

AMUSEMENT RIDE SAFETY ADVISORY BOARD MEETING FEBRUARY 25, 2020

10:00 AM

ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

Secretary of Agriculture, Russell Redding

Bradley Nesland Dorney Park

Jim Houghton PA State Showmen's Association
John D. Blaney, Jr PA State Assoc. of County Fairs

John D. Makrias Special Advisor
John C. Pittman Absent Public Member
Kenneth Potter, Jr. Absent Special Advisor

Robert A. Di Domizio, Jr. PE RAD Engineering Corporation

Stephen Gorman Waldameer Park

Stephen Swika, III PA State Showmen's Association
Thomas Rebbie Philadelphia Toboggan Coaster Inc.

William B. Hall, III Public Member

AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER – Walter Remmert, Bureau Director (filling in for Secretary Redding)

Affirmation in the meeting was announced in adherence to the Sunshine Law

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: August 20, 2019

SECRETARY'S REMARKS: Included in the Director's Report

DIRECTOR'S REPORT:

- Recognition of industry colleagues lost (comments on behalf of the program by Joseph Filoromo, Program Supervisor)
- Announcement of training opportunities

OLD BUSINESS:

NEW RIDE APPROVALS

<u>MANUFACTURER</u>	RIDE NAME
Bojuxcom, s.r.o.	Ferris Wheel – 25M
Bolliger & Mabillard Inc	Hyper Coaster (Candymonium)
KMG	Fireball
Knoebels (discuss as new business)	Flying Turns- <mark>Modification</mark>
Knoebels (discuss as new business)	Zierer Impulse- Modification
Tianjinn Mickrod Inter Trade Co	Mini Electrical Train
Visa International Srl.	Compact Spinning Coaster
NEW BUSINESS:	
 Discussion of Knoebels' two modifications 	s listed under new ride approvals
BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS:	
PUBLIC COMMENTS:	
ADJOURNMENT:	
Upcoming Advisory Board meetings will be held a	at the Department of Agriculture at 10am in room 309
Tuesday	May 19, 2020

Tuesday, May 19, 2020 Tuesday, August 11, 2020 Tuesday, October 20, 2020

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

AMUSEMENT RIDE SAFETY DIVISION

* * * * * * * *

IN RE: AMUSEMENT RIDE SAFETY ADVISORY BOARD MEETING

* * * * * * * *

BEFORE: WALTER REMMERT, Chair

Robert Di Domizio, Jr., P.E., Member

Stephen Swika, III, Member

Joseph Filoromo, Member

Bradley Nesland, Member

Stephen Gorman, Member

Thomas Rebbie, Member

William B. Hall, III, Member

Jim Houghton, Member

HEARING: Tuesday, February 25, 2020

10:03 a.m.

LOCATION: Department of Agriculture

2301 North Cameron Street, Room 309

Harrisburg, PA 17110

Reporter: Lori Behe

Any reproduction of this transcript

is prohibited without authorization

by the certifying agency.

ALSO PRESENT: Randall Arndt, Yvonne Brown,
Nathaniel Rivera, Esquire, Tim Horn, Benjamin
Pfeffer, Andrew Rossi, Pret Lytle, Brian Gorman,
Scott Inners, Trevor Knoebel, Jim Martini, Leonard
Adams, Harry Chuev

					3
1	I N D E X				
2					
3	OPENING REMARKS				
4	By Mr. Remmert	6	_	9	
5	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	9	_	14	
6	25-M Ferris Wheel	15	_	16	
7	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	17	_	31	
8	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	31	_	33	
9	Candymonium	33	-	37	
10	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	37	-	38	
11	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	38	-	3 9	
12	KMG Fireball	39	-	41	
13	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	40	-	43	
14	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	43	-	45	
15	Mini Electric Train	45	-	47	
16	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	47	-	53	
17	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	53	-	5 9	
18	Compact Spinning Coaster	59	-	60	
19	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	60	-	69	
20	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	69	-	71	
21	Flying Turns	72	-	75	
22	Impulse	75	-	77	
23	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	77	-	8 0	
24	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	81	-	83	
25	BOARD COMMENTS			8 4	

						4
1		I N D E X (Cont.)				
2						
3	PUBLIC COMMENTS		8 4	_	86	
4	CERTIFICATE				87	
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22 23						
2324						
2425						
25						

			5
1		EXHIBITS	
2			
3			Page
4	Number	Description	Offered
5		NONE OFFERED	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS

2 ------

MR. REMMERT: This is the appointed time and the appointed day for the Pennsylvania

Amusement Ride Safety Advisory Board. I'm going to do a few housekeeping chores before I open the meeting. So I'm going to address this specifically to the people who called in on the phone. So folks who are attending this meeting by phone, I have some rules that we'd like you to follow.

First off is please mute your phones. It is horrific feedback on our end and makes it very difficult for the people who are unmuted to hear what's going on at the meeting. So I would ask that I'm going to take roll call for attendance on the phone here in a moment. I will let you know that in the room with us today are members of the Advisory Board. Absent from the Advisory Board today are Mr. Pittman, Mr. Potter, Mr. Blaney. And hopefully by phone today, Mr. Swika. I'm sure he'll answer up here when we take roll call.

The agenda has been published and hopefully all the participants here and on the line have the agenda. If not, we will actually read off the agenda. Again, please as a reminder, keep your

cell phone on mute unless you're directed to keep it. It makes things go much easier. I will ask the Advisory Board members to use their microphones while speaking. If not - make sure the red light is on when they're not speaking and the green light is on when they are. That will afford the people on the phone to hear what's going on. If you need us to repeat anything, please let us know.

2.2

Moving right along, I'll set people's expectations right now. I have to be somewhere else after the meeting or shortly thereafter. So we're going to move through this quickly as best we can. So hopefully everybody is in that mindset that we can and we'll do that as best as we're able to. That will not affect anybody that's up for recommendation or consideration. If we have to end our meeting prior to all of the items being added, we'll deal with it between now and the next meeting, and make sure we distribute pertinent information to vendors, so whether we adopt all submissions or not will not affect the endorsement of those submissions.

So with that being said, as best you can, I'm going to call them one at a time. Please introduce yourself. Spell your last name slowly for

the stenographer and please let us know who you are
representing. Ready, go.

MR. KELLEY: Bill Kelley,

4 K-E-L-L-E-Y. And I'm here for four rides, Majestic 5 Fireball, Spinning Coaster and the Knoebels Flying 6 Turns and Impulse coaster.

MR. HORN: Tim Horn, H-O-R-N.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Amusements Unlimited, Pirates Revenge, Lehigh Valley
Grand Prix and Bojux 25 meter wheel.

 $\underline{\text{MR. SWIKA:}}$ Steve Swika, S-W-I-K-A, and I represent the Pennsylvania State Showmen's Association.

MR. PFEFFER: Benny Pfeffer,
P-F-E-F-E-R, representing Tons of Fun Shows,
regarding submission of Bojux's 25 meter Ferris
Wheel.

ATTORNEY RIVERA: Good morning, everyone. Nathaniel Rivera, R-I-V-E-R-A, legal Counsel on behalf of Tons of Fun Shows.

MR. REMMERT:

We'll move through this. If you're on the phone and you have a point of order or want to have a discussion with us, please break in. I'll wait for a pause in the discussion, and I'll address the person on the phone so they can make their remarks.

Thank you, everyone.

9

```
Please wait for my comments, and I appreciate that.
1
2
                   So now that we've gone through the
    housekeeping, this is the moment we're going to call
3
 4
    this meeting to order, which we have - a discussion
5
    and we'll get acclimation by Ms. Yvonne Brown that
6
    this meeting is properly Sunshined?
                   MS. BROWN: Yes, sir.
8
                   MR. REMMERT: And I believe the
9
    meeting minutes for our August meeting, which is the
10
    last time we met, were distributed. At this point,
11
    I'm looking for any corrections, updates, and a
12
    motion to approve the minutes.
13
                   MR. NESLAND:
                                 So moved.
14
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                  So moved.
                                             Is there a
15
    second?
16
                   MR. S. GORMAN:
                                    Second.
17
                   MR. REMMERT: Thank you.
                                              All in
18
    favor, please say aye.
19
    AYES RESPOND
20
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 Any opposed?
                                                All right.
21
                   Thank you for approving the August
22
    20th, 2019 meeting minutes. As it says on the
23
    agenda, to let you know, typically presiding over
24
    this Board is the Secretary of Agriculture as the
25
    chairperson. If not, in his stead, the Deputy
```

Secretary, and on rare occasions the Director will fill in in their stead if they're unavailable.

Because we moved the meeting from

January to February of this year - because of my

schedule and not anything to do with the Board

itself. We're in the middle of the budget cycles,

so both the Secretary and the Deputy are in the

process of either testifying or preparing to testify

for the next several days for the budget hearings.

So I encourage you to go to PCN and watch them. But

short of that, that's why you have me and not Fred

Strathmeyer or Secretary Redding.

We thought it appropriate, I did, and I spoke with Joe, to take a moment as far as in general, to name a few names, I don't mean to miss anybody, in the Pennsylvania amusement ride industry. And we have lost quite a few people from the last time we met that significantly enhanced or had an influence on the Pennsylvania amusement ride industry. We thought it was worth taking a moment and offering our considerations in memory of them and I offer any comments from Joe as he's probably known most of them.

MR. FILOROMO: Right. A lot of those people that we learned from, we've learned from over

the years so much. Just most recently, Jack Seiler, Irv Good, Betty Reithoffer, Tom Powell, an editor He was a friend from Scranton from a trade paper. that always promoted Pennsylvania well. Harry Reinhart, a show owner from Pittsburgh and there's loads more. We're losing people at a much faster rate than we're getting young people into this So we shall be smart enough to learn from business. everything we learned from these people like also like Jake Inners, Steve Swika, and so on. If we can moderately learn from all those people and use it for good, continue on as they would have us do. So let's all remember that. That's all. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. REMMERT: Thank you, Joe. Any comments from the Board members related to that?

