we're not overseeing hay rides, the way it's - if it's an ASTM - Act 24 moments where it's considered an amusement ride or attraction, suddenly becomes something for us to provide oversight to.

Why we're here, I mean, the program can only grow as much as we allow it to and can only do as much as it's staffed to do. Right?

The 1,400 or so private inspectors make Pennsylvania what it is. But what's it supposed to be, what was the intent, that's what I'm looking for. But either way -.

MR. PITTMAN: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIR: Yes.

MR. PITTMAN: I don't want to

22 interrupt. When you're done -.

CHAIR: No, no. By all means.

24 Please, Mr. Pittman.

MR. PITTMAN: With the inflatables, in

the history of the inflatables in Pennsylvania, has there been any major accidents?

CHAIR: All right.

2.4

I'm going to speak for Joe. And Joe, if I don't have this right, let me know.

Mr. Pittman, there has not, because we regulate them so well. Right?

Look, the flyaway inflatables, these large 40 foot slide the block multi-piece with mechanical elements absolutely could get out of hand. But Pennsylvania treats the inflatables like we do every other amusement ride attraction. It goes through a rigorous oversight process. And we work with that particular industry, who tell us when there's unregistered folks, which means they're not compliant. Right?

And we spend some of our time going out and educating those folks and bringing them into compliance, like we would anything else.

Do I believe that if we did not provide oversight to them that we would be like some of these other Facebook posts or Twitter feeds that see kids on inflatables going away? Yes. Yes, I believe that. Does that mean that the legislature expects us to deal with inflatables? I don't know.

I don't know if that was the intent. But we do it.

2.4

MR. PITTMAN: Well, with the everevolving nature of, you know, amusements and
whatever that encompasses, I think we have been
proactive in policing some of these new things that
came to market. And it's difficult to know what the
future will hold. And we may have to morph and
continue to, you know, be flexible as new stuff
comes around the bend.

CHAIR: I offer you this, Mr. Pittman. I know that you had the opportunity to review it, but I have written my cocktail napkin notes with the understanding that getting a section that's allinclusive. Right?

So I rewrote definitions to include climbing walls and things that are traversed. And added language to say forced air, you know, captured air, that would solidify our oversight of inflatables.

But we've also expanded a section that says, at any point the legislator or a group consensus says we should add that piece into the area that's not for oversight, that area is ready to receive that.

We've added language that says we've

added a middle ground, which some of you might be boggled at, but it's just ideas on a piece of paper for an insurance-only system.

So if - we should inspect them or put them through the Advisory Board, but we still need to keep tabs on them and make sure they're at least insured. There's a separate section for that.

This may or may not make sense to folks. And then there's the hundred percent regulated inspected. Right?

So this is just ideas on paper.

They're binding, they're legal, they're valid. We could do it that way, if there was an appetite to.

I just throw out suggestions.

Right now the language is written that that law that I provided to you, that piece of paper, that cocktail napkin, encompasses everything we do today and foreseeably in the future.

I would like the group to look at it and say, is that what we want to do? Do we want to pare it down? Is there anything we want to exclude? Is there a better way to do business? Is there a more logical way to do business?

Just make the most out of what it is we're supposed to be doing. Understanding ASTM is

29

going to keep throwing - virtual reality is just the 1 2 next - the next iteration of the next best thing. 3 The next greatest thing is yet to be invented, but 4 it's coming. And how are we going to react to that? 5 With the last understanding - so we 6 can move the agenda along - if we change the law, if that's what it turns out to be, we automatically 8 have to update the regulations. So we get two bites 9 from one apple. The law changes, regulations 10 automatically change, because the law changed. 11 Right? The regulations cannot differ from the law. 12 So we had an opportunity to do both. 13 There is a process to do just 14 regulatory changes. It is less fun. And it is less 15 encompassing. But if that is the right way to do it, then we'll bring it to the group and say, here 16 17 are the items that our statutory law required, here 18 are the items we could probably do by regulatory change. 19 20 We would all have to be involved in 21 that separate process also. So I'll bring all of

that separate process also. So I'll bring all of that to the table, so we move through this discussion. Well enough?

22

23

24

25

Thank you for your indulgence right now. We'll get straight to the agenda.

MR. SWIKA: So the Pennsylvania Parks 2 Association -.

> CHAIR: I'll be there.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. SWIKA: We - yesterday I invited you to come to our meeting, our spring meeting, which we have a Board meeting on the 25th of February, so four weeks from now. You're invited to come even if we're not talking about this topic, you're invited to come.

CHAIR: I have it on my calendar. Ι appreciate the invite.

MR. SWIKA: I'd rather not get into the specifics of the line items that you've chosen to write about, but we'll have discussion before that and we'll be ready to discuss them with you, if you could come to that?

CHAIR: I would love to.

MR. SWIKA: Thank you.

MR. STRATHMEYER: So the only thing I would add - and again, I'll do it this way, this law was written 34 years ago or thereabouts. Obviously the people that did it, did a tremendous job, but I would question or put it out there to all of you as a fellow businessman.

I don't do business the same way I did

it 34 years ago, for obvious reasons. So I just simply would ask that as you're entertaining your thoughts, just think about how can we do business better. And that's really what Walt has asked of you and presented to you as, he has, in essence, highlighted some areas that have been certainly subjects of conversation through the years.

And they're simply asking you, as the people that are being regulated, to look at this moment as something not as a burden, but as something to make your industry that much better.

And that, I would just add to what - as Walt said, I didn't ask him to do it. He saw this as an opportunity to, again, take an industry that he'd been part of for the last eight years or so and try to put that knowledge to work.

And also, I will also point out to you again, is that - as Walt said, you have four inspectors. So think about 1,400 independent people. But think that this state has four inspectors and look at what is happening.

Going forward, if we are to take another path, I would hope that you get behind the thought process that says that we may need your help in adding to our complement, adding to our employees

to, again, ensure that the work that the law would end up seeing, that we can actually do what it's asking us to do.

So again, this is kind of my outsiderinsider moment, but, you know, for those of you have
gotten to know me, I'm just appealing to you as
somebody that has two grandchildren, as somebody
that has been very proud to be part of your group,
I'm just simply asking you to - as a business
person, look at - look at what it says, look at what
Walt is entertaining and think about it.

Like I said, you can decide, hey, we're good. Then that's okay, too. But again, I applaud Walt - and he didn't know I was saying all this. But I applaud Walt for taking this time, because again, in the four years I've been here, there's one thing that certainly I've tried to do with the Bureaus I worked with, was to make sure that all of the stakeholders were the first people speaking, because ultimately I was regulated, you're regulated.

Without having a voice in that conversation, sometimes it gets very - then it becomes burdensome for you and it did for me, when I got thrown into things. So I applaud Walt and his

team for putting it out there to you to get your input first.

I can tell you, for sure, there's not been a legislator approached. There's not been a conversation with legislators. That's another day, another time, if it becomes that moment.

So again, I would just appeal to you all as somebody that, again, quite frankly, has been very proud to work with you all and just take a quick moment, review it. And again, Walt put it out there, but maybe there's something he missed. Maybe there's a better way to word it.

And just remember, as he said, if it's not in the statute, then there's a moment that we are limited to what we can do. So yes, we can change regulations, but that doesn't always get you to the goal that maybe you want or place you want to be. So again, that's - that was a learning process for me, too. It's a structure thing. So you can't have one without the other.

So again, that's for everybody in the audience. Please - you know, as you're, you know, getting with your groups, please get involved. You know, you're here, had the opportunity to be here, Walt today. Please be involved in the

organizations. It's the best thing I can say to all of you.

That's it, Walt.

MR. FILOROMO: I would like to make a clarification. We do not - we do not have four inspectors, we have over 1,100 inspectors. The four people that work for the State are quality assurance to assure the credibility of those other inspections.

MR. STRATHMEYER: Thank you, Joe.

CHAIR: Thanks, Joe. All right.

Thank you for enduring and your patience.

Taking over this - again, I'll be available at any point here to have discussions with the interested parties. I think you've heard my piece. I really take my job seriously and move forward.

MS. BEHE: Make sure they say their names.

<u>CHAIR:</u> I got it. Yep.

All right. I've asked to kind of adjust our manufacturer ride approvals and allow, please, Knoebels and then Hershey to go. So I would invite Brian up to my phone and his folks up with him.

They're going to give you some insight into the 2019 season and what they plan at the And I'm glad to have you here.

> MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: Happy to be here. More fun than you thought. CHAIR:

> > So we're here

Right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So turn on the green light, please state your name and spell your last for the stenographer for us, and whoever else it might be.

MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: Brian Knoebel from Knoebels Amusement Resort. That's K-N-O-E-B-E-L.

12 And thank you for being here - oh, and 13 my brother.

MR. BRETT KNOEBEL: Brett Knoebel, spelled the same way.

MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: today to discuss two rides for Knoebels for 2019. One is simply trading a used Fabtech - at the time it was Darktron. Downdraft - Darktron no longer exists, it's now Fabtech out in Oregon. So we just simply traded it in, just like you would trade in your car on a newer model.

Very minimal changes. Such things as they are now using LEDs. We are moving the location of the air compressor, so it's easier to work on for

our maintenance man. It's going to be under the tongue of trailer. And the hydraulic motor is going to be a little easier to work on than it currently is.

So other than that, it's - everything else is status quo.

 $\underline{\text{CHAIR:}}$  Joe, do you want to touch it or do you want me?

MR. FILOROMO: Well, the only thing we still need information - that updated information and it should be no problem after that.

MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: Understood.

CHAIR: And for the Board members to understand. So we would present it here today if we had the information. But also understand that under the guise of a major modification, it's something that affects the nature of the ride, how it affects the riders.

In this particular instance it makes everything better. It affects it in a good way. So we're working closely with Knoebels to make sure all of the requisite paperwork are here.

What they're getting is an updated better, newer attraction ride.

MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: The other topic is

the other ride coming to Knoebels in 2019 is a Visa manufacturer from Italy. It's what they call a Mini Break Dance. We are going to rename the ride Tumbling Timbers. So there's a few of them out there already. Some of them look like a taxicabtype tub. Ours is going to look more like a hollowed-out tree.

2.1

CHAIR: That would be on today's agenda. We have most of the documents for that, if not all, or they wouldn't be presented to you. So we'll be able to see that specific one probably first up. It'd be a good time.

Any questions of Mr. Knoebel and his brother? So the Knoebels.

All right. Go ahead, Steve.

MR. GORMAN: I have a comment. The summary sheet, is that something that is the very first - in your records, when you want to look up this ride, is that the main thing - the first thing you look at?

MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: If we get it.

MR. GORMAN: The reason I'm asking is, the weight requirement is different than what's in the manual. So just for clarification purposes, that summary sheet should be correct?

MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: 1 Summary sheets is 2 - our checklist is something that we ask the folks 3 who submitted it to us. Without - it's kind of a quick at-a-glance checklist. And then typically we 4 5 have to go through it and then make sure that what 6 was translated onto the checklist meets the requirement. Ultimately the manufacturer 8 9 documentation stands, but I know that at a quick 10 glance that's our cheat sheet, so to speak, so we have some of the specifics of the ride. 11 12 MR. GORMAN: Other than that, I think 13 that it's very good, and I'm ready to vote. 14 CHAIR: Okay. 15 Well, we'll get to it on our agenda 16 and ask questions. 17 MR. NESLAND: So I have a question. 18 We have two of these models on the agenda, one's a 19 trailer mount, one's a park - or a park model. 20 MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: Correct. So -. 21 MR. NESLAND: They're both shown as 22 going to your park? 23 MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: No, there's only 24 one coming, and it's the park model.

The manual was just recently written

for the park model trailer and sent to Walt and his team. So they have that updated manual now.

Just, I guess, to help the process along, I have forwarded the manual of the - yes, I'm sorry, trailer model for them to just page through until the manufacturer has written the park model.

To my knowledge the - really the only difference is, one is higher, one is lower to the ground. So like less steps or a shorter ramp, so that's pretty much the only one I've seen.

MR. NESLAND: So then my question to Walt is, are we only - would be voting on a park model or are we going to vote on both?

CHAIR: Go ahead, Joe.

MR. FILOROMO: There are two separate ones. That typo putting Knoebels down, that was my fault, in a midnight fault here. But there's two models that went back and forth of different ways, where they do the one model with the option or two different models.

So we have two different engineer letters. We have two separate manuals for them, so we have two separate ride approvals.

 $$\underline{\text{CHAIR:}}$$  Yes. And I will take the hit. So this Board and the staff that supports it, Joe

being the stall work that does all the work for this.

Basically we try to wait three weeks out, you know what I'm saying, cuts off six weeks, and then we're at three weeks. And now we're the day before and hope to get as much information to the Board as we can.

That's no different. We try to be inclusive, and we try to - we just try to keep stuff moving. Just understand we're going to work with the submitters through the time of the Board -. And if it's not here today, we'll continue to work with you and get this done and bring it back to the Board at its next meeting.

But if we feel comfortable with allowing it to operate in the interim, we'll seek the Board's recommendation by e-mail or conference call and let them know what our intent is and then go through the full process at the next Board meeting.

It's just some of these rides, you need one of a kind, dealing with overseas manufacturers, getting translations of - from other languages, you know. We need everything to be just right, or else it just can't come to the Board

meeting. So that's - we'll continue to do that as well as we can.

2.4

MR. NESLAND: Just to clarify, I see this positively. I agree, two-in-one shot here.

CHAIR: I see this positive, too, I just feel like explaining myself. I haven't been here in a while.

MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: It's my understanding that the Bates Brothers Amusements are going to be purchasing a trailer mounted with - to get approval in Pennsylvania, so I think this just kind of expedites that process.

CHAIR: I believe that's what we're working towards. I'm positive. I believe that's what we're working on.

MR. PITTMAN: We'll have to wait -.

MR. SWIKA: Bates Brothers has theirs already. That was the one on display at IAAPA.

That ride was operated in Ohio for a Christmas thing inside the I-X Center. So that ride has a little track record right now. And I think Majestic Midways has one coming also down the road here for Pennsylvania, a portable one.

CHAIR: A big thanks to Knoebels.

MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: Trailblazers.

CHAIR: Always.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Are there any questions for Knoebels right now? We'll entertain their submission here shortly. I'm still going to let Hershey say their piece under new business.

We'll see you in a few minutes.

MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: All right.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIR: Gary?

 $\underline{\text{MR. CHUBB:}}$  I'll be happy to follow the trailblazers here.

My name is Gary Chubb. I'm with Hersheypark, and I'm here to talk, briefly, about a major modification that we are requesting. With my - with me today is my shy engineer, Fred Liddle, in the back here. Fred's here to answer any of the engineering questions, should anybody have any.

But basically, this major modification request is for our Reese's Extreme Cup Challenge Ride, which is a dark ride through type ride. We are changing the name to Reese's Cup Fusion.

CHAIR: Is your microphone on?

MR. CHUBB: Pardon me?

CHAIR: Is your green light on?

MR. CHUBB: It is now.

Okay. Do I need to start over?

Okay. All right. So the

modifications of the ride basically involve a portion of the track that was previously elevated, roughly 10, 15 feet up in the air, is now being brought down to ground level. Okay? So by doing that essence, we are lowering the forces on the riders. Okay?

The track section itself is being replaced by the original manufacturer or the manufacturer's subcontractor, it's EOS, out of Italy, who's a subcontractor for Sally, who we originally purchased the ride from.

The operation procedure's the same, the vehicles are the same. The inspections are the same, and the maintenance procedures are basically the same.

Inside the ride itself, what we're we basically gutted the inside of the ride and
instead of some of the animatronic-type figures that
were in there before, we're now going to have - in
the show portion of the attraction, there's going to
be basically a series of large screens with
projection systems. So we have a number of
projectors that are going to be throughout the ride

1 itself.

2.2

This is a type of ride that you go
through and you have a little - what do we call them
- amplifiers that are being used to aim at the
different characters that you see on the screen.
And the bad guys will be gone and the good guys will
still stay, so -.

Our engineer has certified that the changes do comply with the ATSM 24 standard, as well as Pennsylvania regulations under 7 Pa Code, Chapter 139.

Does anybody have any questions?

There will be more information

forthcoming to the Board to review as we receive it.

But as you well know, our parks and large

organizations like to come in and keep you well

informed and well ahead of schedule, as the work is

CHAIR:

So once again, thank you Hershey and Knoebels, Dornan and Waldameer and the rest of the participants here using the Board the way it's intended.

scheduled to commence or proposed to commence.

MR. POTTER: Do they keep score? Are people scoring in these cars?

MR. CHUBB: Yes, they are, Butch.

MR. POTTER: All right. 1 2 I'll be there. In fact, participants will 3 MR. CHUBB: 4 be able to get a wristband at no cost to them and 5 wear that wristband. And their score will be not 6 only registered in the vehicle, but inside, but also on a large video screen outside the ride. Little 7 8 value added there. 9 Will they put a headshot of CHAIR: 10 the person, too? Can you see who it is? 11 MR. CHUBB: I'm not sure on that one. 12 CHAIR: Any other questions for Gary? 13 Thank you, sir. You can stick around. MR. CHUBB: 14 I will. 15 Are there any issues if we CHAIR: 16 move the Mini Break Dance - Mini Break Dance to the top of the list so Mr. Knoebel and Mr. Knoebel can 17 18 defend those questions? 19 I'll put the stuff on the Board. T ' m 20 doing dual duty today, so please forgive. 21 I invite Brian and Brett back up to 22 the microphone to answer questions, and I'll bring 23 up the Mini Break Dance.

