## AMUSEMENT RIDE SAFETY ADVISORY BOARD MEETING APRIL 16, 2019

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE HARRISBURG, PA 10:00 AM

......

## **ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS**

Bureau Director, Walter Remmert

Thomas Rebbie Philadelphia Toboggan Coaster Inc. **Bradley Nesland** Dorney Park PA State Showmen's Association Jim Houghton Stephen Gorman Waldameer Park John D. Blaney, Jr \*\*Absent PA State Assoc. of County Fairs William B. Hall, III Public Member John C. Pittman Public Member Kenneth Potter, Jr. Special Advisor John D. Makrias Special Advisor PA State Showmen's Association Stephen Swika, III Robert A. Di Domizio, Jr. PE **RAD Engineering Corporation** 

......

# **AGENDA**

- A. CALL TO ORDER Bureau Director, Walter Remmert
  - a. Sunshine Law Announcement
- **B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:** 
  - a. Approval of the minutes January 23, 2019
- C. SECRETARY'S REMARKS:
- D. DIRECTOR'S REPORT:
- **E. OLD BUSINESS:**

# **NEW RIDE APPROVALS**

**MANUFACTURER** 

Roll Models Inc.

Mont Lawn Camp & Retreat Center

Sky Zone, LLC Sky Zone, LLC

Sky Zone LLC

Soriani, S.r.l.

**Adventure Solutions** 

S & S Worldwide

Warrior Trainer Zip Line

**RIDE NAME** 

Midi Discovery 360 (aka Midi Discovery)

Zip Line (Gary's Putter Golf) Steel Curtain -\*\*Discussion for

Train – Thomas the Tank Engine

**Indoor Climbing Wall** 

Trapeze & Swing

**Conditional Approval** 

**G) NEW BUSINESS:** 

Battech Enterprises, LLC\*

\*Manufacturer name change.

Downdraft (Knoebel's)

Sally Corporation

Reese's Cupfusion (Hershey Park)

- H) BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS:
- I) PUBLIC COMMENTS:
- J) ADJOURNMENT:

Upcoming Advisory Board meetings will be held at the Department of Agriculture at 10am in room 309

> Tuesday, August 20, 2019 Wednesday, October 16, 2019

#### AMUSEMENT RIDE SAFETY

#### ADVISORY BOARD MEETING

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE: WALTER REMMERT, Bureau Director

Thomas Rebbie, Member

Bradley Nesland, Member

Jim Houghton, Member

Stephen Gorman, Member

William B. Hall, III, Member

John C. Pittman, Member

Kenneth Potter, Jr., Member

John D. Makrias, Member

Stephen Swika, III, Member

Robert A. Di Dominizio, Jr., Member

Natalie Behe, Member

Joseph Filoromo, Member

Yvonne Brown, Member

Randall Arndt, Member

Reporter: Cynthia Piro Simpson

Any reproduction of this transcript
is prohibited without authorization
by the certifying agency

HEARING: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

10:00 a.m.

LOCATION: Department of Agriculture

2301 N. Cameron Street

Room 309

Tom Snyder, Vincent Barrios,

Harrisburg, PA 17110

WITNESSES: Gary Reese, Ethan Richardson, Tom Jones, Dan Ziegler, Rob Henninger, Michael Tye, Blake Rush,

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

		2
1	I N D E X	3
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Mr. Remmert	6 – 7
5	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	7 - 11
6	Gary's Putter Golf and Zip Line	11 - 12
7	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	12 - 26
8	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	27 - 29
9	Mont Lawn Camp and Retreat	30 - 31
10	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	31 - 38
11	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	38 - 39
12	S&S Worldwide	
13	Kennywood - Steel Curtain	40 - 41
14	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	41 - 53
15	Trapeze and Swing, Sky Zone Monroeville	5 4
16	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	54 - 68
17	Warrior Trainer, Sky Zone Monroeville	68 - 71
18	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	72 - 79
19	Zip Line, Sky Zone Monroeville	79 - 80
20	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	80 - 83
21	Midi Discovery 360	83 - 84
22	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	84 - 91
23	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	91 - 92
24		
25		

		4
1	I N D E X (cont'd)	
2		
3	Knoebel's Amusement Resort	92 - 94
4	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	94 - 96
5	HersheyPark - Reese's Cupfusion	96 - 100
6	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	100 - 104
7	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	104 - 115
8	CERTIFICATE	116
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		5
	EXHIBITS	
		Page
Number	Description	Offered
	NONE OFFERED	
	Number	Number Description

## PROCEEDINGS

2

1

| --

3 4

5

6

7

8

also.

9

10

11

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20 | 21

22

23

24

25

MR. REMMERT: It is the appointed time at the appointed place. It is about ten o'clock. I'm going to do some quick introductions, and get people on the phone acclimated to this environment if they haven't been here before and the rest of us who haven't been here before, we'll acclimate you

I'm Walt Remmert. I am the Bureau

Director for ride measurement standards. I do

oversee the Amusement Ride Safety Program as one of
the programs in the Bureau. I am filling in for

Deputy Fred Strathmeyer who is unable to be here

today. As a matter of fact, all of the deputies -.

\_\_\_\_

(WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A BRIEF INTERRUPTION IN THE RECORD.)

\_\_\_\_

MR. REMMERT: It's currently occupied with the PA Farm bill so as there are a variety of locations around the Commonwealth, they leave us in the capable hands of people who are experts in what they do and -.

MR. WEBB: Hello, everyone. I just

7

want to just note this is Edward Webb for Adventure Solutions here.

MR. REMMERT: Can you hear me, Edward Webb? Mr. Webb?

<del>-</del>

(WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A PAUSE IN THE RECORD.)

<del>-</del> -

MR. REMMERT: Can I get somebody who's holding on the phone to confirm whether you can hear us today?

All right. I'm going to continue.

We're having technical difficulties.

13 We will get it fixed shortly. So for now, we're

14 going to go on with our agenda. A few minutes late.

15 We're going to call the meeting to order. Natalie,

16 has the Sunshine been advertised in accordance with

17 the law?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BEHE: Yes.

MR. REMMERT: Have we passed the minutes out and received amendments for the Board Members.

MS. BEHE: Yes.

MR. REMMERT: And have all the Board Members had the opportunity to review the minutes from the last meeting? Are there any corrections,

```
modifications or discussions for the minutes?
1
2
                   Seeing none -.
3
                   Hello on the phone. Can you hear us?
                   MR. WEBB: I can barely hear you.
 4
                   MR. REMMERT: Well, that is the
5
6
    beginning. We're working on a little technical
    issues. Am I getting any better? Can you hear us
    any better?
8
9
                   MR. WEBB: I can barely hear you.
10
    It's very faint. Should I try to call in again?
11
                   MR. REMMERT: No, hang on. We're
12
    going to try the volume -.
13
                   Am I any better now?
14
15
        (WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A BRIEF INTERRUPTION IN THE
16
        RECORD.)
17
18
                   MR. REMMERT: Is there anybody still
19
    online, on the phone?
20
                   If you all did not know, the
21
    Commonwealth changed from no Skype to requiring us
22
    to use Skype for business. And though they sell you
23
    a bill of goods, it does not always work as
24
    advertised. We've done this more than a couple of
25
    times. We're actually better at this than it
```

9

```
1
    appears.
2
                   However, they did just begin to change
3
    technology up in these offices so we'll get people
    back online. We'll deal with the folks in front of
4
5
    us and move to the rest of our agenda. If we can
6
    get the folks online, we'll pick them up next time
7
    and we'll continue on with what we're doing.
8
                   So at this point, I am looking for a
9
    motion to approve the minutes from January 23rd,
10
    2019.
11
                   MR. PITTMAN: I'll make that motion.
12
                   MR. REMMERT: Thank you, Mr. Pittman.
                   Is there a second?
13
                   MR. GORMAN: Second.
14
15
                   MR. REMMERT: Is there any discussion?
16
                   All those in favor of approving the
17
    minutes, say aye.
18
        (WHEREUPON, AYES RESPOND.)
19
20
21
                   MR. REMMERT: Are there any opposed?
22
                   Okay.
23
                   Thank you very much, gentlemen.
24
                   I started the agenda with the
25
    secretary remarks, so I will move in to the
```

Director's Report, which is basically -. Mr. Gorman brought to my attention that I failed to distribute the 2018 accident information. So at the end of this meeting today, if you want to leave with a hard copy, I'll give that to you, but it will also be in your email later today.

(WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A BRIEF INTERRUPTION IN THE RECORD.)

MR. REMMERT: So either way, either a hard copy paper today or at the end of today it will be in your email. That is also available for the general public. We'll be putting it on the website as we typically do. If you would like a hard copy of it or email, stop by and see Natalie, Yvonne or myself and we'll make sure that we get that to you.

All right.

Moving into new business, I'm going to ask to see who we have present here today. I know that we have somebody from Adventure Solutions. We may not have everybody on the phone, but I'm sure we'll get through it. Do we have anybody from Roll Models, Inc.? I'm pretty sure they were called.

Do we have anybody from the Mont Lawn

1 | Camp and Retreat?

2.4

Very good. Thank you.

Sky Zone?

Midi Discovery? S&S? And of course, we do have a representative from Hershey, and Brian Knoebel will be here hopefully, traffic willing, before the end of the meeting, as a discussion for the down draft.

All right.

And as I offered and appreciate it, if there's no issue, we'll get right to the agenda. So let's move into Adventure Solutions Zip Line. Is there a representative here?

If you'd step up to the table and grab a seat. The table right in front of you. Yep.

Have a seat up here. You're going to get on the hot seat. There's a button on that microphone that turns the light from off, on. So if you'd hit the push button right in front of you. Say your first name and spell your last name for the stenographer.

 $\underline{MR. REESE:}$  Gary Reese, R-E-E-S-E.

MR. REMMERT: And you're here representing Unique, one of a kind, zip line.

Is that correct?

MR. REESE: Pardon me?

12

1 MR. REMMERT: A unique, one of a kind 2 zip line? 3 MR. REESE: Gary's Putter Golf Yes. 4 and Zip Line. 5 MR. REMMERT: We're going to get your 6 submission up and the Board Members acclimated. And then we might have some questions for you. Would you give a summary of this 8 9 submission? 10 MR. REESE: It's a zip line. We went 11 through the whole thing. It's good to go. 12 MR. REMMERT: Single person platform 13 to platform built. We had some issues with the 14 original submission. This is why it wasn't here 15 sooner. Not issues or Gary's fault, just incomplete 16 - all the issues that were incomplete and been provided to us and are in current submission. 17 18 I'd ask for the Board Members to see 19 if you have any specific questions to this 20 particular submission. Anything you'd like to ask Gary about? 21 22 MR. REESE: And I have other pictures 23 and things like that, if they need any pictures. 2.4 MR. REMMERT: Very good, sir. 25 I have a couple MR. NESLAND:

questions.

If you could bring up page nine on the second line down on number four, that long hair must be pulled back as not to get entangled in the trolley. Is that good enough if they just pull their hair back so they can ensure it doesn't get entangled?

Okay.

 $\underline{\text{MR. REESE:}}$  Well, when they pull it back, they will also get it tied in to the helmet that we have.

MR. NESLAND: So you're saying take it's in like in a bun or something? Is that what you're saying?

MR. REESE: Yeah. Depending on how long is it and that, what we have to take and tie around it in order to get it so it's not just floating around.

MR. NESLAND: Go to page 11. So at the bottom of the page, number nine, staff roles.

One of the statements is know the maximum speed and loads of the ride and do not exceed them. So what is the - what is the speed limit of the line and how can the operator affect it?

MR. REESE: The speed limit of the

1 line is about 23 miles an hour. They check that. 2 MR. NESLAND: Can the operator affect 3 that? 4 MR. REESE: No. 5 MR. NESLAND: Okay. 6 So I mean, essentially, it's just -7 you're making sure that -. MR. REESE: It's a gravity - it's a 8 9 gravity flow. 10 MR. NESLAND: Okay. 11 So they just have to make sure that they're following the weight limits, is that -? 12 13 MR. REESE: The weight limit is between 70 and 270. And we do have scales, of 14 15 which, we look at them, if you feel they're over, you say, okay, now you've taken - you can weigh 16 17 yourself because our attorney right now, is working 18 on a waiver. So they would sign that and we don't have to look at the weight that they have. So it's 19 20 up to them whether they say okay, yeah, we're okay 21 to do that. 22 MR. NESLAND: Also on page 12, one of 23 the requirements is to have an evacuation plan and 24 practice. Do you guys have that? 25 And when I say page 12, it's page 12

of our document. 1 2 MR. REESE: Okay. 3 MR. NESLAND: So on yours, it's -. MR. REESE: Yes. What we have - what 4 5 we have is, where they take and go from the landing 6 up to and they go along the cable that we have to 7 take and retrieve them. Hook onto them and then bring them back to the landing. 8 9 MR. NESLAND: So you do have a written 10 evaluation plan? 11 MR. REESE: We have - and we have 12 practiced that, yes. And we can do that. 13 MR. NESLAND: And number 11 on that 14 same page, if you look at the bottom, it's in -15 that's page seven for you. There's a couple of 16 blanks and question marks. I'm trying to figure out 17 what they meant there. It says zipping in and then 18 there's a blank and a question mark, and then - and 19 another to follow. 20 MR. REESE: I don't - I don't know 21 about this. 22 MR. NESLAND: Can you - can you -? 23 I'm going to proceed on the same 2.4 document.

25

(WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A BRIEF INTERRUPTION IN THE RECORD.)

\_\_\_\_

MR. NESLAND: Okay.

So I'm understanding your manual is not the same as the manual I'm reading?

 $\frac{\text{MR. REESE:}}{\text{evidently you have a}}$  generic one, I'm not sure. But this is a specific one that I have, if you -.

MR. NESLAND: So the Department has a different one?

MR. REESE: They should have this one, yes. The Department should have this one. It was brought down in February.

MR. ARNDT: The copy that we have, we take that and the summary on the first page, the engineer's letter on the second page and maybe a picture and then the rest is that and so the pages are not always in the same order as when we get them. I think to make it one document, understand?

MR. GORMAN: I talked to Gary yesterday on the phone to help clarify this. The manual that we have, the file that we're reviewing is a generic manual that Adventure Solutions provided. He paid us \$2,000 to write a specific one

17

```
for his site, which is way more elaborate, and
1
2
    that's what -?
3
                   MR. REESE: Not this?
                   MR. GORMAN: He said he brought it to
 4
5
    your - to you guys in February. He said he brought
6
    it to you in February, so your department.
7
                   You said you brought it here in
8
    February, right? That one.
9
                   MR. FILOROMO: So - just more - just
10
    more detailed is all?
11
                   MR. GORMAN: Yes, it's more detailed.
12
                   I would propose that you - that we
13
    make sure that we have that on file and probably
14
    answer your -.
15
                   MR. NESLAND: I would be okay with
16
    that, yes.
17
                   MR. GORMAN: I would think -.
18
                   MR. NESLAND: Okay.
19
                   I'm going to move forward with
20
    questions and see if there's ones that you're able
    to answer from your -?
21
22
                   Okay?
23
                   MR. REESE: Okay.
24
                   MR. NESLAND: So it won't matter what
25
    page it's on, but at one point it says active
```

braking to be performed with a leather glove. 1 2 Are they using their hand to perform 3 active breaking? 4 MR. REESE: No. 5 I don't know where that came from 6 because they have handles that they grip and coming 7 down, there's -. They don't grab the lanyard or 8 anything at any time. They're strictly on handles. 9 MR. NESLAND: Steve's telling me that 10 you don't have the braking system that I'm looking 11 at, so that would explain why you don't use your 12 hands. 13 MR. REESE: Yeah, the braking is -14 yeah. 15 MR. NESLAND: Okay. 16 MR. REESE: In that manual, it should

MR. REESE: In that manual, it should show the braking system that we have.