And I'm not asking for - thought I'd provide an opportunity to have you, have you - seeing all the names that have passed by and have passed, I just want to make sure that we know the program we created today is because of the people that are involved in the process seeing several of those bedrock names pass, a couple of us.

Seeing no further comments, moving on to the next item typically handled by the now-retired Larry Chuev, we'll move your comments to

the end with public comment so you have a moment to discuss what education requirements. If you are licensed as an inspector, a certified inspector here in Pennsylvania, you're required to have continuing education requirements. We do that through a partnership with third parties who offer training - that is currently going on today a ride safety seminar by Phil Stigers, which most of our inspectors will be heading back out to receive, and participate and offer training.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

So if you have a need or requirement and would like further information on what requirements Pennsylvania has for continuing education and how you go about it to get that and make that happen and then what opportunities there are, if you are an inspector or intend to be an inspector, please reach out to us. We have most of that published on our website. We're transitioning how we do business, always trying to do better, provide more transparency and efficiency for everybody. So happy to have that conversation and get it out to everybody. Because it is a requirement to maintain the status. Questions, comments from the Board members before we move on? Phil wanted to pass on that if anybody after the meeting wants to stop by they're welcome to stop by, sit in and see what's going on over at that seminar. I would add that is always the sentiment of all the people who offer training. The Board members are always - so I hope that you will go there and address the Board members. Let us know and we'll figure out how to get you in there.

1.3

Moving forward on the agenda to new ride approvals. We're going to take them in the order that we see them with the understanding that new ride approvals are going to be the Flying Turns and Impulse - so we're going to start with the Tons of Fun 25M Ferris Wheel submission.

And I'm going to go in the background and offer it to Joe. We're going to let Mr. Horn speak to questions related. This is a new manufacturer, not a new ride. So it's a 25 meter giant tall Ferris Wheel by a manufacturer Pennsylvania has not seen for this ride.

The submitter, the owner of the ride, has worked very tirelessly to meet all the hurdles and requirements of the approval process, and I want to recognize in saying that, the submitter, but Board members as a whole, specifically our professional engineer, Mr. Di Domizio, who has been

tapped on the shoulder more times for this one submission than any submission since he's been a seated member of the Board. We thank you for your time and diligence in this. I always appreciate Mr. Filoromo, for I am not a subject matter expert in a case like this. And without him, this program - big difference.

2.4

What we have for the Board today as a submission is what we believe meets and is sufficient that has answers to 99 percent, never 100 percent, we always try to strive that way, of the Board's questions and the Board's concerns.

So as of today based on some late last minute documents that we asked for and were provided in a timely manner to us, we believe the 25 meter Wheel submission is complete and ready for your questions and consideration. We have some small clean-up to do, which include among other things conversations and comments that we received, feedback incorporated into the documents. That is something we can do along the approval process.

So at this point, I would ask Joe to give us a quick overview of the submission, what we've looked at, and where we believe we are as far as the approval.

MR. FILOROMO: We've looked at - ride the rides built in Europe, there were some differences in the way the wiring was and then there was some after-market work done to the ride electrically, too, and kind of funneled in everything now. We got together with one of the people was one of the writers of 525 Electrical Code. And it seems that they've come upon an agreement of proper wiring of the ride, which was the main concern, and then with the other odds and ends. So I think it's long overdue that we consider this ride for approval.

MR. REMMERT: Thank you, Joe. Not to preempt the conversation from the submitter or the engineer who's available for questions, I would ask the Board members, this is - the wiring conversations put on record, your comments, to ask questions of the department, the engineer, or the owner/operator - but I will tell you that the conversations that we've had from out last meeting to where we are today is tenfold to where we need to be. We've come to a complete understanding that we all want to see this ride go through the approval process. And the submitter has been more than forthcoming to provide the information that we

requested, so much so that our concern with the electrical system and how it is, how the power source derived is different from what we typically see. We've gone back to the manufacturer with our old recommendations and worked with the manufacturers to get that - how they're being set up moving forward.

So not only have we learned quite a bit from this that will apply to other rides that we get and specs as it relates to operating in Pennsylvania and the United States, but it will allow us an opportunity that wherever it's set up, we can go out there and learn hands-on in a different way, in the right way, to see how that should be electrified. To make sure not only that they're setting up properly, but that we now have more education about the variety of ways that's proper and appropriate. So we appreciate it.

MR. FILOROMO: And I just did have a discussion just a couple weeks ago with the manufacturers. They were in Florida and it kind of - pretty much what we've been - what this new memo says. So they're on-board with the whole thing, too. And part of the issue was how this system relates to the ride itself, but also the other rides

that are in the same system. So that everything 1 2 works together properly without causing problems for 3 the ride next to it, or feedback, or if something goes wrong, that everything should be brought back 4 5 to the way it's designed to according to the 6 electrical code. So I feel good about it. MR. REMMERT: All right, Board 8 This is where you get to ask the questions Members. 9 that will be of record. So I'll recognize any and 10 all comments. Please feel free. Let it be known to 11 the folks who aren't in the room that there's a lot 12 of head shaking. 13 Well, can you just MR. S. GORMAN: 14 provide the - where this ride will be operated at. 15 It's traveling, right? So is this going to be -? 16

MR. FILOROMO: Mostly Southeast Pennsylvania.

MR. S. GORMAN: And has it operated anywhere else in the U.S. yet?

> MR. FILOROMO: No.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. S. GORMAN: Not yet?

This is the first MR. FILOROMO: No.Wheel, right? This is the first Wheel - right. they have other rides in the United States, not here but -.

 $\underline{\text{MR. S. GORMAN:}}$ Yeah. That's why I want to just hear from -.

MR. FILOROMO: Yeah. They were kind enough to work with us and we had set the standard or the baseline for expectations with the company, not the operator. The operator has been operating here successfully for years. This state has the first new Wheel from the manufacturer that we haven't seen yet. So yes, this is a new one for us. Mr. Horn, Mr. Pfeffer, did we miss anything? Any comments that you would like to make to the board members?

MR. HORN: Not at this time. I think we went through your whole process and were forthcoming with all the information you requested.

MR. PFEFFER: I'm okay as well.

MR. REMMERT: Thank you. So for the Board members, we do have some manual conclusions to make. So there is housekeeping. We still have to correspond to update the manual, make sure that the manual is updated with Mr. Gorman's questions. Rather that you want to ask me questions, that they're also collateral to this. And Steve, we include all your conversation with us. I have them. They responded. I have not checked to make sure

that they updated their manual. So I would offer if there is a motion for recommended approval, that it be contingent on us receiving the updated manual information. So is there anyone willing to make that motion?

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Question.

MR. REMMERT: Further question. Go

ahead.

<u>DI DOMIZIO:</u> Mr. Chairman, question.

MR. REMMERT: All right.

point that out to me?

DI DOMIZIO: I'm sorry. Can everybody hear me okay? This is Bob DiDomizio. The letter that came with this note, I just read at today's meeting. In there it said that the issue was addressed, that 60 Hertz, that the system would be running on 60 Hertz by way of a power trade as it were. Just help me out and show me where that would appear. I'm looking right now at the electrical and

MR. REMMERT: So I'm going to restate the question so I'm clear. You're looking for the specific location where it's now going to be operating on 60 Hertz.

hydraulic manual underscore V3. Could you please

DI DOMIZIO: Yeah. It's one of the

things we asked for, was either this be equipped with a 50 Hertz source or it converted from 60 to 50, one or the other. So nothing has shown me so far - notwithstanding what we've just received, I'm not seeing it. If it's there, I'm just not seeing it. So I'm asking for help to find it.

MR. REMMERT: Sure. So I'll ask Tim. Tim, did you hear and understand the question?

MR. HORN: Yeah. Well, we responded to that question with our reply to your last list of items. And apparently, Di Domizio does not have a copy of that response. The electrical diagram indicates a 60 Hertz transformer. I believe that's on - let me look at what page it is here.

MR. REMMERT: And just to be clear, he may not have all the information. As we moved through this process rather briskly in order to facilitate the information, we will actually be sharing the file, the complete submission with the Board members to review at their, quote, leisure. But yes, we are in receipt of that. And I don't know that Di Domizio has that last - so we'll make sure we find that.

 $\underline{\text{MR. HORN:}}$ Yeah. I think in the reply we made to your request, if you look at page 17 of

the electrical manual, the power train transformer was 60 Hertz. I couldn't answer that question.

2.1

DI DOMIZIO: Is that the end of the answer so I could - okay. I'm seeing a definition of the power train transformer which appears to be the same as it was before. It is - it does state it is greater than 60 Hertz. Your letter response said that something was put in the circuit, that it was going to convert it to 50 Hertz. So I'm still not seeing how we get 50 Hertz to the ride.

MR. HORN: That's not what the letter response meant. The manufacturer and the electrical system designer has certified or indicated that the ride is designed to operate on 50 or 60 Hertz. So the question is irrelevant. There is no frequency converter required. The system will operate on 50 or 60 Hertz as we indicated in our reply.

 $\frac{\text{MR. SWIKA:}}{\text{MR. REMMERT:}} \quad \text{Can I say something?}$ $\frac{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}{\text{Please state your name}}$ just for the stenographer. Other than that, by all means.

MR. SWIKA: I am Steve Swika. I saw an email that stated that the manufacturer has software that you make that - and this ride is built on that 60 Hertz. I read that right from - Bojux, I

guess is how you say his last name. So I'm pretty happy to - with that, so that can run on 60 Hertz. But most motors in electric, they run on both, most electric motors, 50 or 60. So when I saw that thing from Mr. Pfeffer, that states that that ride - the software in there, in the control allowing 60 Hertz.

MR. REMMERT: Thank you, Mr. Swika.

So separate from - as I just kind of collaborated with Joe, you know, on the record - or off the record, that a conversation with Di Domizio notwithstanding, argue the safety and capability of the submission and the - as a certified professional here has attested to the veracity and the ability of the ride to earn Pennsylvania requirements.