All right. I'm going to go back and forth. And Fred, if you feel up to it to help out

24

```
to keep things moving, are there any questions or
1
2
    comments from Board members concerning the
3
    submission of the Mini Break Dance, as the picture I
    showed just a moment ago was themed specifically as
 4
5
    Brian alluded to just a few moments ago, but in
 6
    essence, it is the same just with different teaming.
                   So I'm ready to move the submission
8
    around to those who have questions. So questions,
9
    comments?
10
                   MR. STRATHMEYER:
                                     Getting my steps in
11
    today.
12
                   Where did you get the model for that?
13
                   CHAIR: So this is, in essence,
14
    similar to a tea cup?
15
                   MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL:
                                        It is.
16
    picture that shows the solid green colors of
17
    turntable, slightly angled. And each tub - which
18
    spins - and then each tub will spin as well. About
19
    halfway through the ride, it'll stop and then it'll
20
    go in the opposite direction.
21
                   So then there's - there'll be a garden
22
    hose for cleanup afterward.
23
                   CHAIR:
                           Specific questions that can be
24
    addressed by the Board members?
25
                   Go ahead.
```

```
MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL: In addition to
1
2
    that, you know, the tubs are not free-spinning,
3
    they're motorized.
 4
                   This picture doesn't show it, but the
5
    operator is going to sit between where the two
    stairs will be.
6
                   CHAIR:
                            So the patron will have no
8
    control over the spinning of the tubs?
9
                   MR. BRIAN KNOEBEL:
                                        That's correct.
10
                   CHAIR: I'm always ready to entertain
11
    a motion.
12
                   MR. NESLAND: Motion to approve.
                           Mr. Nesland has made a motion
13
                   CHAIR:
14
    to approve.
                 Do I have a second?
15
                   MR. GORMAN: I'll second it.
16
                   CHAIR:
                            Thank you, Mr. Gorman.
17
                   Is there any discussion?
18
                   All right. All those in favor,
19
    signify by saying aye?
20
    AYES RESPOND
21
                           Are there any opposed?
                   CHAIR:
22
    NO RESPONSE
2.3
                   CHAIR:
                            Thank you very much.
24
                   Now, I'll ask the Board members, that
25
    was the Mini Break Dance, are we going to take - do
```

```
48
    you want to take a separate vote for the trailer-
1
2
    mounted version, or does this cover both?
3
                   MR. NESLAND: I'm fine with it being
 4
    both.
5
                            Is there anybody opposed to it
                   CHAIR:
6
    being for both?
                   MR. GORMAN:
                                 I'm not opposed to it,
8
    but I want to make sure the records are showing that
    it's not a Knoebels' ride.
10
                   CHAIR: Understood.
11
                   It is specifically Visa International
    Mini Break Dance, both the park version and the
12
    trailer version. It's no reference to where it's
13
14
    purchased.
15
                   MR. GORMAN:
                                I'll make a motion to
16
    approve that.
17
                   CHAIR: Okay.
                   Is there a second to Mr. Gorman's
18
19
    motion?
20
                   MR. NESLAND:
                                  I'll second it.
21
                   CHAIR:
                            Thank you, sir.
22
                   Is there any discussion?
23
                   All those in favor, please signify by
24
    saying aye.
25
    AYES RESPOND
```

```
1
                   CHAIR: Any opposed?
2
    NO RESPONSE
3
                   CHAIR:
                            Thank you again.
 4
                   Let me guery the crowd. Do we have
5
    representatives here from Dragons Den Pittsburgh?
6
    Do we have anybody here from the DiEmidio
    Construction?
                   Dragons Den win.
                                     So if you're
9
    representing Dragons Den, please come up to the
10
    microphone while I switch out.
11
                   This is Adventure Course Zipline
12
    Dragons Den Pittsburgh.
13
                   MS. BEHE: You didn't send in a
14
    registration form yet for your company.
15
                   Right?
16
                   MR. PETRUCCI: I did send it in.
17
                   MS. BEHE: Okay.
18
                   MR. PETRUCCI: I sent it to Joe
    Jardine.
19
20
                   MS. BEHE: John Jardine.
21
                   MR. PETRUCCI: I mean, John Jardine,
22
    last week.
23
                           We'll get it.
                   CHAIR:
2.4
                   MR. PETRUCCI: I can resend it,
25
    because I just looked at it about two minutes ago.
```

```
50
                   MS. BEHE: Can you send it to me?
1
2
                   MR. PETRUCCI: You're Natalie?
3
                   MS. BEHE: Yes.
 4
                   MR. PETRUCCI: Okay.
5
                   CHAIR: All right.
                   The floor is yours. Please introduce
 6
7
    yourselves, spell your last name, if you'd be so
8
    kind, each of you, as you take turns speaking. Thank
9
    you.
10
                   MR. PETRUCCI: My name is Bill
11
    Petrucci.
12
                   CHAIR: Make sure the green light is
13
    on.
                   MR. PETRUCCI: It's on.
14
15
                           Thank you.
                   CHAIR:
16
                   MR. PETRUCCI: Spelled B-I-L-L and
    then Petrucci is P-E-T-R-U-C-C-I.
17
18
                   MRS. PETRUCCI: My name is Giulia -.
19
                   CHAIR: It's not on.
20
                   MRS. PETRUCCI: Push.
21
                   CHAIR:
                           There you go.
22
                   MRS. PETRUCCI: My name is Giulia
23
    Petrucci. Giulia is spelled Italian name - the
24
    Italian way. G-I-U-L-I-A. Petrucci is
25
    P-E-T-R-U-C-C-I.
```

1 MR. ZIEGLER: Dana Ziegler, 2 Z-I-E-G-L-E-R, from Providence Engineering 3 Corporation. We're the engineering for the company. MR. PETRUCCI: And we also have with 4 5 us the person that actually did the physical design 6 of the ropes course. And that's Lucio Longhi, and it's L-U-C-I-O, L-O-N-G-H-I. 8 Thank you very much. CHAIR: 9 If you wouldn't mind too much, a brief 10 summary of where, what, how long and when? 11 MR. PETRUCCI: Okay. 12 CHAIR: Just to talk up your 1.3 attraction. 14 MR. PETRUCCI: Okay. 15 So basically, what we - what we're 16 doing is putting in an indoors ropes course in an 17 abandoned church in Homestead. 18 Homestead, as you all probably know 19 from many years ago, was the steel capital of the 20 world. Bethlehem may have - disagree with that, 21 folks in Bethlehem. 22 But since the steel mill closed, it's 23 been on a steady decline. This church closed in -24 about ten years ago. My wife and I purchased it 25 with no idea what to do with it. But we were tired

of seeing historic beautiful buildings being tore down in Pittsburgh.

So we decided to buy this church. And we got the idea after visiting Lucio's Park in Italy from my wife's hometown Lake Como. And decided to put a ropes course inside the church.

So we kind of solved a couple problems. We were able to save this building and have the kids in the area have something to help them get off the street. We're going to offer after-school programs for the kids and different mentoring programs to help them out.

And also, we are going to be open to the general public on - what we're planning on right now, Friday, Saturdays and Sundays, to kind of sustain ourselves.

So - and we did get our 501(c)(3) status as a certified nonprofit. We also did get the church historic - got the historic designation for the church through the HMC, so we've done a lot of leg work trying to get to this point.

So we're finally at the point where we have a - the design. We're actually in the process of - that's why we're here.

So we have two ropes courses. One is

just about three feet off the ground. It's 25, what we call stations. And there's various - we have towers that we're building that are made out of basically four-by-fours. And then there's other ones that are going to be attached to - we have 16-inch diameter, nontapered southern pine poles that are 25 feet long, that weigh every bit of 1,500 pounds each.

2.4

And that's the main structure that's going to hold up the little kids course and the upper - what we're calling the adult course.

Like I said, that's 25 rides for the little kids. The upper kids, there's 21. They're - and basically each one of those upper rides are going to be attached to that, that 16-inch pole. So that's really the structure that's holding everything up.

Dana and Providence Engineering were the engineers that designed the - the armoring system, the steel that attaches to the existing brick and stone walls that are in the church, which are about 20 inches wide.

So it's a pretty massive stone structure. And that's pretty much the overview.

Do you want to say anything?

 $\underline{\text{MRS. PETRUCCI:}} \quad \text{I just wanted to make}$  sure that the rendering is an artistic rendering. It's not the one that's in use -.

## MR. PETRUCCI: Right.

It just kind of gives you the concept of what we're trying to do. So you know, we opted - instead of square poles, we're using round nontapered 16-inch poles.

So that's basically some of the stationing that we have. Some of that has been - we're going to probably move some of those around a little bit. And I don't think that really matters to you all, but the basic concept - and that's showing the little kids' course, what we're calling the ten and under.

And the weight limit on that is 130 pounds. In the upper course we used 280 as the maximum weight for that.

There will also be a zipline from the former loft to the former altar. That's about 160-feet long.