MR. NESLAND: You use a spring for a braking system.

20 Right?

17

18

19

23

24

25

MR. REESE: Well, no. That's - that's an emergency braking system.

 $\frac{\text{MR. NESLAND:}}{\text{MR. REESE:}} \quad \text{I was just concerned}$  that they were having to use their hand to stop it?

MR. NESLAND: Okay.

Also, under operator safety, it talks about the rule of eight hours zip to zip must always apply?

MR. REESE: And I don't know where that came from either, because that's not in this. We do basically either four hours, two to four, four to eight, but there's no eight-hour thing in there.

MR. NESLAND: What does that mean eight hours? You have to have eight hours between?

MR. REESE: I have no idea what that

12 is and I don't know where that came from.

MR. NESLAND: Okay. So that does not apply.

MR. REMMERT: Hey Brad?

MR. NESLAND: Yes?

 $\underline{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}$  We're going to have to punt this one.

MR. NESLAND: Okay.

MR. REMMERT: Only because we don't have the most current information and we'll have to go back to where the disconnect is. I think you're going to go into that question and he's going to say, it's fixed, fixed. So you're good. There's no issue, just the Board Members are going to continue

to ask questions that are resolved.

So what we're going to do is postmeeting, we're going to immediately get through your
submission, today and tomorrow, and get it out to
the members and give them the opportunity to see if
they have any additional questions.

But at this point, we're sure that all of the requirements have been met. We just want to double check to get it to the Board Members for their review. Because right now, we're looking at stuff that's not the current version, then they're just going to keep asking questions that are going to be answered by the most current version. So give us - give us a little indulgence to take care of this over the next 24 or 48 hours and we'll get you moving.

Okay?

MR. REESE: Okay.

And you may keep those manuals.

MR. PITTMAN: Sir, I have a question though. You, a moment ago, said that the attorneys are working on some sort of a waiver?

MR. REESE: Right.

MR. PITTMAN: Did I understand you to say that if the customer wishes to ride your ride,

regardless of their weight, and they sign the waiver, they're permitted to?

 $\underline{\text{MR. REESE:}}$  They can't be over 270 pounds though.

MR. PITTMAN: And if they want to ride it and you believe that they're not under that, must they then submit to a scale?

 $\underline{\text{MR. REESE:}}$  Yeah. Then we won't allow them to do that.

MR. PITTMAN: Okay.

Thank you.

MR. REMMERT: Hang on, you're going to answer more questions. I'm going to jump in before you, sorry, prerogative. Let's talk a little bit about waivers, it's something that's gone through here quite a few times. Waivers are only as good as the court that reviews them and lends them any credibility.

Pennsylvania doesn't recognize that anybody can sign a waiver to their rights.

Okay?

So basically, an operator is informing an individual by having them sign something they call a waiver, you can't waive it. Pennsylvania doesn't allow it. We're strongly against the use of

waivers. We understand that they have a place in society, but as far as it comes to amusement rides and attractions, you can't waive away your right. Rider responsibility rule, the owner-operator has the requirement to provide a safe environment. You can't waive your right on that.

So though you will see trampoline parks and some higher risk amusement activities sign a waiver, they only hold water if the individual believes that it's true. The court will not recognize it in most cases.

So we discourage manuals, they don't let them come in with waivers. We say send us the manual if you're going to use a waiver, take it out of the manual because it's not part and parcel to the submission to us. And that's your legal mind trying to get somebody to understand that what they're doing is risky. We appreciate that, but it has nothing to do with the ride submission and be part of the packet. What did I miss?

MR. PEDERSON: Is anybody on? This is Roy, I'm here.

 $\underline{\text{MR. TYE:}} \quad \text{S\&S Worldwide, too, we're}$  here as well.

<del>-</del> -

2.4

(WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A BRIEF INTERRUPTION IN THE RECORD.)

1.3

MR. REMMERT: Mr. Di Domizio?

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Yes, sir, Mr.

Chairman, if you please.

The information you were just given, does that include anything to do with the engineer letters there with the application?

MR. REMMERT: It's part and parcel, so yes. When we receive an engineer letter, we require them to exclude any mention of waiver, as it is part of the submission.

### MR. DI DOMIZIO: Okay.

My question does not speak to the waiver, so knowing that he has additional information, I was asking above and beyond. But without mentioning the manual, my question goes to the two engineering reports.

MR. REMMERT: We would have to look at the date stamp on the engineering report provided and see if it corroborates the most current version of the manual that's being submitted, if it needs to be addressed, we'll make sure it's then updated.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Okay.

24

```
1
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 Is that answering your
2
    question?
3
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: Well, it's up to you
 4
    whether or not to include in today's comments or I
5
    can comment at another time. That's - that's your
6
    call.
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 Are - have you had the
8
    opportunity to see the latest submission?
9
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: No, sir.
10
                   I'm only speaking to the actual
11
    engineering report, whether or not is has anything -
    I mean it could be anything. It doesn't seem like
12
13
    its specific to the manual. It's only specific to
14
    their jobs.
15
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 So do you have comments
16
    for this particular submission at this time?
17
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: It - well, like I
18
    said, I don't want to muddy the water, I want to
19
    point out a few things that have to do with the
20
    engineering submission.
21
                   MR. REMMERT: By all means.
22
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: It may or may not be
23
    the proper time to do so.
24
                   MR. REMMERT: No, by all means, go on
25
    the record for this.
```

## MR. DI DOMIZIO: Okay.

And so, just for the record, there are there are two engineering reports included in the application. If they are not the most current, then we should know right now so that we know the data has to be updated.

Can you hear me okay? We have that turned off. You can turn that back on.

So the way I understand it, and please clarify if - if needed, the engineer working with E&M, which would be Mr. Pederson, in his letter, it responds to the review of the installation of - of the facility that's not necessarily being this application, he - he examined the facility at Gary's Putter Golf and Jiffy Pup Restaurant. That's not this application. Is that correct?

There was just two engineering reports and -. So if I start with Mr. Armstrong's report it talks about the installation at Gary's Putter and Zip Line, and includes no structural analysis. No calculations, no - it refers to plans that are not in evidence here. So is that something that would be forthcoming or -?

MR. REMMERT: It would be.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Well, that's the same

2.4

one I'm looking at. Yeah. This is the two-page yeah. So what we're seeing here is two -. What you
see in your report is two letters that I've been
shown, so from two different engineers. Basically
Mr. Armstrong, take - taking his - or Randolph,
taking his for - no, Armstrong, which was done in
July of 2018. It says he completed a structural
analysis of this ride and it says, see attached.
Well, there's nothing attached. So I don't know.
There was no - no calculations or anything shown to
support this.

And then E&M, Mr. Gary Reese reviewed - or Roy Pederson reviewed the other report, which wasn't complete. So we have one - in my - in my opinion, one incomplete report, verifying another incomplete report. So I'm not quite sure what's going on there. What appears to be installation related and the other appears to be structural, but I'm not seeing anything that says it's -.

If we run these calculations, here's the calculations. It states what it wants to be.