Our conversation back and forth was involving concern with how it was set up outside of the normal guidelines. You're satisfied and the Pennsylvania program is satisfied that what was provided and the investigation of it has met that safety requirement? That 50 Hertz versus 60 Hertz operation, I agree with Mr. Swika. And Joe and I have been saying that, yes, we agree the ride is capable of operating at either 50 or 60, similar to any electronics.

So the submission as submitted, though

we've asked for clarification in some cases, we've received an answer and accept the answer that has been given, that if the ride owner and operator sees a problem and have an issue, but it does not present, in our opinion, an issue.

MEMBER: Well, okay. If I can call attention to an undated document from - so I don't know when this was printed, but this came with the submission. Yeah, it does say - that's probably 1/7/2020 at the bottom, and this is from the Bojuxcom, SFO.

The second paragraph says all the electrical and programming of this Bojuxcom 25 meter Ferris Wheel were installed and also designed - our copyright license program and the use of a frequency drive system have been designed to operate on either 50 or 60 frequency electric power supply. That's the first paragraph, second paragraph.

Third paragraph, there are safety measures programmed into the system by way of the frequency converter and drive system that allows the Bojux, et cetera, et cetera. So from the manufacturer, it's saying that it requires a frequency drive. This is the manufacturer's note right here.

So in paragraph two and paragraph three, those say the same thing. And so you could supply 60 Hertz, but it has to go through a frequency drive system such in the case at a variable speed loader or in the case of the conversion for the rest of the device. So any device that is connected directly to the supply would be supplied by 60 Hertz, not by 50 Hertz. This is saying that the manufacturer - this is telling you, you have to supply a frequency bracket converter. That's my interpretation, professional opinion, interpretation.

MR. REMMERT:

was designed by Najabauer for this wheel.

MR. HORN: That is not what that letter says. It says that the ride is operated driven by variable frequency drive. And I'm sure many of your Board members and operators are familiar with a variable frequency drive. The variable frequency drive which drives the operation of the wheel converts the frequency. That's how we get a soft start and how you operate a ride with the math, with the flow charge. The variable frequency drive is a component of the electrical system that

DI DOMIZIO: So that goes back to my

Mr. Horn, you're up.

original question. Where is that unit of which you speak? I don't see it on the electrical diagrams as you pointed out to me. I'm not seeing it.

1.3

MR. HORN: Yeah. That's listed as the Siemens variable frequency drive unit that drives the motor that operates the wheel.

DI DOMIZIO: That's all it does. So no argument there when I see the variable frequency drive to a lower variable speed and accept 50 or 60 Hertz, and it can drive a 50 or 60 Hertz motor. No question for me on that. I'm talking about power for all the rest of the machine is still supplied with 60 Hertz. So what has changed? Where has this frequency converter - and they use the words frequency converter and the drive system. I take that to mean two different things.

 $\underline{\text{MR. HORN:}}$ I don't agree. That's your interpretation of the letter.

<u>DI DOMIZIO:</u> Well, show me on the electrical schematic where there is a frequency converter on the incoming source like the utility? I'm still getting -.

MR. HORN: There's no frequency conversion required. That's the - that is the electrical system designer and vendor position. And

obviously that differs from your speculation.

2.4

MEMBER: I'd just like to interject that it's not without precedence that we run units that are European with 50 Hertz ratings, at 60 Hertz in the United States. That's not without precedence and it has been done without any real problem.

And from my standpoint, I would not like to see the Board take a stand that it has to have a frequency converter on a ride that came from Europe that has 50 Hertz. I would rather see that the manufacturer approves that it can run that way. And if that has been done, then I think we've gone as far as we need to go. But I for one don't want to be restricted from running 50 Hertz units at 60 Hertz if it is approved by the manufacturer.

MR. HORN: I'm sure you're all aware that there are multiple rides operating in Pennsylvania with the same European electrical system on 60 Hertz power.

MR. REMMERT: Appreciate the conversation, the discussion, and as Mr. Horn may be aware, as he's dealt with this Board for more than a few years, we're putting this as a matter of record that a discussion occurred.

At a point very soon here, we will

have an opportunity to move the recommendation forward. We will be able to vote yea, nay or stay. So it's good to have all the conversation on the record so we can be able to - so with that being said, that question did pose an answer. I will say there will be satisfaction with an answer. Are there any other points for the Board or matters for discussion concerning this submission?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Chairman, if you would. MEMBER: Has anything been done - I see that we've - we've got a neutral from the Y on the Delta-Wye transformer - assuming we've gone past that point. But I see we're picking up a neutral there, but we're not referencing the ground with what's being called P.E. here, potential earth for the uninitiated. The symbology isn't quite fair. understand that the pitchfork type of symbol is typically used in chassis ground, and it can be interpreted also as chassis ground. But the fact that it hit - that they use the acronym P.E. tells me that that is intended to be an earth ground. where - and that is not connected to the Delta-Wye I see it connected on the primary side. It's not connected on the secondary side.

MR. HORN: The wiring jumpers,

responding jumpers were added in the service bulletin per your instructions and request.

MEMBER: I don't know if you responded to Joe's concern about that. It does say in the Code that the ground for the neutral has to be tied together at one point at the source.

 $\underline{\text{MR. HORN:}}$ Apparently you have not looked through the service bulletin which we forwarded yesterday.

MR. REMMERT: I don't know that he had that opportunity, which is what he's doing now. He's just asking the question as a matter of record, which I appreciate his diligence in doing so. So I would offer that there is an opportunity post this to look into it further - he has an opportunity to put questions on the record now. We generated the requests. We generated the diagrams. They were diligent in their request of us to manufacture and in responding to exactly what we asked of them.

So what is in the Service Code again is exactly what we asked them to go to the manufacturer and correct. So if there's a question or concern in the service bulletin or the potential additional grounding, that is what we ask everyone to provide. They have been more than responsive.

DI DOMIZIO: I've given you my professional opinion The schematic does not follow NFPA-79, which is called out in F2291 as far as floating neutrals, insufficient grounding, improper operation of 50 Hertz to 60 Hertz. You've heard my concerns. That's all I can do.

MR. REMMERT: I appreciate that. Any other questions or concerns to be raised for -?

MR. NESLAND: I do have a question.

Did - was this reviewed by an electrical engineer?

MR. REMMERT: As more so than our Board member who has already made his comments on

13 the record? It has.

 $\underline{\text{MR. NESLAND:}}$ So we have had an electrical engineer look at it and approve it, essentially, say it is safe?

MR. REMMERT: I would have to go back and determine the actual certifications of the individuals that provided us some insights without going too far afield with this. We did not entertain additional capacities, so I don't want to - we are not necessarily experts in everything. But we wanted to ensure that we had conversation met our grounding requirements. At this moment, we are satisfied with the submission of the service

bulletin that was provided.

MR. NESLAND: Okay.

So from the Department's standpoint, you feel that it has been reviewed by an appropriate person and approved?

MR. NESLAND: Correct.

MR. REMMERT: - Di Domizio, but - as a reminder that Mr. Horn, licensed and certified to operate has - the manufacturer has entered into this and provided their willingness to meet the requirements as provided to the Board. There is - the law is understood, that they submit proper documents, we would have to have significant pause to not - and in this case, the submission's been offered. So barring any review or additional discussion that has not been brought forth, all the requirements are of the submission are met. That is the position.

<u>DI DOMIZIO:</u> If I can - Mr. Chairman, if I could follow up on Brad's last question. It comes down to Mr. Horn taking responsibility for this electrical design since he's the American engineer. I don't care what happens in Europe.

```
What we care about is the standards here. So if Mr.
1
2
    Horn is the one who's certified in electrical
    design, is that your interpretation?
3
 4
                   MR. REMMERT: By way of the law, the
5
    letter from the professional engineer certifying
    what was sent to us for review is the overarching
6
7
    requirement, so -.
8
                   DI DOMIZIO: And just for the record,
9
    is Mr. Horn accepting the responsibility for this
10
    design?
11
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 He submitted the design
12
                   DI DOMIZIO: Well, I was asking him.
13
14
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 It's irrelevant what he
15
                 We have a record, the signed and
    says today.
16
    stamped letter.
17
                   MEMBER:
                            That's your - you always have
18
    the -.
19
                                I'm ready to accept a
                   MR.
                       SWIKA:
20
    motion.
21
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 I'm ready to hear a
22
    motion.
             You're talking about the motion to refresh
23
    anybody to move this recommended approval based on
24
    language from the electric manual. If that is your
25
    motion and you want to move that, do it by all
```

32

1 means. 2 MR. S. GORMAN: I'll try. So I make a 3 motion to accept this conditionally upon the small 4 changes in the data that we had documented previous 5 to this meeting, as well as having our state inspectors verify that it's properly grounded and 6 7 bonded at the site, and operation at the right 8 electrical frequencies that operates exceptionally 9 safely. 10 MR. SWIKA: I second. 11 MR. REMMERT: Second by Mr. Swika. 12 All right. We're going to go one by one here. do you say, yea or nay, Mr. Hall? 13 14 MR. HALL: Yea. 15 MEMBER: Nay. 16 CHAIR: Mr. Gorman? 17 MR. GORMAN: Yea. 18 MEMBER: Yea. 19 MEMBER: Nay. 20 MR. REMMERT: Any comments for the

21 record other than what you stated?

22

23

24

MR. REMMERT: Mr. Swika?

> MR. SWIKA: Yea.

MR. REMMERT: Thank you, everyone.