Oh, and we also have one of our safety systems that we're using that we're implementing it from Italy that Lucio has on his park, is a - what's called a continuous - it's a continuous safety line.

And the way the continuous safety line works is we actually brought a - we did a mock-up of how that works.

It's basically a C-clamp. We use a half-inch cable that runs throughout the whole course. And about mid height, say around, you know, between your waist and your chest will be a continuous safety line that runs the whole perimeter. And the idea behind that safety line is that this gets attached to each participant.

They can't get off of that cable. So - and to get the way they move, if you show you it moves from station to station, so each one of these placards here are attached to holes. And they have to - and that's part of the fun of the game, is they have to figure out a way to kind of maneuver this thing through. But the good thing is that they can't unlatch themselves.

Some of the other lanyards, they have a tendency to break. And you can actually unhook both sides of it, and that gets really dangerous. And we've talked to numerous places in Europe, and they're all going away from the double - or the safety lanyards, because if they drop, they don't work.

So you have the possibility of unattaching both sides of that, which is certainly something that we don't want to have happen. So this avoids that from happening.

 $\underline{\text{MRS. PETRUCCI:}}$  And in case somebody gets -.

MR. PETRUCCI: Oh, yeah. And if somebody gets a little too scared to move along, there is a key that the person in charge has that can open that up and you can rescue the people off the course.

So it does open, but you have to have a special key to be able to open that up.

CHAIR: Well, I hate to tell you this, I don't mean this in a bad way. But we're really good at this, you've got a lot. It's almost quiz time.

MR. PETRUCCI: That's fine.

CHAIR: You ready? Because there's some specifics that Pennsylvania has learned over its time dealing with these type of obstacles.

I'm pretty sure you're going to entertain almost all of those questions, and it's going to deal with safety equipment and rescue procedures. And how you're going to harness all of

that and all the like. So if not, I'll be the leader of that.

2.3

2.4

But I'll open it to Board members for specific questions on this particular attraction. With the understanding that Joe and the submission cover anchoring design and specifics of the building itself and how the course is designed and built, right, so all of that specifications in there.

If you have specific questions of that, these are the right people here to answer it. We're a little particular-wise, but I agree with you that as long as you update your course design, once it's approved, it's approved not only equipment-specific, but site-specific.

Any changes, modifications to either equipment or the course design needs to come to us before. And I'm not saying it will need another approval, but it may, depending on how much change - if you change out safety equipment, more than likely we're going to have to have another conversation.

MR. PETRUCCI: Yeah. The only thing I think we're going to do is move the way the stations are.

CHAIR: That's fine.

MR. PETRUCCI: We had some issues with

Sargent's Court Reporting Service,

(814) 536-8908

some emergency exits, so -.

CHAIR: For Building Code purposes?

MR. PETRUCCI: Building Code issues
that we had to deal with. So that's really what
came up. So some of the rides had to be especially little kids' rides.

We're going to more evenly distribute them on both sides of the aisle, as opposed to having them all stacked on one side.

CHAIR: And I'm sure that what you've submitted was in strict compliance. I have not - I've looked at it, I just don't recollect, but though we appreciate European standards -.

 $$\underline{\tt MR.\ PETRUCCI:}$$  They don't actually - we're going by PRCA, ACT and ATSM, and -

CHAIR: Us, too.

MR. PETRUCCI: - and trying to take the highest standard of any of those, because, you know, we have a 14 and a 10-year-old. So even though, you know, we certainly don't want - that's the least, you know, thing that we want to happen.

So we want to make sure that we're safe, so we're actually taking everything to the highest levels within reason.

CHAIR: I understand.

Board members, questions?

MR. HOUGHTON: What's the rescue - what's the rescue procedure when you unlatch? Is that -?

MR. PETRUCCI: Yeah, we have basically what we're going to have is a rescue bag,
pretty much a standard rescue bag. And the person
would be - they would have two options, it's either
to walk them back, depending upon where they are in
the ride, or basically lower them from there, from
where they're stuck, in essence.

So again, we're - you know, a lot of that we've tried to outline. We've spent many - hundreds of hours on our manual, trying to get this thing fine-tuned as well as we can. But I think once we get everything built and open, that's something we're going to certainly have to fine-tune.

The height of the upper course is about 16 feet, just to give you an idea of where we are height-wise.

MRS. PETRUCCI: And the way we have seen it, the way I've seen this manual developing, it's like a living manual. So while we build it, we'll adjust.

But by the time we see, you know, new challenges and so on, we keep updating. And we'll keep updating you, if we decide to make modifications.

2.3

CHAIR: Technical difficulties.

Please continue Board members while I dial back into the phone conference.

 $\underline{\text{MR. NESLAND:}} \quad \text{I have one question for}$  you. And actually I have a comment first.

Are you private? You're not affiliated with any other companies that do this? This is just your private - you're doing this yourselves.

Correct?

MR. PETRUCCI: Well, not - no. No, we have, obviously, Providence Engineering. We're also working with the Adventure Network. They're the ones are going to certify the course when we're done.

Lucio has built many courses in Europe. So it's not like, you know, we just decided to look up one day and say, let's build a rope course.

And so - and we spent a better part of three years getting to this point. So we've done an

awful lot of research trying to figure out, you know, the best way to go about this. We've gone to the PRCA's conventions. We're going to the ACCT convention here in a few weeks. And we're also going to take a training through the State at the end of February.

MR. NESLAND: The only reason I ask is I think the manual reflects you've done a lot of work on this, and very comprehensive.

The one thing I don't think I saw in there is there weather restrictions? At certain wind we have to shut down or lightning storm we have to shut down?

MR. PETRUCCI: We're inside, so -.
MR. NESLAND: I saw some pictures with

16 trees and -.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

MR. PETRUCCI: That was just to kind of show what the rides were in between, but we're a hundred percent inside the building.

MR. NESLAND: Okay. So all right.

MR. PETRUCCI: Yeah. So that's the

22 kind of beauty of it. So when - Western

23 Pennsylvania, if you're familiar with Western

24 Pennsylvania, our weather stinks most of the time.

25 So -.

MR. HOUGHTON: So does ours.

MR. PETRUCCI: So you know, it's kind of an advantage - we're open. We have heat - we have a brand new heating system inside. Eventually we might even be able to put air conditioning in it if it goes really well.

2.1

So we, you know, don't really need to have any weather restrictions inside unless something - you know, there's issues outside.

MR. NESLAND: So you would never be attaching to a tree or anything that wasn't an engineered structure?

All right. That takes care of my other question I was -. That's it for me.

One of the things that we decided a long time ago to do, and that's I know - I'm sure that's another - that we're actually going to - wire hairnets.

So everyone's going to have to wear a hairnet, which would prevent any lice infestation.

And we're trying to come up with a system for a cleaning - or lean towards more of a - like a

vinegar solution type of thing.

mean, our goal, you know, we're going to be dealing with at-risk kids. And some of those sprays have a tendency to have some harsh chemicals in there. So we're trying to find a balance of something that will clean it and provide a more natural - a more natural way of cleaning it, put it that way, as opposed to spraying some kind of Lysol, which we don't know what the heck is even in that stuff.

So we're hoping that combination -. I think there was another question about one of the - one of the columns that we're - that volunteer or volt. And really, that was really meant for a new employee. So it was like a new employee checklist.

So you would have - the new employee would go through, do you understand this? And then it would be backed up by somebody who knows - or it's on page 25 of the appendix G.

 $\label{eq:so_I} \mbox{So\ I\ think\ -\ Mr.\ Gorman,\ I\ think\ you} \ \, \mbox{had\ that\ question.}$ 

 $\underline{\text{MR. GORMAN:}} \quad \text{Yeah, that was my}$  question. I didn't understand the world volt.

MR. PETRUCCI: Yeah, that should have been - that was a typo. I think we - the intent was

it should have been new employee.

MRS. PETRUCCI: New employees that volunteer. Initially they're - it's like a self-assessment. But you know, since I come from Italy, and they translate it directly from Italy, in my head, so that's how -.

So thank you so much for, you know, bringing it up, because once I talk to everybody, they say, no, it's not there.

MR. GORMAN: Well, thank you, I just like to learn, because I sometimes apply things that are better for my own business, okay, so that's why I ask that question.

And then in - you mentioned the weight restriction you have, but it's - what you stated was different than what you have in your very good manual. So you need to make sure that you - oh, it -.

MR. PETRUCCI: I'm sorry, it's 300.

MR. GORMAN: You said 130 pounds for the young ones, but it's stated 280 pounds.

MR. PETRUCCI: About 285.

MR. GORMAN: Okay.

It should be 285. Hopefully there are no ten-year-olds that are that much, but -.