But I don't see any proof to that unless that's done. That's what I would expect so the Board could decide whether you need to see it.

MR. REMMERT: I understand. Are there

any other comments or concerns?

So we'll get back.

You have comments?

MR. REESE: On the waiver, also is it legal for the parent or guardian to sign for somebody under 18?

MR. REMMERT: We don't - we don't have an opinion on that, but there's - there's no way to sign a waiver on behalf of a minor because -.

MR. REESE: Okay.

MR. REMMERT: But it's no different than I cannot go to a trampoline park with my nine-year old son, unless I affirm somehow. But when I go there, I know that there's no validity to that waiver that I'm signing. So you either are willing to participate and go through the mandatory hurdles to do it, or you abstain, right?

So literally, it's just a mechanism to inform the individual that they're going to a riskier, more perilous -. It's on the individual to understand, just you can't waive your right, liability.

 $\underline{\text{MR. PITTMAN:}}$  From what I understood was, the purpose of the waiver was so the person attests to the fact that they weight less than 275

without having to step on the scale and showing the needle to the public?

MR. REMMERT: Mr. Pittman, it doesn't matter the circumstance. It's limiting the liability on the operator by saying we informed you of these requirements and you said you're aware. That means it could be your hair is red. We don't let people with red hair on. No, I'm saying I don't have red hair. The circumstances are irrelevant. You're waiving something by attesting to it by saying yes, I understand. And they're taking that as a record when you're not willing to comply, but they still want you to participate.

made the effort to tell you this is a risky event, but you have to meet these requirements. If you choose to go on there and not let us know that you're violating one of these, then you roll the dice. I mean, that's what we're talking about. That's why we try not to get into waivers. We try to let folks who are trying to use waivers, understand that they're very limited in the circumstance where they actually apply.

We will resolve this. I promise. I'm pretty good at my word, too.

1 MR. PITTMAN: Thank you. 2 MR. REMMERT: Thank you, sir. 3 MR. HALL: May I make a suggestion 4 that we dispense with trying to resolve the 5 telephone situation now? 6 MR. REMMERT: They are dispensed. 7 We're right now, running the board as we have before 8 us. If they happen to come up with a resolution, 9 we'll take a two minute break and give it a shot and 10 then move on. But half of our submissions are 11 actually on the phone. So we need to provide them some resolution than just walk -. 12 MR. HALL: Well, I feel that's unfair 13 14 to the people that come here and make an effort to 15 be here. 16 MR. REMMERT: It's allowable to be by 17 phone or in person. 18 MR. HALL: I know, but it just -. 19 MR. REMMERT: Thank you. 20 I know that we had some folks in the 21 back that are itching, right? 22 We'll do better. Come on up and 23 introduce yourselves. Give us a quick overview of 24 your submission. We'll have this ironed out by the

25

time it's Gary's turn.

MR. RICHARDSON: Thank you for having us all.

MR. REMMERT: One at a time, state your name and spell your last name and then give us a full review of what you're here for today.

MR. RICHARDSON: My name is Ethan Richardson, that's R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S-O-N. And these gentlemen here.

MR. JONES: Thomas Jones, J-O-N-E-S.
MR. ZIEGLER: Dana Ziegler,

Z-I-E-G-L-E-R.

MR. RICHARDSON: And today we're here to get our indoor rock wall processed. We are with the Mont Lawn Camp. We're affiliated with the Bowery Mission in New York. We run numerous programs throughout the city, one of which is our children's ministry for inner city kids. And we provide them the opportunity to use our campgrounds during the summertime. At our campgrounds, we have various activities, one of which is our rock wall. So we are - we've had it for a while, but we learned about all these new standards, so we've been taking the proper - the proper route to try to get this processed.

We had a few staff members go and take

your amusement ride certification and this gentleman here has been helping us with the gritty part.

MR. ZIEGLER: Yes. My name is Dana Ziegler with Providence Engineering. We were the reviewing engineers. The rock wall was mostly designed by an engineering firm back in 2001 and the wall was constructed at a time after that. I'm not sure of the exact time because the wall actually predates both these members here.

What we did was, we looked at the wall, reviewed it with the loads, with the current ACCT standards or climbing wall standards. And also design requirements for our official rock wall structures.

It does meet those standards. We went up and visited the site in Bushkill, Pennsylvania. We inspected it, looked it over; everything complies with the design intent of the drawings and we then helped them produce their operations manual. We'll submit it along with the process as well.

MR. REMMERT: Now comes the fun part.

You're ready for the questions, I

promise you. I don't promise.

Board Members, have you had the opportunity to review the rock wall submission?

know that Mr. Nesland had a couple of questions before we actually opened it. So would you like to begin if you have questions?

#### MR. NESLAND: Sure.

Just a couple questions. Do we have the document open? Our page two. In there it says MLCR Staff will provide staff credentials for required course inspectors and trainers as part of the ride global package. Did you guys submit that?

MR. RICHARDSON: No. So the company that actually would be doing our certification is the Adventure Network. They actually just came up to do another pre-inspection of our wall. And then they're going to be coming up during the beginning of orientation to actually train all of our staff in those activities.

MR. NESLAND: So once that's performed then you'll submit it.

 $\underline{\text{MR. RICHARDSON:}}$  Yeah, then we will be able to submit those documents.

MR. NESLAND: If you look at page six of our document, and if you could blow it up. I just get a little concerned - now if you can move down a page?

I get a little concerned with that

hard edge. And if you really look at it, if you really blow it up, it almost looks like the rope is frayed right at that hard edge. You know how it's coming out and then going back in? I just want to make sure that, you know, that's something that -?

MR. RICHARDSON: So yeah. So we definitely did look over that rope. And like I said, they did come out to inspect all our wiring and things like that. So all of our ropes are actually up to standards. There's no wear and tear on them currently. But usually, about every year, we kind of right before camp starts, we kind of like to slump on our ropes.

But he did inform me yesterday that every year we don't have to, but just depends on that wear and tear, but currently there aren't any loose or shavings or anything like that, shredding of the wire.

MR. NESLAND: That was it for me. Thank you.

MR. ARNDT: And, Brad, I was out there and inspected that and I mentioned to him about that, about recommending to put like some kind of - I see what you're talking. And that would help with the fraying, so when I was out there -. And that

was about a month ago I was there and there was only
- I could tell they're maintaining it pretty well,
except for like there were some things they weren't
aware of, daily inspection issue, some documentation
of things, hopefully they got that and started
working on that.

MR. RICHARDSON: Yes.

MR. ARNDT: And some carabiners I didn't also - so just from the picture, you can even see that it's kind of wearing away the wood. That's got to be hard on your rope. Maybe some kind of Teflon or something out along there so it's not a sharp edge.

MR. RICHARDSON: We'll definitely talk to our facilities director about that.

MR. REMMERT: Just to be clear, it didn't pose an immediate risk?

MR. ARNDT: No. I looked at the ropes, and the ropes - none of the ropes were really frayed that I noticed or anything. The ones I was looking at it. So there's no immediate - or I would have pulled it right there.

MR. NESLAND: You know, and I say, as long as the equipment is in good shape, it doesn't require anything other than to be inspected and make

sure it's in good shape.

MR. REMMERT: Additional questions from Board Members? Certainly.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Thank you, Mr.

5 Chairman.

Joe, maybe this is better directed to you, but is there anything that would - because of the height, would this not require anything in the way of a foam pad or something in case a rider does fall?

MR. NESLAND: Well, this was a delay.

Normally they'll have a small mat at the bottom.

I'm not sure if this one does but this is a manual delay, which is a fall protection.

MR. ARNDT: There's a mat at the bottom?