25 Tim and Mr. Swika will be in touch with you over the

```
new few days concerning the details.
1
2
                   MR. HORN: Very good.
                                          Thank you.
3
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 We're going to change
    over to the Hyper coaster Candymonium. We'll allow
 4
5
    the gentlemen with Hershey to come forward. We'll
6
    ask that you state your name, spell your last name,
7
    and who you're affiliated with for the stenographer.
8
    And then by all means, give us a brief summary of
9
    the submission and expectations for the Board.
10
                   MR. ROSSI: Good morning. I'm Andrew
11
    Rossi, director of rides/attraction equipment
12
    maintenance for - I'm sorry.
13
                   COURT REPORTER: Can you spell your
    last name?
14
15
                   MR. ROSSI:
                               Rossi, R-O-S-S-I.
16
                   MR. LYTLE:
                               Hi. I'm Pret Lytle,
17
    L-Y-T-L-E.
                I'm engineer with Construction Services,
18
    Hershey Entertainment.
19
                   MR. ROSSI: We provided you with a
20
    short PowerPoint. If you would like, we could go
21
    through that right now.
22
23
        (WHEREUPON THERE WAS A PAUSE IN THE RECORD.)
2.4
25
                   MR. ROSSI: I'm with B&M Hyper
```

We're calling it Candymonium. 4,636 feet Coaster. in length, 210 feet tall. Seventy-six (76) miles per hour is our maximum speed. The ride duration is two minutes and 26 seconds approximately. And our minimum height to ride is 54 inches. The ride includes seven camelback hills, 123 degree hammerhead curve. We have three candy-themed trains, seven cars per train, four passenger per car is 28 passengers per train that goes out. Final piece of the track was installed on the 15th of February. This is the 16th B&M Hyper Coaster. Hyper Coaster is defined as a coaster over 200 feet tall, high speed, no inversions. They're designed specifically to create air time. They're equipped with a comfortable back-netted lap bar, which produces an incomparable feeling of freedom with the security to keep our guests in the passenger seat.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Go ahead. Next slide, please.

There's just some photos of the ride area. will be our kissing fountain. Same area of the Those are trains, station. And now we're park. going to show you a little - that's the overhead of the area, which you can see.

Go ahead and move along. And now we're going to show you a little video that'll

```
describe the entire coaster. We hope to. It might
1
2
    take a moment to load. That might be the start
3
    button in the lower left corner of your screen.
    Just try and double-click on that. We're not going
 4
5
    to get there. Okay.
 6
                   Candymonium will span seven acres of
7
    our new area in Hershey Park. The ride is equipped
    with a new harness system for our challenged guests
8
9
    who are missing limbs.
10
                   DI DOMIZIO: Does it sate a height?
11
                   MR. ROSSI: Yeah, seven feet high, Di
12
    Domizio.
13
                   MEMBER: What's the weight limit?
14
                   MR. ROSSI:
                               There is no maximum
15
             As long as you can fit, you can ride.
16
    apologize. I don't know why it's not working.
17
                   MR. LYTLE: Use your imagination
18
               Close your eyes and think of the best
    everyone.
19
    ride ever.
20
                   MEMBER:
                            Sorry, Tony. I forgot to
21
    tell you to put the video separate.
22
                   MR. ROSSI:
                               That's okay.
23
                   MEMBER:
                            We don't have the main video
24
    on the hard drive for Commonwealth stuff.
25
    doesn't work. It wouldn't go to a PowerPoint
```

without the native video being in there somewhere. 1 2 MR. ROSSI: You can grab it right 3 there. It should be the one that says social media. 4 MR. FILOROMO: You're online? 5 MR. ROSSI: You're online, Joe. 6 Sorry. 7 MR. FILOROMO: You sound just like 8 Gary. 9 This is the last drone MR. ROSSI: 10 video we took during our snowstorm in January. The 11 track is now complete. 12 Now, we do have a few things we're 13 waiting on. Number one is the ID plate, which has 14 not been installed, as well as the complete 15 commissioning report, which will include the dynamic 16 testing which is done on the ride. I.O. testing for 17 the control system is scheduled to start one week 18 from next Monday. 19 MEMBER: Can we see a vehicle? 20 MR. ROSSI: Yes. If you bring up the 21 video that says social. Right there, yeah. This 22 will give you a full look at the attire closer and 2.3 the vehicles. 2.4

(WHEREUPON, A VIDEO CLIP WAS PLAYED.)

MS. BROWN: Is there another one?

MR. ROSSI: No, that should be fine.

This was, as I said the 16th Hyper Coaster. I believe Cedar Fair has two in your system, Brad, if I'm not mistaken.

MR. NESLAND: I'm sorry?

 $$\underline{\text{MR. ROSSI:}}$$ I believe Cedar Fair has two in their system.

MR. NESLAND: Two what?

MR. ROSSI: Hypercoasters.

MR. NESLAND: Yes.

 $$\operatorname{\underline{MR.\ ROSSI:}}$$ And Mayco just is the most recent one in Orlando at SeaWorld.

MR. REMMERT: Good?

MR. ROSSI: Yes, sir.

MR. REMMERT: Turn your little light off from green to red. For those of you who were listening in on the conversation and didn't enjoy the experience, I'm sure by now you can search Candymonium on social media and you'll see similar to what we have just seen here. I will say to the Board members that we're in receipt of almost everything at this point that I believe Hershey and its program are seeking your conditional approval

based on the final results of testing. So we can 1 2 entertain a conditional approval or we can wait for 3 later. It's your pleasure, Board members, on how we 4 - traditionally, we offer them a conditional 5 approval. So any questions? 6 MEMBER: I do have one question. Ιn 7 the manual, they refer to the passenger evacuation manual. Do we have that? 8 Is that in there? 9 I believe it was in the MR. LYTLE: 10 If not, I'll make sure you get it. packet. 11 MR. DI DOMIZIO: Since this ride is 12 manufacturer in Europe, was it designed for 60 Hertz? 13 14 MR. ROSSI: The ride was designed in 15 A good portion of the ride is manufactured 16 here in the United States. The control system is 17 being manufactured by a company called Consign out 18 of Maryland. 19 MR. DI DOMIZIO: Thank you. 20 MR. ROSSI: You're welcome, sir. 21 MR. REMMERT: Any other questions or 22 comments? Is there a motion coming out by the Board 23 members? I see a motion. 2.4 MR. HOUGHTON: Motion. 25 MR. REMMERT: Will you second?

1 MR. REBBIE: Sure. 2 MR. REMMERT: Very good. I have a 3 motion for conditional approval. I'm assuming 4 that's what we're doing. And the second by Thomas. 5 Let's vote. All those in favor, please respond by 6 say aye. 7 AYES RESPOND 8 MR. REMMERT: Are there any nays? 9 Gentlemen, thank you for coming. 10 MR. ROSSI: Thank you for your time. 11 Thank you. 12 MR. REMMERT: We'll move on to KMG 13 Fireball submission. While making the transition, 14 Joe is going to give us an overview and then we're 15 going to hand it - turn it in. 16 MR. FILOROMO: Okay. 17 After the accident in Ohio with the 18 Fireball, we found that there was a design issue 19 with the ride. 20 MR. REMMERT: Not an issue, a change. 21 MR. FILOROMO: Change. 22 MR. REMMERT: They updated the ride. 23 MR. FILOROMO: Well, they had an 24 update, but existing owners did not know about it. 25 And we pretty much decommissioned all those rides

and reapproved them with a new design from the manufacturer, KMG. The thing that made it complicated was KMG issued a separate service bulletin for each ride, so each one is requiring a separate approval even though they pretty much did the same thing to every ride. They retrofitted it with the new seat design that cannot rust from the inside out.

1.3

And in this case, the ride was completely refurbished. We had two key inspectors go to look at some of the work that was done. They were impressed. The owner has also gotten all the technical information, instruction and so on from the manufacturer that in some of the previous approvals were very difficult to get. So we have a very complete submission, very thorough rebuild of this ride and upgrade. And pretty much the same or more that we've already approved on several other Fireballs. And that is all.

MR. REMMERT: How'd he do? Did he do 21 okay?

MR. INNERS: I'm just - my name is Scott Inners, I-N-N-E-R-S.

MR. REMMERT: For your part of this, any comments to the Board members or are you just -

you're going to answer the questions based on the submission?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. INNERS: I'm here basically to answer what questions I can. Bill Kelley is on the line for anything technical that you guys might need.

MR. REMMERT: It's always good to have So the Board members are aware, we've a front man. had a few of these operating in Pennsylvania for years. And based on the incident that occurred, proactively - reactively and proactively - we went back and took a look at protocols, procedures, what we did and didn't have approved, identified some rides that were issued with us that absolutely did not - and this is more proof that the collaborative environment that we have here as regulators in the industry that items like this have to be addressed to the betterment of everybody. In this particular case, that's - and here we are today. Any questions from the Board members concerned about this?

MR. S. GORMAN: Really documentation-wise, what you provided for us to look at was a manual dated 2005 and I wondered if that is the right manual for this redesign? You know, I can't tell by looking at the drawings.

MR. INNERS: That is the correct manual for it. It took a little bit of doing when we purchased it from the other company. We went back to KMG and we specifically asked for the manual for that serial number of the ride and that's what they gave us with the manual.

MR. S. GORMAN: So just for the state's records purposes, is that manual, does that handle the new design?

 $$\operatorname{\underline{MR.\ INNERS:}}$$ There was an addendum that KMG sent us as well with a manual specifically for the new gondolas that were on the ride.

 $\underline{\text{MR. S. GORMAN:}}$ I didn't have a lot of time to look -.

MEMBER: I'll make that clarification that the manual itself didn't change, but there was an addition to it.

MR. INNERS: Yes.

 $\underline{\text{MEMBER:}}$ We actually received that separate from the other submission that we addressed.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Following up with Steve's comment - and I understand that the manual is written in the most generic fashion and can be easily adapted to meet specific requirements here.

And just as an example for you and for the rest of the Board, because it was said that it was like this, and then said - this isn't the only example, but such as - it says the inspection of the ride should be performed by someone qualified to do so.

Now, I understand that that's a global, very safe statement. But in Pennsylvania, the words would be must be performed by a qualified et cetera, et cetera.

So those are the kind of issues that I think could be pointed out and come with the manual, with this here. So just to point that out to make it very specific to Pennsylvania Codes. I know this because I've looked at other codes in other states and some have no requirements. So you are - the company is protecting itself by making statements, but I think you need to be very specific. That's just my two cents' worth.

MR. REMMERT: Any other comments or questions from the Board members? I will state for the record they satisfied the submission. We had everything we needed to give the Board members. So at this point, I will receive a motion for approving this recommended submission.

And thanks for bringing it up, Steve.