65

MR. PETRUCCI: On little kids it's 1 2 130. 3 MR. GORMAN: Okay. 4 In the manual it says 285. That's why 5 I'm -. 6 MR. PETRUCCI: Yeah, and then for some 7 reason on the first page it should say 285, to be consistent. 9 CHAIR: Thank you. 10 Mr. Hall? 11 MR. HALL: Mr. Petrucci, I believe I 12 heard you say you were a 501(c)(3) organization. 13 Will you charge participants or entry 14 people for your attraction? 15 MRS. PETRUCCI: So we are, right now, 16 working with local schools. The location of the 17 Dragons Den is walking distance to three major 18 schools. 19 What we want to do is offer a - free 20 programs for them during the week. And then during 21 the weekend, on Thursday and Friday night, open to 22 the general public, to try to raise funds to pay for 23 these programs. 24 Also, during the week we are getting 25 in contact with the local schools in the area to see

if they would be interested in coming for field trips.

But that's how we got our 501(c)(3), to offer at-risk children and - in Homestead we have a very high percentage of poverty. Poverty-level children like - I don't want to tell you an exact number, because I can't really recollect right now. But most of the children, they do not pay for their meals.

So with the superintendent, the principal of these schools, we are coming up with, initially, a pilot group, who would have like 15, 20 kids. And we'll use the rope course as a center activity to give physical exercise.

But also we want to have a facilitator that after they navigate the rope course, that will sit down and help them translate what they've learned while they were doing the rope course into everyday life.

To give you an example, there would be a moment where we ask, you were on that platform and you took the time to make a plan, how to navigate from platform A to a platform B. And then you put your foot there. And you realize that a little piece of log suddenly spin on you, then what happen?

How did you feel? How did you come up with a different - how did you overcome your fear?

How - what was happening inside of you and how did you take charge of that?

2.1

We want basically to help these children to realize that there is a moment that they are in charge. You are in charge on the rope course, but you are in charge also in your life.

So there is a moment where it doesn't matter what's happening around you. You can make your decision. And it can be a decision that is different than anybody else.

And this is - we are working with psychologists and to be - way we look at self. It's self-confidence, but more than anything is the self-efficacy.

The fact that we want to empower these children. They can be different. Their parents, their family, their friends, because after all it's their own life, and they can shape it the way they want.

MR. PETRUCCI: Do you mean like a - the amount of money we're going to charge for, like, for participants?

MR. HALL: Yes. Your 501(c)(3), which

is a charitable organization, according to the IRS.

library?

MR. PETRUCCI: Correct.

 $\underline{\text{MR. HALL:}}$  Now you say you're going to bring like people in on the weekends and you're going to charge them a fee.

I'm curious, what is your proposed fee, or haven't you established that yet?

MRR. PETRUCCI: We have a range right now, because it all depends about our fund - we are in the fundraising phase right now. And once the other principal and the superintendent are saying is that we want to co-write, basically to - for a grant, to apply for a grant.

If we get that grant, that cover most of the cost for the children, they cannot pay for those - for their tickets, it's going to be one - one ticket for the general public.

But we were thinking something between \$25 to \$45, depending which section they are going to use. If they're going to use them all, for how long? But it's not definite yet, because we are working on our budget, as we speak.

MR. HALL: Are you close to the

MRS. PETRUCCI: Yes.

69 MR. HALL: Right in the Homestead 1 Munhall -? 2 3 MRS. PETRUCCI: Yes. 4 MR. PETRUCCI: Yeah, we're right 5 across from Frick Park. 6 MR. HALL: Okay. I know the area. 8 MR. PETRUCCI: Yeah. 9 MR. HALL: Thank you. 10 MR. PETRUCCI: We're probably six 11 blocks from the library. 12 MRS. PETRUCCI: Actually, the Carnegie 13 Library was very nice, because they suggest since we 14 have different activities besides a rope course, 15 that we'll also have acting and singing and opera 16 singing, and poem and poetry writing that they will 17 put into -. 18 The library was suggesting to use 19 their beautiful stage. They have a beautiful 20 theatre. I'm not sure if you are aware of it. 21 MR. HALL: I am. 22 MRS. PETRUCCI: So our kids would go 23 there to perform. 2.4 MR. HALL: Thank you. 25 MRS. PETRUCCI: Thank you.

Any other questions? 1 CHAIR: 2 Sorry for the back and forth, but 3 playing a tech guy on top of this, the phone dropped 4 out a few minutes ago. I think we're back on with 5 most of the folks. Some of them might try to dial 6 back in. It shouldn't stop the discussion. So Rebbie? Rebbie? I apologize, I 8 always get it wrong, every time. 9 MR. REBBIE: Is this your first 10 adventure into amusement? 11 MRS. PETRUCCI: Yes. 12 MR. REBBIE: Mind if I ask what your 13 backgrounds are? Did you come from amusement 14 industry or are you just coming in? 15 MR. PETRUCCI: I'm an engineer and my 16 wife's an -. 17 MRS. PETRUCCI: When I - I'm the one 18 actually - my children went to Lucio's Park and they 19 came home and they were so enthusiastic and they 20 were talking - they went with my 81 years old aunt. 21 So when they came home, they talked so 22 much about what it meant to float in the air for 23 them. And so I didn't know anything about rope 24 courses at the time, and I decided to go and visit.

Then this is when I realized there a

lot of school buses with a lot of children. There were autistic children, too. I talked to the teachers. Because in Italy, we do not have like Kennywood, amusement days that during school, so it didn't make any sense there were all these schools on a field trip.

And when I talked to the teachers, they explain the benefits that these children were having using the rope courses. And they could see better grades, better behavior in school. So I got intrigued and I started studying exactly what that meant.

And I studied for several months. And I talked to some friends that are psychologists, teachers. Then I decided to reach to the owner of that park and ask them if they could come here, look at our place and see if it was feasible to have a rope course built inside that would also - would also allow the participant to admire the architectural detail very close.

Because the church is part of the building. The architecture is magnificent.

So he came here three years ago. He started at the church and came up with the design of our rope course. And after that, I went and I

worked at his park during the summer, my daughter and I, because I wanted to see exactly what it meant to have a rope course and how to put harnesses on and how to talk to the participant to see the challenges, to see the maintenance part, what you do before, during and after.

And after that summer we came back and we decided that was what we wanted to do.

MR. REBBIE: It's very commendable.

MRS. PETRUCCI: Thank you.

MR. REBBIE: Good luck with everything. It's going to be a challenge, more so

than a rope course.

MRS. PETRUCCI: Thanks.

MR. STRATHMEYER: So a quick question I had was, so as far as staffing when you talked about teachers and you talked about volunteers.

Again, my background is not this ride, it's in another industry.

But having been here for a couple years, I've listened to some questions that came up. And some of the things are related to how many people would be on the course versus how many people are actually able to be in attendance and watching.

And when I hear that, you know, you're

trying to be working with children with, you know, special needs - so that's obviously -. And I'm going to say obviously for me. Obviously been taken into account as you've putting this whole thought process together, as to how many people you need, basically on the course close to the children and so on.

How are you - how are you hoping to get that type of, you know, volunteer per child, per how many - my grandson's autistic, so I understand a little bit of this.

So you know, I realize how important it is to have a very - you know, a - very few students and at least a person, and that relationship, as you're trying to build these children, I suspect is - has been something you've looked at as well.

So not only for safety - and that's where I'm coming from for this group, is there's this safety factor. I realize that you're - you're working with the teachers and psychologists and all that. But from a safety standpoint and then their training, because again, this is a different type of training that these individuals have taught me in the years I've been here.

There's specific things that need to fall in place, so there will be a different type of training for people watching the course versus those people that are working with the children on the ground. So has - that's all been taken into consideration?

MRS. PETRUCCI: You are very correct, so what the safety we would have employees that will be trained for the rope course itself.

We have already, with Lucio, and he's experienced - he already told us, you have to have one person here - here all the time. So we already knows - we already know exactly where to put these people.

But this has - as you said, it doesn't cover when you have like autistic kids or kids with other physical or mental challenges. And so because we want to do things - we are learning in the process, so initially our idea is to do a soft opening.

What that means, we will not be open to the general public. We'll be open to single school that will give us - will send us like ten kids at a time. And we know ahead of time that there will be ten kids. So we can prepare for those

kids. We can make a customized experience for them, depending who those kids are.

And when we - once we are very comfortable with that, then we will decide to challenge ourself a little bit more. And we are working with the single teacher - these teachers know exactly about the challenges of these children.

And we will have - another thing I wanted to make sure, we will never have volunteers that we take - that will be our instructor for the challenge course. This is against the - what we think that we need to - professionally we need to know exactly what to do and so for safety, those will not be volunteers.

Then the volunteers will be on the ground for what I was discussing before, when we need to help the children to understand how they can translate the experience on the rope course and the other activities in their daily life.

For autistic children and children that have more challenges, we will request the support teachers and other teachers or the parents, because we need somebody that knows exactly what kind of challenge that kid have.

MR. STRATHMEYER: And I mean, that's

where I was going. You know, it's one thing to have employees that might be at a - at this type of facility where it's as Mr. Hall said they charged.