MR. RICHARDSON: So if you see these wooden things right in front of it, those all come down and they're kind of just platform. But I did have questions about if we should get a mat. And from what I've gotten, it was kind of like - that was like a gray area. So I didn't really get like an actual answer on if we're required, because of our height or not.

MR. FILOROMO: In a case where you

have a delay system, you normally would have something comparable to a gym mat there. Pretty more of, like, they just fall and hit their head.

MR. RICHARDSON: Okay.

Is that something that has to be, like, built in, or can that be something we can either just roll out and lay there?

MR. FILOROMO: You can lay it there.

MR. RICHARDSON: Okay.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: So I'll be clear, the standard doesn't require it. We're saying good housekeeping makes sense. It's not so much that when a person delays and they let go. It's more when they're beginning to traverse or they have equipment and stumble and fall. That's the kind of protection, you know, we're trying to avoid, not the - and let go of the person and they came crashing onto the floor.

MR. RICHARDSON: Yeah.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: So is this a - is

21 this a controlled delay?

MR. FILOROMO: They have a whole training program as part of their whole team building thing, I guess. Right?

MR. RICHARDSON: Uh-huh (yes).

37

MR. FILOROMO: As far as, you know, 1 2 they understand how it really works and operates 3 manually. MR. DI DOMIZIO: So we see pictures of 4 5 the helmets and such, I assume these are for the 6 users? MR. RICHARDSON: Yes. Correct. 8 MR. DI DOMIZIO: And so there's a 9 series of ropes and such? 10 MR. RICHARDSON: Uh-huh (yes). That's 11 right on the inside of our middle wall. That's 12 where we stock all of our items. 13 MR. DI DOMIZIO: Okay. 14 And is there always a person with each 15 climber? 16 MR. RICHARDSON: Yes. 17 So we have about two lines on each 18 wall, so we have six lines. And if whoever is 19 climbing, there has to be someone harnessed in. So 20 if there's three people climbing at once then there 21 will be three belayers harnessed in as well. 22 MR. DI DOMIZIO: Okay. 23 Thank you. 2.4 MR. REMMERT: All right. 25 I want to ask a clarifying question.

38 So the belayers could be campers? 1 2 MR. RICHARDSON: No. 3 MR. REMMERT: So is it faculty that 4 are the belayers? 5 MR. RICHARDSON: Our staff are the 6 belayers, counselors. MR. REMMERT: Board members, any other 8 questions? With the understanding that they will 10 not be operating until they turn in their certification. 11 12 Right? 13 MR. RICHARDSON: Correct. 14 MR. REMMERT: The submission being 15 complete and questions answered. Is there a motion to move for approval, pending receipt of 16 certification? 17 18 MR. NESLAND: Motion. Is there a second? 19 MR. REMMERT: 20 MR. SWIKA: 2.1 Second. 22 MR. REMMERT: 2.3 Thank you, Mr. Swika. 2.4 Is there any discussion? 25 So Brad Nesland first, Swika second.

```
39
    No conversation or discussion. All those in favor,
1
2
    signify by saying aye.
3
        (WHEREUPON, AYE RESPOND.)
 4
5
 6
                   MR. REMMERT: Are there any opposed?
7
                   This is what I would say to Gary, but
8
    I'll say to you gentlemen, you'll have some
9
    documentation from us in the next few days pending
10
    receipt of your final certification.
11
                   As always, if you have any questions,
12
    inspections, registrations, please contact this
13
    office. Talk to Natalie, Yvonne or myself. You can
14
    always reach out to one of our inspectors or Joe,
15
    happy to help you out.
16
                   MR. RICHARDSON: Okay.
17
                   Thank you for your time.
18
                   MR. REMMERT: I'm going to assume we
19
    have not resolved our phone issue yet?
20
21
        (WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A BRIEF INTERRUPTION IN THE
22
        RECORD.)
23
24
                   MR. TYE: I'm not sure about anyone
25
    else, but this is Mike Tye with S&S Worldwide, we
```

can hear you actually very well right now, so -.

 $\underline{\text{MR. REMMERT:}} \quad \text{You can hear us and we}$  can hear you. Is that what we're saying?

 $\underline{\text{MR. TYE:}}$  I don't know. We can hear you, hopefully you can hear me.

MR. REMMERT: I can. So we're going to hold our fingers crossed and try not to go through too much of the echo and see if we can't get through. S&S, since you stepped up and had something to say, I'm going to ask Mr. Filoromo to entertain S&S, and do discussion before the Board today.

MR. FILOROMO: S&S is a new roller coaster and Kennywood went out to go look at it, it's still under construction. Everything seems to be moving along, we're just waiting - we see a draft, which all makes sense. I provided a copy of the information we have at this point, which is a draft and we don't have an engineer - final engineer report yet because he still has to do his testing and we don't have the - the test yet to determine the whole G-force to get into a restraint.

```
here, everybody gets to come before the Board when they're ready or on their way to ready with this information for the Board to consider. We'll be moving through the process like we do with every other big project. Keep you informed along the way.

I don't know that we're at the point now that we can even ask for traditional consideration, but we wanted to bring you on board and provide you an opportunity to hear what they're doing, how they're doing it and what their timeline looks like and see how far they've gotten so far.

So with that being said, please introduce yourself. Spell your last name and offer your opinion on how well it's going.
```

 $\underline{\text{MR. HENNINGER:}} \quad \text{Good morning, Rob}$  Henninger, H-E-N-N-I-N-G-E-R, with Kennywood. Can you hear me?

MR. REMMERT: The mic's not on. Push it again.

MR. HENNIGER: Rob Henninger,

H-E-N-N-I-N-G-E-R.

MR. REMMERT: You have?

MR. HENNINGER: Steel Curtain Roller coaster at Kennywood.

MR. REMMERT: And it's going to open

42

```
hopefully?
1
2
                   MR. HENNINGER: Our goal is the first
3
    week of June.
                   MR. REMMERT: And how far along are
 4
5
    you in the project?
6
                   MR. HENNINGER: I would say we're
7
    about 70 percent along.
8
                   MR. REMMERT: Pretty good for us.
                                                       And
9
    I think the only thing that Joe had not seen or had
    a question about, let everybody know, how the person
10
    will interact with the train? A little different
11
12
    than what we've seen before for the type of coaster
13
    it is.
14
                   So we're waiting on bated breath to
15
    find out how the passenger will be secured in the
16
    car for this experience.
17
                   MR. HENNINGER: Mike, can you answer
18
    that question?
19
                   That is Mike Tye with S&S Worldwide?
20
                   MR. TYE: Can you ask that one more
21
    time? We can hear Rob very well, but the questions
22
    going to Rob are kind of difficult to hear.
23
                   MR. REMMERT: Yeah. For echo
24
    purposes, I turned the microphone off. Is that
```

25

better?

MR. TYE: That's much better, yeah.

MR. REMMERT: We simply stated that we've seen a lot of the submission and we know it's not complete yet and you still have quite a bit of testing to go, but Joe was scratching his head at one point to figure out how the rider interacts with the train, meaning how is that person or persons going to be restrained in the car as it traverses on the track?

MR. TYE: So we're going to have a lap pad that comes down and - and contains the passenger. We got Blake Rush here who is our mechanical engineer that played a fairly large part in designing this. He will give a few more details than that, but we are actually -. Yeah, Blake, do you want to maybe answer that?

MR. RUSH: So the restraint is a lap bar that's fitted in the floor, near the foot of the location and squeezed down into the passenger and secured against the - the femurs. And it's adjustable to - to fit passengers of various sizes and it's a clasp - the design of the clasp restraint per ASTM code.

In addition, there is a seat belt, it's an unmonitored seat belt that goes across the

passenger's lap.