44 MR. S. GORMAN: Yes. I make a motion 1 2 to approve. 3 MR. REMMERT: Mr. Gorman. Is there a 4 second? 5 MR. HALL: I second. MR. REMMERT: Mr. Hall. All those in 6 7 favor of this -. 8 MEMBER: Please state the motion. 9 MR. REMMERT: What? 10 MEMBER: Please state the motion. 11 MR. REMMERT: The motion is to 12 recommend approval of the ride submission as 1.3 submitted. 14 MEMBER: As presented? 15 MR. REMMERT: Yes. I have a motion 16 and second. All those in favor, please respond by 17 saying aye. ALL RESPOND AYE 18 19 MR. REMMERT: Any opposed? Thank you. 20 MEMBER: I have something. 21 MR. REMMERT: Go ahead. 22 MEMBER: Now that the motion has been 23 approved, your father, Jake, used to serve on this 2.4 Board -

MR. INNERS: Yes, sir.

MEMBER: - most confidently and 1 2 capably. I used to also talk to Jake when he had 3 the set up down in St. Anthony's in Wilmington, 4 Delaware. And I'm sure that if anything needed to 5 be done by Majestic Midways, that you following in 6 the tradition of your father, safety-first 7 tradition. I learned a lot about from him be 8 followed and I just felt that some of the Board 9 members that weren't here when Jake so capably and 10 courageously served after his amputation. He'd come 11 to these meeting religiously. And I just think that 12 that should be part of the record. Thank you, 1.3 Scott. 14 MR. INNERS: Thank you. I appreciate 15 And he would expect nothing less of us. 16 standards were very high for all of us that followed 17 him. 18 MR. REMMERT: Thank you. Move on to 19 the Mini Electric Train. 20 MR. SWIKA: Is this the electric train 21 that - back before Christmas? 22 MR. REMMERT: It is. 23 MR. SWIKA: Oh, okay. 2.4 MR. REMMERT: Tianjin Mickrod. 25 MR. SWIKA: Yeah.

```
46
1
                   MR. REMMERT: Joe is going to give us
2
    the summary -.
3
                   MR. SWIKA:
                               Who is the customer, Walt?
 4
                   MR. REMMERT: Go ahead.
5
                   MR. SWIKA:
                               The owner-operator?
 6
                   MS. BROWN:
                               Bill Cerny.
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 Bill?
8
                   MS. BROWN:
                                Cerny.
9
                   MR. SWIKA:
                                Cerny, yes.
10
                   MS. BROWN:
                                Local Mount.
11
                               Local Mount?
                   MR. SWIKA:
12
                   MR. REMMERT: Yes.
13
                   MR. SWIKA:
                                Okay.
14
                   I have it.
15
                   MR. REMMERT: I talked to Joe about
16
    this.
17
                   MR. FILOROMO: What we figured, it
    looked similar to a different one that's made in
18
19
    China. Randall chased down some of the specifics of
20
    it to see that, you know, it doesn't - you know,
21
    proper electrical, we were wondering about that.
22
    One of the things that I was wondering about, and we
23
    need to make sure it's noted, that this thing needs
24
    to be operated not to go down the hallways of a
25
    mall. It needs to be operated in a fenced-in area
```

indoors. But because one of the pictures appears to be in a mall without fencing, but it needs to be clear that it can be approved, but not operated without a fence.

MR. REMMERT: Any additional comments, Mr. Horn, about this submission or should we wait for questions of the Board members?

 $\underline{\text{MR. HORN:}}$ It's not a big issue to add an addendum to the operator's manual to include fencing in and the dimensional requirements.

MEMBER: I didn't have much time to review this and review what we're talking about here, but how is the speed controlled or does the operator completely? And is there a max speed?

 $\underline{\text{MR. HORN:}}$ I believe the controller for the electric drive limited the -.

MEMBER: And then on page 42 of the manual is - page 46 of our PDF, it's very vague about NDT. It says there is requirements on NDT, but then they don't tell you what they are.

MR. HORN: Now, I do not have any detail from the manufacturer or the owner as far as what's required for NDT. I would assume it's just visual. If we need to add the NDT statement to the manual, that's not a problem as well.

MR. REMMERT: Lots of head shaking here, Tim. The answer is yes for the - the NDT requirements?

MR. HORN: Correct.

 $\label{eq:member:member:member:} \underline{\text{MEMBER:}} \quad \text{They control the speed}$ somehow.

MEMBER: The accelerators are not meant to be - maximum speed is three miles an hour. There's an accelerator pedal. And if I remember, it is electronically controlled and run. So it doesn't have a speedometer, but max speed is set for three and then it's controlled by the operator.

And I'm not an expert at electric, but how do you make sure that someone, you know, you can't get electrocuted on this or shocked? Do you just have to make sure that you maintain the wires, make sure they're not getting insulation, water on them and such? What do you do with electric things?

MR. HORN: That's why -.

MR. REMMERT: Go ahead, Tim.

MR. HORN: Yeah. One of the

inspection requirements is to inspect all of the wiring and connections to make sure that there's no damage or wear. I think it's also important to inspect the battery terminal thing that you

mentioned, the battery cable. I believe there's a leak level in the battery, so I think that's one of those inspections we made.

MEMBER: Since this is a Chinese manufactured ride, I have personal experience with the Chinese government and getting American rides approved there. One of the main things that we found out that was pertaining to any NDT inspections, they were far behind what the U.S. standards are. Any Chinese manufacturer sets their own standard. I would highly recommend that it is in writing that it's done by magnetic fluorescent particle inspection and all paint and grease or dirt be removed to have clean metals for weldings and critical bolts.

 $$\operatorname{\underline{MR.\ HORN:}}$$ Yeah. I believe the manual, page 42, lists the - or 43 PDF page lists the NDT requirements.

MR. REMMERT: We're turning over to it right now, Tim. Give us a second.

 $\underline{\text{MEMBER:}} \quad \text{Mr. Horn, you are correct.}$ It does say something about having NDT, but it doesn't specify what exactly should be done.

 $\underline{\text{MR. HORN:}}$ Yeah. What it says is there are no NDT requirements at the time of the

initial production release. That's the second to the last paragraph. So it's basically visual inspections.

2.4

MEMBER: Just to clarify the way I interpreted the manual, it looks like it says the non-discrepant testing was done at the factory. And then the last statement, owner-operators will be advised of any future requirements by service bulletins. So I take that as if there's an issue, they will send out a service bulletin and tell you what that non-destructive testing is -?

MR. HORN: Yeah, that's correct.

MEMBER: So I would read it as no NDT requirements.

MEMBER: That's all well and good at the factory, but once it's in use, it's still carrying people. And if it was my product, we require 1,800 to 2,000 hours of a total NDT inspection. So I think there should be a little bit more than it was at the factory. That could carry on for years and nobody would even bother.

MR. REBBIE: I had this conversation with Joe. This is an item for discussion. I asked him where are we with NDT across the board with similar type rides or attractions and - not to use

the words crap shoot, but some do, some don't. So we have a position, but we are certainly willing to entertain a conversation about our position. years, Joe has been leading the fight to include NDT in almost everything that is submitted to us, so in some shape, manner or form. We heard from the manufacturer that we did not require NDT. believe NDT should be involved with this or in everything that we look at. We should make that a matter of record, that that is expressed. Might not always work out that way as the manufacturer can it doesn't hurt to ask for inclusion of it. And if so we have to - what circumstances and what our expectations are for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER: Mr. Chairman, just in support of what Mr. Rebbie is saying, we're all familiar with the requirements of NDT. If somebody's going to approve or show that a ride is approved, one of the things in the approval process is the AWS, so -. And they specifically state a type of testing that can be done, the frequency, the classification of the type of usage. We don't have to stop at amusement rides. It could be for a ski lift or no matter what, but usually what you would ask is if this is a critical component because of different

classifications by the code. So if we say we follow the code, then the code is already enforced. So that's - so coming into that discussion, that's where it comes from. If you want to say we're compliant in this way for AWS, if it's aluminum, if it's steel, and the requirements not only to make the weld, but to approve the weld and the cycle, the minimum amount of testing is described. In the case of Mr. Rebbie's product, he goes above and beyond, but they do call for a certain sample size, so just - that's food for thought for the future discussion.

MR. REMMERT: And I appreciate that.

And I hope there's a larger discussion. To focus back on this, the manufacturer determines expectations. And in this particular case, they affirmed that they followed non-destructive test as prescribed at the factory. Our question here in this forum is should there be an ongoing requirement for NDT, and if so, under what circumstances in this particular type of ride? For a program, that would mean you would have go back and look at similarly-approved attractions and prescribe NDT requirements, not just for this manufacturer under these circumstances, but others as well. So the Board is perfectly within their rights to recommend the

```
53
    program should consider that or, in this particular
1
2
    case, should be added to that.
3
                   So is there any further discussion?
 4
    If there is not further discussion, is there a
    recommendation? Well, first things first. Any
5
    further discussion? Seeing none, is there a
6
    recommendation? You know I can, but I'm actually -.
                                  I'll make a
8
                   MR. NESLAND:
9
    recommendation.
10
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 Thank you. Is there any
11
    caveat to that recommendation?
12
                   MR. NESLAND: I make a recommendation
13
    to approve with the caveat that the Department
14
    review the non-destructive testing procedures for
15
    this attraction and possibly other attractions of
16
    the same type.
17
                   MR. REMMERT: For any NDT testing
18
    across the board?
19
                   MR. NESLAND:
                                  Yes.
20
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                  Okay.
21
                   We have a motion. Is there a second
22
    to that?
23
                              I will second.
                   MR. HALL:
24
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                  Thank you, sir.
25
    discussion?
```

MEMBER: I don't understand the 1 2 motion, first of all. 3 MR. REMMERT: The motion in this 4 particular case is only to approve this attraction 5 with a note that says to the Department that we 6 consider reviewing non-destructive testing. So this is moved to -. DI DOMIZIO: So it's moved to approve 8 9 the application with a possible future -? 10 MR. REMMERT: In the case of this, it 11 is on record that we're going to consider NDT 12 testing as part of the submission. DI DOMIZIO: And which particular 13 14 document would that be - which particular document 15 are we referring to?