And they come through and their expectations are X.

And you know, again, if this Board's taught me something, it's all about the safety. And you have an elevated moment where I just wondered, you know, what your expectations are going to be moving forward to how well-trained a person is.

Because you're not dealing with necessarily a normal child that might be there. So I'd just throw it out there as your - you know, you have an extra added challenge when it comes to the safety of the individuals.

MRS. PETRUCCI: Very true.

MR. PETRUCCI: And the other thing, too, is that we're probably going to concentrate the kids that are more challenged on the lower course.

MR. STRATHMEYER: Yeah, sure. I understand.

MR. STRATHMEYER: I guess what I'm getting at, is your employees are going to have - have to be trained at a different level, as compared

to somebody else that's out in these types of amusement rides?

2.4

MR. PETRUCCI: Correct.

MR. STRATHMEYER: And as long as that's being addressed. Because again this is a little - this is definitely unique to certainly the first time I've heard of this experience and maybe other Board members as well, but I'm extremely happy to see this happening.

But I realize it brings on that added moment where we're going to be actually faced with a - so how do they train - you know, how do they train their employees? What requirements might this Board ask of those employees that you're asking to train? Because it's going to be a different moment for that type of employee, in my mind.

Again, I'm not sure what the other Board members think, but that's what's going through my mind.

CHAIR: Just to be clear, they're - across the industry there are parks and carnivals and fairs and other operators who take into the same consideration and already have programs in place at their locations or on the road for special needs consideration.

So there's - the industry is wellaware and appreciates everybody who takes that into consideration. But there are lots of models out there you can glean and get information from and get information from and assistance.

The large established parks brochure and many of the carnivals and operators who operate around that - you know, that's what they do, is for those kind of assistance. So yes, our industry has collectively gone that direction for a long time now, and received some notoriety for it across the Board, essentially a place being one most recently.

Is there a question part of that, or just discussion?

MR. STRATHMEYER: No. I just wanted, again, just as long as they're aware that, and I'm sure they are, that there is going to be a need for additional training when it comes to those employees, that's all.

MRS. PETRUCCI: Absolutely.

CHAIR: Have we answered all of the questions of the Board members?

As always, I'm searching for a motion?

Is there an appetite?

MR. REBBIE: So moved.

79

1 CHAIR: Is there a second? 2 MR. NESLAND: Second. 3 CHAIR: Thank you, sir. Is there any discussion? 4 5 All right. All those in favor, please 6 signify by saying aye. AYES RESPOND Are there any opposed? CHAIR: 9 NO RESPONSE We'll be in touch in a few 10 CHAIR: 11 days with the follow-up paperwork. And if there are modifications, please let us know. And we thank you 12 13 very much for the hearing today. 14 We'll probably have some specific 15 questions about your submission. That's just 16 housekeeping. We can do that after the meeting. 17 Thank you very much for your time. 18 MRS. PETRUCCI: Thank you so much. 19 MR. PETRUCCI: Thank you for your 20 time. We really appreciate it. Thank you. 21 CHAIR: All right. 22 I've been reticent to ask this past, 23 did anybody need a moment's break? A five-minute -? 24 We have two left, they're all both from the same 25 book. Do we need to take five? I think I got all

the technical issues addressed.

All right. So we're going to go and take five minutes. We'll reconvene here at 11:40 and we'll knock out the last two, the Bouldering Rock Wall and the Ninja Warrior Challenge, the rest of the agenda.

(WHEREUPON, A SHORT BREAK WAS TAKEN.)

\_\_\_

CHAIR: We're back at it.

Behind me is companion, so there's one location, two separate submissions, because they are two separate activities.

One is a Ninja course. Let me tell you, as Fred reminded me, per our discussion before, we do all kinds of things that just were never contemplated in the beginning. This is - that zipline challenge course was one, climbing wall in general, bouldering walls, call them what you will, ninja courses, companion trampoline parks everywhere.

You know, just zipping outside the scope of what 1984 originally contemplated. Another reason why I have a lot of angst. And as we move forward and do good business on behalf of

Pennsylvania, we want to be righteous and have the wherewithal to do what we're doing.

2.3

So I just - Fred brought that up to me. I want to remind us, not in our scope under the current law. It's not a fair, it's not a carnival. It's not amusement park activity.

In the general sense it's an amusement attraction, but arguably, depending on what organization you belong to, they could call it a gym activity and we could be in a fight with this. But 99.9 percent of the time the owners and operators want to do the right thing and choose to not argue with us and participate in this process and continue through that process.

But as you all well know, Pennsylvania is an expensive place to operate by virtue that you provide your own inspectors and that continuing education requirement, which is really the bulk of the effort and time spent on top of it all.

So set the stage, so Mr. Kelley or

Joe, I don't know if you want to do the

introduction, but I think we should entertain - who
do I have first?

The Bouldering Rock Wall under

DiEmidio Construction, so - so if either one of you

would like to do the introduction to the Bouldering Rock Wall, it would be greatly appreciated?

1

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 MR. PITTMAN: I don't have all the specifics in front of me. Do you have that, Joe? 5 How high the wall is and things?

CHAIR: We do. I guess you can give a summary and we can let the Board ask questions and I'll go through the documents as necessary.

## MR. PITTMAN: Okay.

Well, good. I'd rather you did it, because I just don't remember right off the top of my head.

That's fine. And we'll take CHAIR: you as an expert.

15 Joe, do you want to touch it or do you 16 want me -?

## MR. FILOROMO: Okay.

Yes, this facility is, as we see - as Walt said, it's under the definition of amusement attraction. One of their attractions was a climbing wall, but at the time they didn't have any fall protection.

We worked together for them to use a fall protector included in this manual. And we've got to where we felt a safe operation here.

can't fall and get hurt.

CHAIR: All right.

Then I'll touch it some more, because

4 I can.

1.3

Wow, trampoline parks, gym ninja obstacle courses. I'll call it the next thing. We would call it a fad, because we've been around long enough, but there are many, and the construction and operation is unique to each location. Right?

You can fabricate steel and put up, you know, preordered obstacles, but every variation in the manner in which you design and implement and install them is new to us. And we call them site-specific and obstacle-specific. So any time an operator submits a challenge course or a wall to us or changes the manner in which they operate comes back to us to make sure they're in compliance with the standards, both our law, ASTM requirements.

There's been a change in dynamics.

Before you used to want to hook up to an auto-belay system, right, and allow people to free climb. Now climbing is part and parcel integrated into these challenges courses as one of the elements. A completely separate element and they want to call it bouldering. Call it whatever you will. You're

traversing a climbing element.

And bouldering, specifically, they want to be free-climbing. Now, belay really does not hamper a climber, and traversing means now instead of going up all the way, they're going left and right and up to a certain height. So they want the option or opportunity to free climb minus the belay and fall into padding or foam.

All right. This is just been a challenge for ASTM, as you take what were also antiquated standards, based on a specific ideal or idea of how things are due (sic), and try to adapt it to what climbers do outdoors bouldering, free-climbing and make it an amusement attraction, because you're inviting novices in for the pure thrill of it. That's our linchpin. Right?

We're charging them come in to use this equipment. They're not there in the gym environment and they're working on their craft, or their sport. They're just - it's a birthday party and they're free-climbing. We have to provide oversight and protection.

Well, how do we - how do you adapt that model of standard and get to us and still meet the requirement of the business owner/operator that

believes they have customers while protecting the public safety?

Again, this is why I want to bring your attention well outside the scope of what 1984's law version typically intended us to do or gave us the authority and oversight to do, but we do it to the best of our ability.

So in this particular case, we go, take the most applicable standard. And I'll jump to 2291 of ASTM, which basically says there's no standard for climbing at all, because it's being modernized, as far as fabricated climbing walls, which I found to my complete horror, for lack of a better word.

So now you go to a standard in Act 24 that says, when you don't have a specific standard for something, you adopt all the other general standards that apply. Fall protection, belay, climbing in general, nonskid surfaces. And there are some specifics the foam hits and whether they're applicable or not.

And that's our conversation that we've had with our Pennsylvania engineers as they try to certify these unique, one-of-a-kind attractions coming to us. Hence our conundrum, right?

So we're just trying to do things better. And in this instance, Mr. Kelley was not new to this, by any means. He's come through our gauntlet on many occasions. And on this particular submission meets all of the applicable ASTM requirements and Pennsylvania law in general, with both fall protection and manufacture, construction, installation and operation of the climbers.

I think I've covered it all. So we'll open it to questions now, both general and specific for either Mr. Kelley or the program.

MR. KELLEY: Climbing walls is included on 2959, the aerial course. It says it covers 29-inch climbing walls, but it really doesn't say anything specific to climbing wall.

CHAIR: That's my reference to the - as Joe educated me, ASTM was moving their old standard to the new standard, put it in that area, but never fleshed out any content or is in the process in a subcommittee working on content.