 $\frac{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}{\text{looking for.}} \quad \text{That was what we were}$ 

MR. FILOMORO: Yeah, and that restraint, are you familiar with the latest coaster at Hershey Park, it has one that sounds like that, that type of restraint, against the femur.

MR. TYE: Yeah, we are not familiar with that specific restraint. I would imagine somewhat similar to ours. As Blake mentioned, it is a class five restraint, which would work out perfectly for this ride.

MR. RUSH: We have - we have a report specifically on the restraint. I - I can email that to Joe and that will clarify how the restraint - how it - how it performs and then also how it meets the class five requirements.

MR. FILOROMO: That's good. Someone on site was telling me there was no seat belt. So that kind of made me start wondering. But yeah, great. It's all good now.

MR. SWIKA: Unmonitored means you can use it or not? Is that what unmonitored means?

MR. FILOROMO: Well, unmonitored means
it's not - the operator know that they'll do their

check. But it's there as another redundant restraint.

MR. TYE: Yeah. And the last - the lap one that comes down on the passenger's femurs, that's actually got redundancy in it already, as far as how it's monitored and how it comes down on the passenger. The seat belt is almost like a third - third, I guess, safety measure.

MR. FILOMORO: Right.

MR. REMMERT: And there's still a restriction with lap type restraints such as that, as you will have to be aware of people with prosthetics or other issues that's similar to other rides like this.

MR. FILOROMO: Yeah. Just had a lady fly out with one from Texas, I believe, because she didn't have a leg or something?

MR. REMMERT: All that will be in the literature you provide. And how the operators will be looking for people who may not be eligible to ride that ride for medical restraint.

MR. TYE: Yes. That is covered in our operations and maintenance manual. In fact, we have a kind of a specific conversation with Jeff Padalecki from Pallister and Gammon to make sure we

covered that very specifically in our manual, so -.

MR. REMMERT: I appreciate you taking the time today. We're very excited, as we always are. Never - whenever there is new or refurbished rides and attractions coming to Pennsylvania, that means get ready for the summertime. It's going to be awesome.

MR. TYE: We're excited, too.

MR. REMMERT: We're going to be working hand in hand with you. Board members, if you have questions along the way, we're going to feed you information by email. Joe has reminded me that email is not always the best method with an expectation. So I have asked the board if there is another way besides email, like if you would like a phone call that we sent you something, just to make sure you get it. It didn't go into some filter. If that would work best for you or would be something you'd like to try?

We're - we're concerned that when we send things out, that we don't know necessarily that you've gotten the information. So I'll check with you offline, after the meeting and if you would prefer a phone call, just as a hey, we sent something to you, you know, if you have an

Ι

```
opportunity to take a look as a - as a double check.
1
2
                   And Joe had mentioned also that we
    might want to look at having a pre-meeting to the
3
 4
    phone call, about submissions prior? Just to make
5
    sure that we have everything and are answering
 6
    questions we might ask and to come back again also.
                   Short of that, Kennywood, we
8
    appreciate your time. We look forward to it.
                                                    W \in
9
    work nights and weekends, if necessary, so let us
10
    know if you run across something.
11
                   MR. FILOROMO: Very good. Thank you
12
    very much.
13
                   MR. NESLAND:
                                 Do you want our
14
    questions?
15
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 Do you have questions?
16
                   MR. NESLAND: I do have questions.
                   MR. REMMERT: Oh, well then I
17
18
    apologize.
               By all means, ask your questions.
19
                   MR. NESLAND: One question is more for
20
    the Department on the letter, the engineer's letter.
21
    If you'll look at page two of the engineer's letter,
22
    there's two statements. One, it references the
23
    drawings and says, found them to be in general
2.4
    conformance.
```

Is that really acceptable language?

25

mean, in general conformance?

MR. REMMERT: No.

MR. NESLAND: The other is based on the documentation above, to the best of my knowledge, that's the statement in the letter, it says to the best of my knowledge. I don't know -?

MR. REMMERT: Neither one of those expressions are unto itself, acceptable unless they're clarified. So we would get, at the end of this, because they're going to be updating drawings and their diagrams, that this is a placeholder letter and we typically have to do this with submissions, where we spend a little opportunity to educate our expectations and requirements.

So I'm sure that those will be resolved when we get the final product. These are kind of placeholders. We've gotten everything up until now and at the end we get a finished product.

MR. NESLAND: And the reason I bring this up so it can be corrected before it comes back. The other question I have is for you - it'll actually be for S&S, but I would pay particular attention to it if I were you.

They have a life on the - design life on the mechanical system is ten years, design life

on the electrical system is ten years, and structural system is 20 years.

1.3

Does that mean they need to be replaced at ten years?

MR. SNYDER: This is Tom Snyder. I'll answer that one. That - that really - what our requirement and what we're asking on that is that, as that design life, S&S does an on-site review of the ride and of its condition. The inspection records and making sure everything is in good order. That is not an end of life timeline. That is a time that we request that S&S come up on site and revalidate the ride.

I think there's a clarification of that in the maintenance manual. But - but that is our intent. It's not the end of life. It's to give the owner-operator an opportunity to inspect the ride.

MR. NESLAND: From my standpoint, I would believe that, too. I would want that stated in the manual, that it's not, you know, I have to replace everything in ten years.

MR. REMMERT: I'd be interested to know what the revalidation process on the manufacturer's behalf might encompass also, just

change everything, but -?

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Mr. Chairman, that would tie in - would that sort of tie in with anything that the state inspection would also cover? Is that in there?

 $\frac{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}{\text{MR. REMMERT:}} \quad \text{It may.} \quad \text{It depends on}$  what the manufacturer requires in their manual subsequent information.}

MR. REMMERT: Yeah. We don't know what the manufacturer actually means when they said revalidate, which is my question.

I think it will all come out as everybody asked for clarification.

 $\underline{\text{MR. DI DOMIZIO:}} \quad \text{That's not a - that's} \\ \text{- we heard this on the last clarification of the} \\ \text{manual.}$ 

MR. REMMERT: Yeah, we're here to work with you. Make sure ten years from now, your ride doesn't prematurely shut down because suddenly it's no longer valid.

Very good.

Any other questions?

2.4

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Just quickly. I'm sorry. Brad, you might have still had the floor.

 $\underline{\text{MR. NESLAND:}} \quad \text{Yeah, I do - I do have}$  just a couple more.

In one location under evacuation, it says, if necessary, due to height above ground, to secure passengers, the vehicle or structure with harness.

Does that apply to the lift, if you would do an evacuation on the lift? Are you saying the guests have to be in a harness and secured?

MR. HENNINGER: Yes. You want to clarify that, Mike, with what you're working on with Jeff?

## MR. TYE: Yeah.

Again, so we're actually working with Jeff on the cable evacuation system, where the customers would be harnessed while evacuating the ride. It goes up the entire length of the lift.

And as I mentioned, would require that the guests would be in a harness to descend that lift hill. But we are working with Jeff Padalecki and Pallister and Gammon on that. So -.