 $\underline{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}$ Right now what's being considered is the electric train.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

<u>DI DOMIZIO:</u> Any particular document in that application?

MEMBER: I think we might have - that you're just moving to approve the submission. About that particular discussion, it's separate and that is -.

MEMBER: Yeah. One of my concerns was I didn't want to put that as a requirement as we

have approved other trains of this type without that requirement. So in order to remain consistent, I'd like to see us approve it as is, and also the manufacturer didn't recommend any, which I don't really want to change the way we're doing - conduct procedures here. But that would leave the door open to go back and review and decide if we want to have stricter structured testing procedures, but put it across the board and apply it to all of our previously approved trains.

DI DOMIZIO: Excuse me, but doesn't that do exactly the opposite because it sets a precedent to say, well, it was approved here. Why can't this - in the future?

MEMBER: I'm sorry. I don't
understand.

DI DOMIZIO: When you say you can vote for it and approve it, and let's say in the future you impose some new requirements for NDT. This is going to have the opposite effect of pressuring - getting some pressure put on getting true NDT information. I mean, if this - Ohio could happen here and - if they had done due diligence. But without requirements for normal maintenance on a ride, I mean, -.

MEMBER: I think they do have requirements for normal maintenance. They just didn't have an NDT requirement.

<u>DI DOMIZIO:</u> Which is the first rule of maintenance. So does the motion need to be restated?

MEMBER: Yeah. I think it would be simpler to say that we recommend.

 $\underline{\text{MR. NESLAND:}}$ So be it. I recommend approval at this time.

MR. REMMERT: Is there a second for that?

 $$\operatorname{\underline{MR.\;HALL:}}$$ I have a lot of respect for what Brad says over the years. So I'm going to second that.

MR. REMMERT: Okay.

Any comments or discussion?

MEMBER: I'll just add that we bought other rides that do not have NDT requirements. They give you a maintenance program, but there's not an annual NDT because there's questions in there. So I'd ask - I read that sentence wrong or that whole page wrong, I think. So I retract my concern before. I think they're just saying is - NDT process, but they have a maintenance program. So

```
that's where I stand. So that's my position.
1
2
                   MR. SWIKA: Can I make a -?
 3
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 That's fine. Thank you.
                               There's a lot of times we
 4
                   MR. SWIKA:
5
    abide by like the unwritten NDT requirements.
6
    the road, something could come to the manufacturer's
    attention, we found a crack in a weld. That's when
    a lot of times the NDT requirements become mandatory
8
9
    and the procedure is provided by the manufacturer.
10
    That's normally how that seems to work.
                   MR. HORN: Typically, if there's a
11
12
    problem -.
13
                                 Tim, we're in the middle
                   MR. REMMERT:
14
    of a vote.
                This is conversation, not speculation
15
    among members. Sorry.
16
                   MR. HORN:
                             Okay.
17
                   I was just going to reference the
18
    service -.
19
                   MR. REMMERT: I appreciate that, but
20
    right now we have a recommendation. We have a
21
    second. Discussion. So we're having a discussion
22
    here. Mr. Swika, is there any other comments for
23
    this motion? Seeing none, I'll call for a vote.
    All those in favor, please respond by saying aye.
24
25
    AYES RESPOND
```

```
1
                   DI DOMIZIO: Mr. Chairman, can you
2
    call the vote, please?
3
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 We're going to go for
4
    individual votes. Mr. Swika, your vote?
5
                   MR. SWIKA: Aye.
 6
                   MEMBER: Aye.
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 Mr. Hall?
8
                   MR. HALL: Aye.
9
                   MEMBER: Aye.
10
                   DI DOMIZIO: Nay.
11
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 Thank you very much.
    Moving on to our next - Tim, we're going to ask for
12
13
    an update on the fence as discussed earlier.
14
                   MR. HORN:
                              I will get in touch with
15
    Bill Cerny and identify what the fencing dimensions
16
    are. Of course, they have to meet the ASTM
17
    requirements for height -.
18
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 Thank you.
                                              I do
19
    appreciate that. Are you on the hook for the next
20
    one on the line, the compact spinner, or no?
21
                   MR. HORN:
                              Negative.
22
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 That's what I figured.
23
    I was just making sure. The other ones that you
24
    mentioned that you're here to represent, the Pirates
25
    Revenge and the Crazy Monkey, we are not
```

entertaining today. We're moving through the process of the submission, just the information didn't get to the Board in time.

MR. HORN: Okay.

So the Crazy Monkey, Pirates Revenge by Amusement Device Manufacturing is tabled?

7 MR. REMMERT: It's not on the agenda 8 for today.

MR. HORN: All right. And the same thing of the Lehigh Valley Grand Prix?

MR. REMMERT: Yeah. We're almost done
with the - so that will not get held up. But the
Board has not had the opportunity to see the latest
of updates. We're prepared to -.

MR. HORN: All right. Do you need me for anything else?

MR. REMMERT: I do not.

MR. HORN: All right. Very good.

19 Thank you all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

20 MR. REMMERT: Thank you. Moving on to 21 Compact Spinning Coaster.

MR. B. GORMAN: Good morning,

23 everyone. Brian Gorman, B-R-I-A-N, G-O-R-M-A-N with

24 Waldameer Park. Introducing our Italian

25 manufacturer, Visa, Compact Spinning Coaster. It's

```
11 to 12 feet in height with a figure eight track
1
2
             Four ride vehicles with four riders in
3
    each, and that is all I have for an introduction.
 4
    If anyone has any comments, I'm probably going to
5
    defer them to Bill Kelley, who's still on the phone,
6
    and Steve Gorman, as he is in the information
7
    department.
8
                   MR. REMMERT: Joe Filoromo had the
9
    opportunity to review and look at this particular
10
    ride.
11
                   MR. FILOROMO:
                                  Yes.
12
                   MR. REMMERT: And any comments?
13
                   MR. FILOROMO: No.
14
                   MR. REMMERT: Do we have a complete
15
    submission from the owner and the engineer?
16
                   MR. FILOROMO: Yes, he did. Yes.
17
                   MR. REMMERT: So he met all of our
18
    requirements.
                   You have no questions or comments.
19
    Board members, I open it up for you. Would you have
20
    any questions or comments concerning this
2.1
    submission?
22
                   MEMBER:
                            Did we -?
23
                   MR. REMMERT: What's that?
24
                            I only have the cover sheets.
                   MEMBER:
25
    Did I miss the rest of the package? Is that what
```

this is? Yeah, I didn't - I had one last night -.

MEMBER: That was not intentional.

MEMBER: No, I understand. It's my fault I didn't get it.

 $\underline{\text{MR. B. GORMAN:}}$ Yes. We have a complete submission. It's a little over 200 pages.

Mr. REMMERT: And this is certainly something similar to what we had seen already in existence here. Just this manufacturer -.

MEMBER: So I had an opportunity to review this prior to the meeting and I did send a list of questions and received answers to those questions. I'm going to ask that either Steve or Brian read those questions and the replies.

MR. S. GORMAN: Okay.

On that page 49, so we talked about the manual, page 49. I believe that's a picture of the operator's console. At the top, there's a switch to control that motor speed.

MS. BROWN: Which page -?

MR. S. GORMAN: I just go by Brad's notes, so that might not be the PDF page 49, you know? Do they show page numbers? Oh, it says - yeah, it says page 22. Yeah. You have like 20 more pages probably. They provide the -.

MS. BROWN: Oh, 49. I'm sorry.

MR. S. GORMAN: The operator console to control the ride, there's a switch - a knob to
turn to control the speed of the motors that drive
the train up the hill. So the operator has control
over the speed of the train. When we ran the ride
and learned how to run the ride, we had it maxed and
that's the operator speed we watched. We're going
to actually remove that or lock it so the operator
cannot control the speed.

Next question was the way they write their manual says - okay. Children, a child riding the ride, a child needs an adult, but then they do specify the height requirements. Thirty-six (36) inches to 48, you need to have someone with you.

MEMBER: So there is a height

17 requirement?

MR. S. GORMAN: Correct.

MR. REMMERT: So to be clear, 48 and over, you're good. Between 36 and 48, you need an adult to ride?

MR. S. GORMAN: That's correct. And there's a maximum height of 77 inches. We do have a - to control that. If someone's too tall, they won't fit in the car very well. And then they also

have some vague words about how the body shape of a passenger just will not fit and be difficult to contain in the car. And then further out, they talk about you have to have two clicks of a lap bar to be successfully contained. So we view that as saying if their body shape doesn't allow two clicks, then you're not going to be able to ride the ride.

Long hair must be contained, and we have to decide on our own what is considered long hair? Weight restriction of 242 pounds. We are not weighing people. We do our best on all of our rides to make sure we limit the weights of the customers based on their height. So we're operational questions basically.

Every disabled person must be accompanied, it says. We have a policy that anyone who's disabled can go up the exit of our rides to get quicker access to the ride, but they must be accompanied by someone with them that can help them get on the ride, get off the ride. We are not helping in that regard.

Loose items such as selfies, lighters, are not allowed and we agree that's hard to monitor. We do the best on all our rides to make sure people don't have loose items that can fly off.

They talk about wind speed and they say you must have that monitored. We do have that monitored wind speed on our cars. So we can limit the operation if the winds are too high.

2.4

They talk about soil conditions, to make sure that the soil conditions can support the load and we did have a foundation review with a local civil engineering company and poured a big concrete slab bigger than they - bigger and better than they ask for in the manual. We think really that - that dimension in their manual is really meant for travelling equipment because it's got to be portable.

Do we have a written evacuation plan, because they mentioned that in the manual? And yes, we do, and we practice that on any of the rides.

Okay.

There's an air hose to release the lap bars to get the pneumatic air to the cars. So it's a manual thing that the operator has to after each ride plug it into the ride to abort, and deal with the lap bars. You can't run the ride - the ride will not - the control system won't let you start the ride and run the ride with that attached. You have someone that knows where that nozzle is.