So I agree, it does apply to it, but there's nothing in there.

MR. KELLEY: Right.

Except for the fall protection, the belay, the harness and all, which is the most

important thing in this, that is in there. 1 2 CHAIR: Right. 3 That's why we can say it's applicable 4 to at least the standards that currently exist. 5 Thank you for the clarification. 6 to the questions. MR. GORMAN: So Joe, did you say that 8 you've been to the site? 9 I sent Randall. MR. FILOROMO: 10 MR. GORMAN: Okay. So Randall. 11 Did you also say that they do require 12 harnesses? 13 CHAIR: Yes. 14 MR. GORMAN: Because the manual does 15 not say that.

MR. FILOROMO: There's a belay in this attachment here. Oh, geez, I don't know what page it is, but it's in here.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. GORMAN: All right.

So when I did the review, the manual says you don't need a harness on the bouldering wall. And their website shows someone climbing without a harness. So that's why I was - that's why I - now I'm confused.

So you guys got to tell me what's

right.

CHAIR: Yes. They have recently added the requirement and the belay system, which is the belay system we've seen previously is a true belay.

 $\underline{\text{MR. GORMAN:}}$  So they must correct the manual then?

I agree, we may not vote on this today until we get it all, but we're actually letting you know that they have complied with our requirement for belay.

MR. GORMAN: Okay.

Another question, maybe Randall knows it, but they - I know I talked to you, Bill, by e-mail maybe, but their engineers report said that they recommended some structural changes to the design. And I want to know if they did. And Bill thinks they did, but I'd like to get confirmation.

MR. GORMAN: Thank you, Bill.

MR. FILOROMO: Page 20 through 23 cover the true belay on the attachment you should

```
89
1
    have.
2
                   CHAIR: I'm changing jobs.
                                                I'm going
3
    to go look.
 4
                   MR. FILOROMO: I don't know where it
5
    is.
6
                   CHAIR: I'm looking.
7
        (WHEREUPON, A PAUSE IN THE RECORD WAS HELD.)
8
9
10
                   CHAIR: Yep, it's all here.
11
                   You got lucky, Joe. I found it.
12
                   MR. FILOROMO: Okay.
13
                   Now, I might have missed a part.
14
                   MR. GORMAN: Do you want to see where
15
    it says in the manual? I can tell you what page
16
    it's on.
                   CHAIR: Just tell me. Tell me what
17
18
    page they added all the requirements for the
19
    harness.
20
                   MR. GORMAN: Page 16 of our pdf.
                                                      Back
    up. Keep going, next page. Right there, that
21
22
    Bouldering Rock Wall paragraph, middle of it.
23
                   MR. FILOROMO: What page are you
24
    talking about? Now what?
25
                   MR. GORMAN: Page 16 of the pdf.
```

had the description of the attraction and it talks about the Bouldering Rock Wall. It's says bouldering with no harness.

2.4

MR. FILOROMO: That should have been taken out, but the owner himself had the intent that they - a whole bunch of other things to use in the harness and belay.

Det's use this moment as an opportunity. We'll address the - we'll go back through the submission to clean it up. So I will - if there's a desire to make a motion, then that will be contingent on that. If it does not, we absolutely understand, but the original submission was minus any kind of belay.

Currently now the owner/operator understands that they're going to operate solely with harness and belay. That's the only way they're going to operate.

Are there any questions concerning this particular submission that we can answer, hopefully?

All right. Because of the nature of the submission, is there any entertainment for a motion?

MR. GORMAN: I'll make a motion to

91

```
approve it, with the condition that the manual is
1
2
    corrected for the issuance, as required on the
3
    bouldering wall, and to verify that structural
 4
    changes recommended by the engineer were complete.
5
                   CHAIR: Is there any modification -
6
    well, let's do this.
                   Is there a second for that particular
8
    motion?
                   MR. REBBIE: I'll second.
10
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you.
11
                   Is there any discussion about that?
12
                   MR. FILOROMO: Randall has already
    clarified those things, but we'll buckle down on it.
13
                   CHAIR: Well, the point is the
14
15
    documents have to reflect it, Joe.
16
                   MR. FILOROMO: Right.
                   CHAIR: Yeah, that's all he's talking
17
18
    about.
19
                   All right. So motioned and seconded.
20
    Any other discussion?
21
                   All those in favor, please signify
22
    with an aye.
2.3
    AYES RESPOND
24
                   CHAIR: Are there any opposed?
25
    NO RESPONSE
```

CHAIR: Okay.

Let's move on to the ninja challenge course version of this. Joe, do you want to give an overview while I bring up the documents?

MR. FILOROMO: Just basically it's an obstacle course type of thing. We've had several before. It's pretty self-explanatory.

CHAIR: He's super helpful sometimes.

MR. FILOROMO: Well, when they wrote this law, they kind of talked about, we don't know what's going to come along on it, everybody made an issue of rides and attractions broad.

Now, what you consider as an amusement park of a carnival or a fair, that's another story.

Now, I don't know how that - but anyhow, this stuff is amusement attraction. We have all - still worked out all the structural information, so on and its supervisor and operators and so on.

CHAIR: So I'll add a little meat to the discussion. So when we get these - and we're much better at them today than we were a year and a half or two years ago - we want the manufacturer certification for the structure. The manufacturer certification for all of the elements involved, and in what order the elements are going to be installed

and how. Right?

So that's all under structural design. What fall protection is required based on what element they're navigating, padding required. All that is covered in ASTM.

What Pennsylvania does and does well today, more better, better than it did previously, was that we're doing these site-specific. Because each unique installation where the equipment could be the same, the elements may not be in the same order, and operational concerns are part of what we approve.

So we approve the element, the pieces, how it's installed, right, to make sure the building meets the requirements and is capable, especially when it's being affixed to a permanent structure.

And also how that individual operator is going to operate. So those are all three elements.

By the way, let me go back to the law that we talked about. I added that language in there, because none of that was in there. It was just the manufacturer piece and not the other two.

So we are as equally concerned about installation and operation as we are about the manufacturers, right, and the design elements. We

just are. It's what we've been doing. So in this
particular case, all of that is inclusive.

Are there questions from Board members concerning this specific submission?

MR. NESLAND: So Steve's question about the recommendations, recommendations were also made for the ninja course, were they also performed?

CHAIR: Mr. Kelley?

MR. KELLEY: Yes.

CHAIR: There you go.

MR. NESLAND: Okay.

Now, one of the things in their structural engineers letter said they wanted non-destructive testing done on a six-month basis and a 12-month basis. I'd like to see that moved into the manual. That way when somebody reviews the manual, they know it's not just in the letter.

MR. KELLEY: Okay. That's good.

CHAIR: Are there any other questions?

Okay. Is there an appetite for a

21 motion?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.4

25

MR. REBBIE: I'll make a motion to

23 approve.

CHAIR: Is there a second?

MR. NESLAND: I'll second.

95

```
1
                   CHAIR:
                           Will you state the conditions
2
    of the motion, if there are any?
3
                   MR. REBBIE:
                                What Brad said.
                           That's perfectly fine.
 4
                   CHAIR:
5
    Brad said is that the submission clarifies that the
6
    work that was requested to be done was done and that
    non-destructive testing actually appears in the
8
    manual
9
                   MR. REBBIE:
                               Correct.
10
                   CHAIR: So for the record, it's been
11
    moved and seconded. Is there any discussion?
                               Then I ask for a vote.
12
                   All right.
13
    All those in favor, please signify by saying aye.
    AYES RESPOND
14
15
                           Are there any opposed?
                   CHAIR:
16
    NO RESPONSE
17
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you, Mr. Kelley.
18
                   MR. KELLEY: Thank you.
19
                           That currently concludes the
                   CHAIR:
20
    approval portion of the agenda. This is, obviously,
    the opportunity for Board members to have a moment
21
22
    or several moments, if that is your will.
23
                   So at this point I open it up to Board
24
    members who have comments, questions and concerns.
25
    I know you're going to, just who's going to go
```

first?

MR. HOUGHTON: Has there been any consideration given to - this is how - the other side of the regulation we're trying to get. Has there been any consideration given to what yourself and the members of the Association spoke about a couple weeks ago with you?

CHAIR: Yeah. That's - let's - to absolutely be clear, I have taken all of our conversation and wonderfully drafted a proposed letter of the points to legal counsel, to determine how much we can do by regulation. And that answer will be provided not only to the Showmen's Association, but also PAPA and the other industry folks as transparency. Right?

That's what it said. As a proactive project, I want to provide all of the information to all the people involved all the time, so everybody is operating on the same premise. Right?

So we have all current information. I have not got a response back. I suspect much of it can be accomplished by regulation. As discussed in the meeting, I'm not sure of that. And then the appetite will be, is it worthwhile to move forward in this way than that way?

All right. So this just creates a larger conversation, which I'm all - that's what I'm looking for.