MR. NESLAND: The last thing I was

52

```
going to bring up is, there's a couple spots, a two
1
2
    point four point eight fault and problem handling.
3
    You talked about the procedures to be determined.
 4
    Just want to make sure that at some point, that that
5
    ends up in the final documentation that we get.
6
    think you have that one other area also.
                   MR. TYE:
                             Was that in the SAT
8
    document?
9
                                  I labeled that as 404-
                   MR. NESLAND:
10
    S&S Worldwide Custom Steel Coaster. Looks to be a
11
    manual.
12
                   MR. TYE: Yeah.
13
                   MR. NESLAND: It's also at four point
14
    one point four, has the same statement.
15
                   MR. TYE: Is it - is it highlighted in
16
    vellow?
17
                   MR. NESLAND: Yeah, it is.
18
                   MR. TYE: Yeah, that's - we'll - we'll
19
    have that updated.
20
                   MR. NESLAND: Okay.
21
                   It almost looks like a commissioning
22
    document.
23
                     MR. TYE:
                               That's exactly what it is.
2.4
    It's our site exam and testing.
25
                   MR. NESLAND:
                                  That's all I have.
```

```
MR. DI DOMIZIO: Just to jump back in.
1
2
    I think Joe might have mentioned it, I'm not sure,
3
    but I just want to clarify, there will be some G-
    force testing when your ride is ready and we'll see
 4
5
    some correlation between that and the class five
6
    restraint classification. So that's - that's where
    the final decision is made.
                   So we'll see that data or any
8
9
    corrections after you make that compliant.
10
                   MR. HENNIGER: Yes.
11
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: That's just simply
12
    for the record.
13
                   MR. REMMERT: Any other board members
14
    with questions?
15
                   Very good.
                               Thank you.
16
                                    Thank you very much.
                   MR. HENNINGER:
17
                   MR. TYE: Thank you again.
18
                   MR. REMMERT: Now that I have a little
    control over the folks on the phone and we're going
19
20
    to take advantage of it. Do we have anybody from
    Roll Models, Inc., on the phone?
21
22
                   Anybody dealing with Thomas the Tank?
23
                   Anybody with the Midi Discovery 360?
24
                   Jay? Jay Karcher are you on the
25
    phone? Bill Kelley?
```

Anybody from - well, I'm come back to them, just to give them an opportunity.

Anybody from Sky Zone?

MR. BARRIOS: Yes, hi. Vincent
Barrios here with Sky Zone, and we also have Bob
Mekay, he's our design engineer. He's on as well.

MR. REMMERT: Okay.

well, at this point, I'd ask you to each one of you say your first name and spell your
last name for our stenographer here. And then once
you're done, one or the other of you, please tell us
the specific reason that you are here today for the
this submission. Then I'll have Joe kind of do the
coverall. So please introduce yourself.

MR. BARRIOS: Great. Thanks again for having us. This is Vincent Barrio -.

COURT REPORTER: I can't understand him. Tell him to stop.

 $\underline{\text{MR. BARRIOS:}} \quad \text{Last name is B, as in}$  boy, A-R-R-I-O-S, and I'm the innovation project manager for Sky Zone.

MR. REMMERT: Thank you, Vincent.

We have three separate submissions on our schedule for Sky Zone. But tell the Board how we've evolved in doing trampoline parks and their

related submissions.

Pennsylvania has an awful lot of them. I don't have a number right now, but it's an awful lot of them. And once upon a time, it was something that was more than just an adult bounce area broken up into smaller sections. And then adjacent kid jump area with smaller platform areas. You have dodgeball and basketball and balance beams and a variety of others. Ninja courses, agility courses with a variety of elements with each, where they either fall into pits or padding or both. And all under one roof.

Initially when we first received some of these submissions, the bulk of them two years going on now, the bulk, a package took them all by element in one submission. What you see here now is us evolving, each one getting its own review and approval. Its own submission fees and it's own site specific approval.

So what does that mean for how Pennsylvania operates? Every trampoline park is site specific. Even if we seen the equipment before, it means we still have a specific installation and operation for that one unique site.

So Pennsylvania does not just approve

equipment. We approve how it is installed and how it operates. So in some cases, the trampoline locations will use the same equipment and one of the three things we look at is the checkmark, yep, we've already seen this equipment. Then we concentrate on its installation and its operation for that unique location. And that's how we've been proceeding for about a year now.

So we've evolved to some of this equipment you've seen before, right? So Joe will look at you and say we have as surfboard or a trapeze or a zip over a pit, and you're like, we've already seen it. What we're really looking at, or bringing before you is, it's site-specific, and under that unique circumstance, it's operated differently in some cases than it is in places improving. That's why you will see it before you.

If we have an exact same piece of equipment operating somewhere that we've already approved under that premise, it won't come to the Board. So that's how we're evolving the trampoline park industry and its related submissions.

So please indulge us if you've seen one or two of these before, you want to make sure that the Board is seeing these submissions and have asked

all the questions that they had before we say yes we agree, and allow it to operate at every park. So that's why you're seeing that.

Joe, would you give a quick overview of what we're seeing here today as far as the Sky Zone?

## MR. FILOROMO: Okay.

Let me explain one more thing. What we've passed this trampoline standard, the trampoline standard created an attraction called trampoline court. Trampoline court includes a lot of these different elements. All these things that happened on trampolines and all these different things that fall in to foam pits. So basically that's it. So there's one approval for a whole group of equipment and they're all - worked in together with the operations requirement, which is based on life guarding. So you'll have an operator who - that's in charge of an area, he needs to be able to see and react and - and prevent dangerous activity on - on this attraction.

So some more confusion comes in now when they add something that is not falling into a foam pit or onto a trampoline. That's a separate attraction. So we need to keep that all straight, what everybody understands that the trampoline court

then might have water course and that some other thing or attraction that - that are not under a trampoline court.

So it might seem that we're talking about several things, but they're on a trampoline court sometimes. Then we have a trampoline court that has a new attraction that wasn't added. We add that on as a - we kind of handle it as a modification of trampoline court. I haven't watched it. I think that's where we're going with a few of these here as they're already an approved trampoline court.

 $\underline{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}$  So we're erring on the side of caution to bring it before you.

MR. FILOROMO: Right.

MR. REMMERT: In many cases, what these guys go over or what you've seen is something you've probably seen more than once because we changed our method on how we're keeping track of them because we're seeing them more often. We're trying to do better and smarter and making sure that these operators who are coming to us now because we're catching them, are aware that they just can't install anything because they happen to see one on the inventory list.

We care about operations. We care

about submission. And in many cases, the inspiration for the operation are the points of contention. Many of the times, it's not the equipment. Slipping down through the netting or you have unsafe practices by the operators. Are you running completely unrelated, not approved stuff under the same group? All of these things that people want to know if they thought it was just, you know - just installing stuff free.

So we're keeping an extra vigilant eye on trampoline park operations. By the way, more than 50 percent of our accidents.

MR. FILOROMO: We're moving along as things are working as we're getting things sorted out better. We've been targeting a different cause that we've been able to identify on trampoline incidents and we did, in 2018, have a 40 percent reduction in trampoline incidents. So we're moving in the right direction.

MR. REMMERT: Reported.

MR. FILOROMO: Reported, yeah.

So we're working on a few different other things, too, but we're going to concentrate on this right now.

 $\underline{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}$  The three submissions we're talking about today for Sky Zone actually exist

in other locations. Not necessarily this exact way the submissions -.

BOARD MEMBER: For Sky Zone.

MR. REMMERT: Right.

entry at four prong table. And then another thing that's in our latest ASTM standard for trampoline courts is when you have something that involves an entry into a foam pit, the engineer has to certify that that foam pit is adequate to absorb the impact of the fall from wherever they're doing.

Now, this case is a swing and a trapeze and they're - I'm not looking at the - the top for right now, but there should be a second - it will look like there's a second engineer's letter. And that second engineer's letter is somewhere where he's certifying that the quality of the foam is appropriate for that attraction.

MR. REMMERT: Let's leave it at this. Are there any questions, comments from board members on where we were versus where we're going with this particular submission?

MR. HOUGHTON: They're having people sign waivers?

MR. REMMERT: Sky Zone, when you walk

in the door, have either the parent or the child there, you'll have a choice, you walk in the door, you make a left hand turn, go to the computer terminal, they say have you ever been here before and yes, you have -. They keep your waiver on file. They'll just - most places do.