There's some things in the manual that I want to have them change, and I wanted to wait for this meeting to - but one of them was they asked for us to check the grounding and bonding daily. And I think that that's not a daily requirement. So we're going to have to see if they can clarify that. We do have a grounding rod attachment to that. NDT requires they list - and they answer that. They clarify that in another paragraph. They talk about NDT in an ultrasonic phased array. And there's some boxed structures - just to be clear, we have completely welded and sealed box frames, and we're going to use a thickness measurement to check that periodically.

2.4

 $\underline{\text{MEMBER:}}$ So do they have any - can they breathe at all?

MR. S. GORMAN: No. Am I correct, Brian? They're completely sealed?

MR. B. GORMAN: Correct, similar to what was installed last year. And there's no NDT requirements specifically to measure the wall thickness, but proactively, we're using our own thickness gauge at our monthly inspections to determine if that's something we want to investigate further.

MEMBER: Well, the situation I would monitor in Erie is whether or not you ever are getting any condensation inside that square tubing and freeze down the grid. Monitoring - figure that out before you have a freeze issue so you can control it.

MEMBER: Why can't -?

 $\underline{\text{MR. B. GORMAN:}}$ I don't have a good answer. Other than we do test the wall thickness with a probe.

MEMBER: There's normally a weep hole somewhere, but -.

MR. B. GORMAN: Mostly I would say it be a visual - like an NDT visual of the tubing to make sure that it's sound and true to its form and not swelling and cracking.

MEMBER: Does the manufacturer require any additional items?

MR. S. GORMAN: We also - we also put filters in so they don't get exposed to extreme - they will freeze, but they're not going to be exposed to precipitation.

MEMBER: The last thing you have in there was, the manual says all the maintenance is based on a five-hour operating day, which seems

```
surprising. Maybe rewrite that.
1
2
                   MR. S. GORMAN: Yeah. I think that's
3
    a good idea.
 4
                   MEMBER:
                            Thank you. The main reason I
5
    wanted you to read that is I wanted - I mean, I
6
    didn't want to sit here and not have any questions
    and have people think that we don't treat our Board
    members the same way we treat everybody else, so -
9
    Yvonne, can you go back to that original picture?
10
                   MS. BROWN:
                               The very beginning?
11
                   MEMBER: The ride, yeah.
12
                   MS. BROWN: Okay.
13
                   Give me a second.
14
                   MEMBER:
                            The fence, is that wide
15
    opening or is that a four-inch opening? That's my
               Is it a standard four inches?
16
    question.
17
                   MR. S. GORMAN: It's three and a half
18
    actually.
19
                            Three and a half?
                   MEMBER:
20
                   MR. S. GORMAN:
                                   Where do you mean?
21
    Where do you mean?
22
                   MEMBER: Suspension, that are in the
23
    ride.
24
                   MR. S. GORMAN: In the back it's two
25
    by two actually. It's a mesh.
```

2 MR. S. GORMAN: Welded wire. Welded 3 wire mesh. 4 MEMBER: I can't see it. 5 MR. S. GORMAN: Yeah. 6 MEMBER: All right. 7 MR. B. GORMAN: It's about seven feet 8 tall, too. 9 MEMBER: Oh, I see it now. Yeah. 10 Right. 11 MR. REMMERT: So I have no further 12 Any questions from Board members? questions.

MEMBER: Oh. Oh. All right.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Mr. Chairman, I have to ask, it's made in Italy. So when you received it, was it ready to plug right in at 60 Hertz?

 $\underline{\text{MR. B. GORMAN:}}$ They missed that when they shipped it to us.

MR. S. GORMAN: Again, I'm not going to give you the - I'm going to - it was shipped. It needed a new transformer. It needed - we needed a transformer to change it to get power. I wish I could call our electric engineer right now. They had to provide a different transformer for us so that we brought it to 60 Hertz.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Oh. So it was

```
1
    designed for 60, but you just needed voltage
2
    difference?
3
                   MR. S. GORMAN: Right.
                                            Yeah.
 4
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: So that was probably
5
 6
                   MR. S. GORMAN: We wanted 480, three
7
    phase, 60 Hertz.
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: So what it required
9
    was 400 volts or was it 50 Hertz or 60 Hertz?
10
                   MR. S. GORMAN: I can check and give
    you an answer in about three minutes if we want
11
12
    to -.
13
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: I just think it's
14
    interesting for my own -.
15
                   MR. S. GORMAN:
                                   Yeah. I'll get you
16
    that answer before we leave.
17
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: Because that
18
    represents to me, you know, a learning curve - so I
19
    would like to know and I trust you implicitly.
20
                   MR. NESLAND: I make a motion to
21
    approve as submitted.
22
                   MEMBER:
                            Second.
23
                   MR. REMMERT: All those in favor,
24
    please respond by saying aye.
25
    AYES RESPOND
```

1 MR. REMMERT: Are there any opposed? 2 Thank you. You also - answer in three minutes. 3 Moving on to new business and not to 4 take away your fire, but Leonard, could you stand up 5 for a moment? Mr. Leonard Adams. I don't know if 6 anybody realizes the significance of this, but for 7 years and years, eight years and some, he's - the 8 last permanent full-time hires program was taking 9 Randall from a seasonal part-time position to full 10 time, then we lost two seasonal positions. 11 Adams represents an investment back into the 12 program. So we have hired an additional safety 13 inspector for the state's program, represented by 14 Mr. Adams, who came on board right after the new 15 year, right? So you're our new year's employee, 16 which is awesome. 17 MR. REBBIE: So Mr. Randall was the

 $\frac{\text{MR. REBBIE:}}{\text{No Mr. Randall was the}} \quad \text{So Mr. Randall was the}$ last full-time hire. How long ago was that? $\frac{\text{MR. ARNDT:}}{\text{Eight and a half years,}} \quad \text{Eight and a half years,}$ almost nine years.

MR. REBBIE: Okay.

So you're no longer a rookie.

Congratulations, Lenny.

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. ADAMS: Thank you, Tommy.

MR. REMMERT: So as you can see, it

took Joe a while to browbeat me into sense, but we've invested and we'll continue to invest in the program until we find that happy medium. We are - we appreciate it. So - thanks for taking the time today from the seminar Larry should be at, overseeing to some here. I just want to see more attendance. Not only does the industry take what we do seriously, but the program does as well, and we're happy to have him, excited at the possibility of the potential. Okay.

We're moving on to two submissions for new business discussing modifications. One is an easy one, which we're going to start with, all right, which should be just paperwork.

MR. FILOROMO: Well, we have one that - the Impulse we pretty much have. Well, we have everything for both. The Impulse is complete. You have a copy. Basically that is a major modification. They'll tell you the details. The only thing that we'll need will be the testing.

And the other one is major

modifications to the Flying Turn. That is basically

- it's a modification of the profile and they do fit

in other parts from the ride. There's nothing

really new there. It's just changed. And that

again, should be pending the final testing. The information on that, we have all - you know, one separate updated file of the original manuals. And basically, it's the same information. So that is all.

2.3

MR. REMMERT: So what I'll ask you to present to us is to have a discussion on the Flying Turn first. Let's start with that, and then we'll follow with the Impulse, and have the Board members that are here ask questions for consideration.

Obviously, as one of the ride owners and operators here in Pennsylvania longstanding, they have been transparent throughout the entire process with - they've been compliant with our requests. So - they have them here at Hershey, so take it away, Trevor, please.

MR. KNOEBEL: Good morning. Trevor Knoebel, K-N-O-E-B-E-L, owner and operator of Knoebel's Amusement Resort. And I'm here today along with Jim Martini, director of our technical maintenance. I'm going to let Jim do most of the talking around the Flying Turns. Turn it over to Jim.

MR. MARTINI: That's Martini,
M-A-R-T-I-N-I. And we're here for conditional

approval of some minor enhancements there we had made to the Flying Turns ride. The Flying Turns ride we put in in 2013. It's a great ride we brought back from history. If you haven't had a chance to ride it, it's a great experience. On the ride itself, it's a toboggan-type car that would go through a wood trough at a frequency from turn to turn, that uses a frequency three places on the ride up to this point. We have three lift hills where we capture the car. And in capturing the car, we would take it up a lift hill and move on to the next experience on the ride.

It's been a great ride for us, but the one thing that we have that we need to enhance would be at the end of the ride, the last hill before it comes into the brake run is about ten foot high. It takes us about one to two hours every day to get the ride warmed up in the morning to be able to make it hit that location, and then we're good for the rest of the day.

You know, the thing that we need to improve is that because it takes about an hour or two to get those bearings in the wheels warmed up, we can't put an additional train on during the day because we would have to shut the ride down and- for

an hour so you get those wheels warmed up on the additional train.

So you know, capacity wise, it would help us to get the ride open. And to be able to pull those trains in, you know, we have maintenance people out there working on that train every day. I think it'd be safer for it.

So what we did at the end of the ride is we are re-profiling the end of the ride to that brake run, and we're going to take out where it was uphill to that brake run, and put a fourth lift hill in, the same size motor, the same gear box, the same designers, same design, to bring into the brake run so we're guaranteeing that every train will come home easily to that brake run.

Most of the trains will actually really not even use the lift. They'll go over that lift with no help. The trains that need that help with speed sensing will be able to go up over that hill to that area. So it's going to be a great thing to help us out in keeping a great ride running with less maintenance and safer, I think.

We do have Bill Kelley on the phone right now. Bill had done the original analysis on the ride, and he had done the - also the analysis on

the changes to the ride. If you have any questions to what has been done.

MR. REMMERT: So this is one of those housekeeping moments, so the Board understands. Joe and I just had a quick sidebar. We have to treat this like a major modification. It's not a choice. What they're doing, we absolutely understand and appreciate it. We're all about it, but we have to go through the process so it has - it would have to go through the Board as making modification, even though we understand that what you're effecting is in the best interest of you and safety, there's no - that's why they're bringing it before you today.

Short of that, does a Board member have any questions or concerns about what's being submitted and what they're going to be sharing with the Board to move on with the process? Okay. We'll wait until the end of the discussion. Do you want to move on to the Impulse?