2.3

MR. HALL: I believe at one of our recent meetings some comment was made about cell phones flying out of the pockets or containers or whatever of people on amusement rides. And I think we were instructed to come back with suggestions at a subsequent meeting as to how cell phone maintenance should be handled.

And it seems to me the most obvious way would be to check them before you get on the ride or the attraction, turn them in, and I don't know if I'm wrong or right, or there's a better way to do that.

CHAIR: You're right, because that's currently the model that is expected at parks, fairs and carnivals. That says, hey, when you come here, the individual ballistic or the ride would cause you to go ballistic, which means you're moving at not of your own control and anything that's not secured to your person should be removed, as it could create a hazard.

If people choose not to comply, the question always becomes, as a ride operator, ensure

that that person's compliant, not just for their own safety or that item becomes admissible to those outside the exclusionary of the ride.

MR. HALL: Well, that could create an added manpower or woman power problem for the ride operator, but what other better ways to do it?

CHAIR: It continues to be the ongoing question on the best way to do that and not infringe on peoples' rights, and also not to slow down the cycle of a ride operation. They're already hindered by how many they can - how quickly they can move that ride through.

MR. HALL: Second point - were you finished with that?

CHAIR: We could be.

MR. HALL: Second point, I got a flyer or magazine from Northampton Township Parks and Recreation, which is in Lower Bucks County, Pennsylvania. And they were talking about some of their summertime attractions. And they had pictures of Camp Explorer, which shows people on ziplines, wall climbing, and going through obstacle courses.

I don't know whether they bring this in, in a temporary basis or whether they go somewhere, but from the pictures, I get the idea

it's done on a temporary basis.

1.3

2.4

Do we ever get notified about these municipal groups surrounding recreation programs and bringing in some of the attractions or devices we should be maintaining or observing or policing?

CHAIR: Do we get notified? This particular place we can look up, because it would be registered with us, whether it's under this -.

 $\underline{\text{MR. HALL:}}$  Well, I'm sure there are other ones, because I know they have meetings and they exchange ideas on what to do for their summer programs.

CHAIR: So we do - with the understanding that the programs first and foremost is public safety. But hand in hand with that is education. Right?

So there are companies that exist that we run across by happenstance or if reported to us by other registered entities, and that's most of the time, where some observant person will look in the local newspaper. Because all of these are ride attraction locations and we need people to come to them, so they're advertising locally somewhere.

We often check that advertisement. So I would say yes, if they've operated in Pennsylvania

before, that we've interacted with them and they're complaint. If this is something new with a new provider who hasn't ventured into Pennsylvania before, it's 50/50 whether they're aware that the program exists and they have to register.

1.3

2.3

But you bringing it to our attention will create that moment. And we'll interact with Northampton, their parks and recreation. And furthermore they'll be on notice that any activity similar to this - we deal with an awful lot of municipalities that register their pool slides and other related activity. I would not be surprised if we're aware of this.

Northampton County, Bucks area are very diligent about making sure they're compliant across the spectrum. So in this particular instance, I feel confident that they're compliant or they will be when the time comes.

Some of the outliers up towards the New York border, Ohio border, you just don't know if the municipalities are aware that there are these additional requirements. And then it becomes a moment of education.

 $\underline{\text{MR. HALL:}}$  Well, it might be a good idea that the Department of Agriculture or this

Bureau maybe sends out a press release prior to the start of the summer programs.

3 Have you been talking to Fred, CHAIR: or -

> MR. HALL: No.

> > CHAIR: - has Fred been talking to

7 you?

1

2

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No, I wasn't talking to 8 MR. HALL: 9 Fred.

CHAIR: I'll offer that Fred is tasked, is probably the best way - there's the things you want to accomplish in a given year. And one of the things that this program and many others in the Department of Agriculture don't do well enough is to tell our story, both to the legislator and to the public on what we do, how well we do it, the expectations of the public, so they're aware.

And I mean, we're good in the microcosm around our parks and fairs and carnivals. But you know, the public at large, tourism in general, I don't know that we do a good enough job getting the word out, so people will know to contact us before it becomes a moment where they're not in compliance or there's an accident or concern, and it should have been, you know, a moment where people

would know who to call that have an issue.

So we agree with you. That's something we hope to remedy this year, at least get better at.

MR. HALL: Another point, the

Philadelphia Press had big features within the past
week or so, of the new Comcast high-rise building in
Center City Philadelphia. And I remember there were
two occasions within the past year where Comcast
representatives and their suppliers or builders came
in here about the Comcast Universal sphere, or
whatever they're calling that attraction.

And when I asked about it before, I think Joe said that they had some problems and were unable to complete it within the required time.

They're not here today. Is that still a work in progress?

CHAIR: I have to jump back prior to October, since I was not here. But the last discussion -.

MR. HALL: It wasn't discussed in October.

CHAIR: The last discussion in October they were here, and this is my recollection, and I'll go back to confirm that for you to make sure.

Is that they were waiting for media contents.

Right? Everything else was complete.

We had issued them a tentative operation approval, and we were going to go down and ensure that the functionality of this sphere, access and egress minus the experience that people were would get, operated properly.

You know, we don't care what movie they show in 360 or 270 round, it's just a matter of how they're going to operate.

And they were willing to allow us to go down there. I don't know if we've gotten there, because they were waiting for holiday content.

So Joe?

MR. FILOROMO: Yeah, we did get there during - the holidays are still a work in progress, they're still not ready.

MR. HALL: All right.

CHAIR: There you go.

MR. HALL: Going back to your

21 cocktail -.

CHAIR: Napkin.

MR. HALL: I read it twice and I think there's a lot of positives in there. One thing that I would object to, I see you wanted to increase the

membership of this group from 9 to 14, if I read it correctly.

2.4

CHAIR: I did offer an opportunity that I'm happy to explain in a moment. That if the industry collectively decided that we were to regulate inflatables, and we were positively to regulate extreme sports ziplines. And that we were to regulate trampoline establishments, that those could - they don't have to - those could be viable members that should be represented as members on the Board.

A suggestion of mine only that remember I said I wrote it to be all-inclusive or
any variety thereof? It's a moment of conversation
that I didn't want to overlook, that if the industry
was to give a nod to these other aspects of the
amusement industry that weren't on the original
Board makeup, that perhaps that's the opportunity to
add seats to represent those specific industries
So that's - that's why that number was
there. It doesn't have to be. Like anything else,
a brainstorming session could be a good idea or it
could be a really poor idea.

So I appreciate you bringing that up.

MR. HALL: Personally I felt it was

105

```
too many. I think it would just prolong meetings
1
2
    and you see what we go through here sometimes.
3
    I just felt - just my personal view, you've made
    some good points yourself.
 4
5
                   CHAIR:
                           That's what I want, is
6
    discussion.
                 Thank you very much.
                   MR. HALL:
                              That's it for me.
                                                  Thanks.
                   CHAIR: Gary? I don't know why you
8
9
    laugh, that's why you're here.
10
                   He's not. I think we might have
11
    somebody else.
12
                   MR. CHUBB: We'll make this very
13
    short.
```

CHAIR: You're average. It's fine.

MR. CHUBB: Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The 2018 paid seminar was held in York, Pennsylvania at the end of October and the first day in November. It was attended by 70 students, and we did our hands-on instruction out at the Majestic Midways Winter Quarters.

And a special shout-out. Thank you to Scott Inners and the entire Inners family for hosting these at their Winter Quarters.

Because the first time this particular seminar that they actually bring a field trip out to

working winter quarters for a traveling show that was very well-received.

So tentative dates for 2019 paid seminar are going to be October 28 through the 31st in Altoona, Pennsylvania. Looking for a facility out there right now. And we'll probably have a visit to DelGrosso's Park during the seminar.

CHAIR: I absolutely know a location, if you're hard up for a location to have people. I know somebody puts you in touch with a location out there, if you're interested.

MR. CHUBB: Okay.

CHAIR: We were there on behalf of another place, and it turned out to be a good location.

MR. CHUBB: All right.

I'll be happy to talk to you offline about it.

And that is all I have. Thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you, sir.

Are there any questions for Gary while he's on the hot seat? You lucked out.

This is the time for folks who don't normally attend meetings. As your representatives here, do you have anything for the Board for the

```
1
    program in general? You have an opportunity to come
2
    up and say your piece if you have anything for us.
3
                   And I look for that ever-faithful
4
    motion to adjourn. Is there a motion to adjourn?
5
                   MR. HALL: So moved.
6
                   CHAIR: Is there a second.
                   MR. NESLAND: Second.
8
                   CHAIR: All those in favor?
9
    AYES RESPOND
10
                   CHAIR: We're adjourned.
11
                   Thank you very much.
12
13
               HEARING CONCLUDED AT 12:17 P.M.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings was reported by me on 01-23-19 and that I, 4 Lindsey Deann Richardson, read this transcript, and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Dated the 1st day of February, 2019

Lindsey Deann Richardson,

Court Reporter