MR. MARTINI: Sure. So with our
Impulse steel tower coaster, we are - there are two
things that we are driving, the reason to the
modification. We are getting three new vehicles for
the ride. They are eight passenger, two row, you
know, four passengers per row, front and back,

running three new vehicles, and modifications to the lift hill system that carries the car up the hill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The system is - the main system is called the drive system. And the chain system that takes the cars up the hill is not changing. However, the anti-rollback of that system is being replaced. It was originally a ratcheted anti-rollback dock system. It's being - that's being removed and replaced with a magnetic system, the cars were already equipped with magnets to start for the main brake run on the ride. We're now just - so now we're putting in place fins on the lift hill itself. It'll be a retractable fin, so as the car ascends up the lift, the magnets in the car will push up the magnets forward in a cam-type design. And it'll sort of move it out of the way so that the motor doesn't have to pull to that additional magnetic field. As the car would roll back or these fins are in home position, it would control the descent of that car back down to the horizontal position on the lift hill approach.

So I'm going to take any questions.

You know, Bill is also on the phone. Bill Kelley
has reviewed - he was originally the engineer who
signed off on the Impulse when we originally

commissioned it. Bill has also done the review of the modifications that we're currently taking. So Bill can also answer some questions.

2.4

 $\underline{\text{MEMBER:}}$ So I have a question for you.

MR. MARTINI: Uh-huh (yes).

MEMBER: Is this system completely unique or is it used somewhere else?

MR. MARTINI: There is a similar system at Coney Island's Thunderbolt that uses the same type of fin, retractable fins, that, you know, will move forward and back as the train passes over in the normal operating direction within the - it'll be outbound to control the descent of the car backward.

MEMBER: Did the same designers do that one or is that a different group?

MR. MARTINI: No, different. This is done by Art Engineering, A-R-T, Engineering in Germany. I believe - the Thunderbolt, I believe, is manufactured in the same -.

MEMBER: Yeah, it probably is.

MR. KELLEY: This is Bill.

MR. REMMERT: Go ahead.

MR. KELLEY: Excuse me, this is Bill

25 Kelley. I'd like to point out a few things on this.

You know, I was kind of concerned anti-rollback, but I'd like to point out a few things that they've incorporated that I think Trevor didn't. Number one, they're intensifying the fins to ensure that they will - the springs on them don't break. So that anytime they sense - so that they can't operate.

And then, you know, ASTM says you have to have an anti-rollback essentially in one section, and then another section says you don't have to have it if it doesn't - you know, if a failure couldn't cause harm. I talked to ASTM - to the people over at ASTM about that to make sure that - you know, there's like conflicting requirements to meet and they all - and they all felt that the - if the ride - you know, if it was properly designed, and if you could have some, you know, some failures and that everything was still safe, then this would meet ASTM requirements. That's all I wanted to talk about.

Do you ever have more than one vehicle on the lift?

MR. MARTINI: No. No. The way the locking system is provided, there's only one car out on the - from the point where it meets the lift until it returns, you know, past the brake run. So

So I had another question.

MEMBER:

another car would not be able to proceed until the car that went before was through the brake run.

MEMBER: So can there be a car at the base of the lift while there's a car going up the lift?

MR. MARTINI: No.

MEMBER: And when the car comes down the lift - say you have a power outage, the car comes down the lift in a controlled manner. Where does it stop and how does it stop?

MR. MARTINI: So the fins actually are down the vertical tower, but then they also go back partway and most of the way through the horizontal approach. And there's also friction drive, friction drive tires that, you know, control the speed of the car as it approaches the lift. Those have motor brakes.

So there's a couple things, you know. The magnets will control the speed. It will have, you know, a slow speed. The friction brake tires would apply friction. And also, the approach is at a descending angle so it would be going uphill at that point. So we spoke with the engineer on this design system and he assured us there's no way the car would have momentum to make its way back towards

the station.

2 <u>MEMBER:</u> That's a magnetic correction 3 -.

MR. MARTINI: On the chain system, yeah. There is a - these's an additional magnetic system on the idle sprocket of the chain. That's mainly there to protect the drive motor that drives the chain. The drive motor originally had an anti-rollback ratchet built into that that will be removed, so that as the car descends down the hill, it's going to be pulling the chain along with it.

And there was concern that they didn't want to speed that motor in a reverse direction to cripple it from the RPMs, so they added a magnetic wheel system that, in normal direction, it's tied in with the one idle sprocket. So normal direction, this wheel will sit idle. But as soon as the chain reverses direction, it has a inner directional clutch that would become engaged, spin this wheel, and add additional resistance to the chain system so that it can't over-speed the motor. But it's also meant to add additional drag to the car coming down.

MR. REMMERT: Any other questions?

I'll look to the pleasure of the Board. It is

traditional. It has been done either way. This is

informational. Can move for conditional approval or anything - and pending the final results of the - and related technical requirements. So is there anybody who's interested in offering a motion for either one of these, either Flying Turns or the Impulse? All right.

MR. REBBIE: Make a motion for both.

All right. Anybody

second?

MR. REMMERT: Any discussion for either one?

MR. REMMERT:

MEMBER: Yeah. I have a quick discussion for the Flying Turn. So do you just rewrite the control system to have that fourth lift?

MR. MARTINI: Yes. We had rewritten the manual and the control system, an additional start/stop button on the operator console. Also sensors, two end sensors were added for a secondary speed as it goes up the lift. And two of the sensors prior to it that existed would start the lift as the car approaches. And a sensor after the lift would turn this lift off after the train would go over it.

MEMBER: Who did you hire to do that control system check or do you have that -?

MR. MARTINI: Well, what it is, the original control system was done by Ed Ayun. Ed's done a lot of work for many parks over the years and has done a lot of work for us at the park. He's a programmer that's done a lot for us over the years and is very good. So he did the original program, and Ed will also be - he has already - actually, he's already done the modifications. We just have to test it once the ride is running again.

2.4

MEMBER: Thank you, Jim.

MR. REMMERT: I'll offer based on the pleasure of the board. First up, conditional approval for Flying Turns as submitted to us with the intention that this approval will go through once the Department's satisfied and receives all the remaining testing documents and any requirements after they run tests and provide the operation with modifications. Any significant changes, that information be provided to the Board, and the Board can decide whether to come back with programming and the expectation that they'll provide the submission once all the data is in. That's first. Do we have a second for that? We have a first.

MR. HOUGHTON: Second.

MR. REMMERT: All right.

83

All those in favor please respond by 1 2 saying aye. AYES RESPOND 3 MR. REMMERT: Any opposed? All right. 4 5 We're going to switch from Flying Turns to Impulse 6 with the same expectations, that's conditional 7 approval, completed submission, after testing if 8 there's anything different from what they presented 9 today, the Board will be informed and the Board will 10 make a decision whether it will go further. 11 So is there a second to that? 12 MR. HALL: (Indicates yes.) 13 MR. REMMERT: Any discussion required? All those in favor, please indicate by saying aye. 14 15 AYES RESPOND 16 MR. REMMERT: Are there any opposed? 17 Okay. It's the home stretch and we're right on 18 I'll give everybody an opportunity for Board time. 19 member comments. Take your time. I'm not rushing 20 anybody. So if you have comments, please let me 21 know and I will allow you the opportunity to provide 22 comments. 23 MEMBER: We didn't get any expense 24 sheet to sign today. 25 MR. REMMERT: Thank you.

passed me a note. And so if you have expenses, they won't be handled by Natalie. We are doing our best to fill in while she's not here and to work through the details. So we'll work it out. Any other comments from Board members?

MEMBER: May it please the Chairman, I wish Natalie a quick road to recovery. Can't wait to get her back to work.

MR. REMMERT: Very good. We will pass it along when we hear from her. I assure you that she is in good health and good spirits. I'm not allowed to speak of the nature of it, but she's doing fine. Last but not least, I believe the now retired Harry Chubb might have - yes. Yes. This is the time for public comments, yes.

It's C-H-U-B-B, just to save him the hassle.

MR. CHUBB: So good morning. Okay.

All right.

Just a quick recap of the ride safety seminar here which was held last October. I don't think we've had a meeting since then, so - but we did have a successful seminar out in the Altoona area. We did a hands-on day out at DelGrosso's Park. Special thanks to the Bureau for sending some

books out there and - help out with some testing and stuff. And Joe did two classes for us, so we appreciate that.

The report that - the 2020 paid seminar is currently scheduled for the end of October. I'll have to get information to the Bureau about that, but tentatively, we're looking at the dates of October 27th through the 30th up in Bloomsburg. We'll have classes at the Bloomsburg University and we'll have to determine whether or not we're going to do testing at the - Hershey or at the hotel, so - but this is actually a Tuesday through Friday event. A lot of classes will go 24 hours and 32 hours as well.

Let's see. On the other side of things, from the NAARSO side of things, Jack Seiler had been the Chairman of the Board for NAARSO for a number of years. I was nominated and elected to be the Chairman of the Board for the NAARSO organization. So if you have any information or - the assistance with NAARSO business, I'll be happy to address those. And Mr. Cavallaro and I had already had conversations about that in the spirit of cooperation. And I look forward working with everybody again in 2020. Thank you.

	86
1	MEMBER: Congratulations.
2	MR. REMMERT: Now you made it, right?
3	Any other public comments to go
4	through the Board today? I need a final motion for
5	today.
6	<u>DI DOMIZIO:</u> Moved.
7	MR. REMMERT: You have to make it,
8	yeah. There you go. Do I have a second?
9	MR. HOUGHTON: Second.
10	MR. REMMERT: All those in favor?
11	ALL RESPOND AYE
12	MR. REMMERT: We are adjourned. Thank
13	you, everybody.
14	* * * * * *
15	HEARING CONCLUDED AT 12:07 P.M.
16	* * * * * *
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was reported by me on 02/25/2020 and that I, Lori A. Behe, read this transcript, and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Dated the 13th day of March, 2020

/)ini

Lori A. Behe