7 MR. HOUGHTON: They don't mean 8 nothing?

MR. REMMERT: Same comment right? So if you want to participate that's - that's the requirement they have. It has nothing to do with the submission. It's - you don't see any waiver information concerning the submission. That's just that company on how they say their franchises do business.

MR. FILOROMO: I tried to advise them that the waiver should be more of an educational thing and lean towards the Rider Responsible Act. And then I also - and I'm also reminding them they are required to have the signage for the Rider Responsibility Act in these places, which really unaffected by the law warning of, you know, patron directed issues.

 $${\rm \underline{MR.\ HOUGHTON:}}$$  One other question. I brought up to your attention that about a month or so ago, but over in the - Channel 10, did a - there's a thing on this, the trampoline
things, and there's numerous accidents on these places
- in these places, all over Jersey, Pennsylvania,

Delaware. And it all - in Pennsylvania, it all goes
on the amusement industry category when these
incidents happen. We're talking broken feet, broken
leg, break - break a knee, legs, arms, everything
here.

Hundreds.

MR. REMMERT: We're going to -.
MR. HOUGHTON: And there's hundreds.

MR. REMMERT: We're going to do a much better job of recording it by category to give a clearer picture where the real issues are, rather than lumping it under general -. We can collect that information. We'll do a better job as the Bureau reported that out. So at least people who understand the industry, can get a better value out of what we're doing.

MR. FILOROMO: That story was in the Philadelphia market And it let me to believe that southeast Pennsylvania was involved in all this. All the incidents they talked about were in Delaware and South Jersey. And I know - I know the kind of research they do and, you know, they want to build a

story, but I think - tune in at 11:00.

MR. HOUGHTON: I understand that, too, but numerous incidents -.

MR. FILOROMO: Yeah. Yeah.

MR. HOUGHTON: And they do have them doing these waivers as he just said, in Pennsylvania, you know, sign these waivers, these people get hurt and they walk out thinking there's nothing they can do about it. You know, it's Pennsylvania, too. It's everywhere.

MR. REMMERT: Half of our battle is education and I mean, we're not just a Bureau that oversees registration and regulation. There's a lot of public outreach that needs to be done to the benefit of the park, to the benefit of all the people who comply, the more educated the consumer is, the more likely they'll actually ride or participate in one of Pennsylvania's attractions, because they'll know.

So yeah, we - we absolutely have - we have Twitter and Facebook on us and what we're trying to do is no different than other parks who's trying to get their product out there. The education is to let the family member know, this is what you look for, this is what you pay attention to. Here's some of the

safety rules, and it will push them towards the registered locations because they're all doing the right thing.

MR. POTTER: You mentioned earlier the 2018 data, that you have hard copies, is that broken down into categories?

 $\underline{\mathtt{MR. REMMERT:}}$  Yes, we do have it broken into categories.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: The question I have,

Mr. Chairman, is when - how does it interphase with

L&I or the design of the building? I think we brought

this up before, is that you can - you can have a - a 
something that will go inside of a building, like a

trampoline or a rock climbing wall or whatever, that

this body will pass advice to approval of that, but in

this case where its inside of a building, does L&I

take precedent? No matter what, there's still a fire

suppression, there's exits, there's blocking exits,

blocking electrical cabinets, capacity and impervious

coverage, whatever you want to do, it's still the

building.

So is it - is it understood that what we're looking at is just the amusement part of the thing that's going in that building?

MR. REMMERT: Sure.

So to answer that and to be very clear, it's collateral.

 $\underline{\text{MR. DI DOMIZIIO:}}$  I'm glad to hear that.

MR. REMMERT: So labor and industry has their domain and their requirements. It doesn't supersede our requirements, nor do our requirements supersede theirs. So - for instance, we have a trampoline park that also has a slide or hanging zip slide with a metal structure that's affixed to the building, we had to work with the Labor and Industry representatives. So basically the folks who were putting in the submission had to do double work to show them the structure is capable of supporting what's being installed.

So in those cases that we don't work side by side with L&I, they kind of do their thing, we kind of do our thing, it is a collaborative effort in many cases.

20 MR. DI DOMIZIO: Very good. Thanks
21 for clarifying.

MR. REMMERT: Absolutely.

Mr. Hall?

 $ext{MR. HALL:}$  On the trapeze and swing, the picture being behind the stairway, do they wear a

66 safety mechanic or device when they're on that, Joe? 1 MR. FILOROMO: No, they -. 2 3 MR. HALL: Then it's a free swing. Right? 4 5 MR. FILOROMO: Into the - and the foam. The fall is to be into the foam. And the foam 6 is - that's all attached. All right. 8 MR. HALL: 9 Thank you. 10 MR. REMMERT: Any other questions about the trapeze and the swing submission? 11 12 I'm really picking on MR. GORMAN: their manual a little bit. So there's - there's a 13 14 rule sign. They have a picture of the rule sign 15 that's on page 15 of our PDF. I didn't look at it very hard, but it says - it's permitting flips, page 16 15 of our PDF. It says 15. 17 18 It's based on the swing. It says perform tricks and flips at your own risk. I just 19 20 wanted to ask because nobody on the phone talked about 21 this, do they allow people to do that? That seem 22 dangerous to me. 23 MR. REMMERT: Mr. Barrios, that got 24 punted to you. Did you hear the question? 25 MR. BARRIOS: Yes. Yeah, the - on the

swing rules, we're representing yes, and we do limit that to, you know, if it guest did try that to a single flip.

## MR. GORMAN: Okay.

And then other parts of the manual reference a maintenance manual, which we don't have access to. So I wondered, is that provided to whoever's operating this, do they have a maintenance manual that has complete information on a checklist? Because this doesn't really give enough information here on the criteria for how much wear is allowed and what should you should do every day.

 $\underline{\text{MR. MEKAY:}}$  This is Bob Mekay from Sky Zone, last name is M-E-K-A-Y. I apologize I didn't do that earlier.

Yes, we provide them with a separate attraction specific manual. There is a daily visual and a biweekly hands-on maintenance manual to look at.

 $\label{eq:MR.GORMAN:} \underline{\text{MR. GORMAN:}} \quad \text{So we - you should}$  probably should have that for our purposes.

MR. REMMERT: Not making excuses, these submissions don't come in nearly as - they're - they're usually separate pieces and Joe does collaborate them. I - I have not seen them as a Sky

Zone submission yet, I did not have that piece. I would probably more likely assume that we not add it to the PDF that we supply to board members versus them failing to submit it. So let me double check on that. As I said, having done more than a couple Sky Zone submissions, that's not the kind of thing that we're missing. But we will definitely make sure we make that available.

What Steve was saying was it's not as specific where the requirements are dealing - special requirements. So the manual does mention that there's another appendices - appendix that have the specific requirements for the trapeze or bar, for ropes. Did I get that right, Steve?

MR. GORMAN: Yes.

MR. MEKAY: I'm happy to send you a copy to you guys an email so you guys have it for your records.

MR. FILOROMO: That will be fine.

 $$\operatorname{\underline{MR.}}$  REMMERT: Are there any other questions for the trapeze submission? If not, we're going to move on to the next one.

All right. Sky Zone, we're going to move on to the Warrior Trainer. Give us a second to get a picture up here and I'll do a quick

introduction.

So Warrior Training course is also a conundrum for us. The only thing that we used to say was it had to be exactly the same equipment in exactly the same order or exactly the same fall protection.

And I promise you, that almost never happens that way, right? Because the Warrior challenge, you know, have different elements or in a different order or because of the design requirements, it won't be in exactly the same shape or size. And even worse yet, they only put it under different type of fall protection, foam balls versus a foam box versus this.

specific. It must have every element under on their design and must provide us with every submission rather than having it concluded saying we're just doing exactly what you did here, because nine times out of ten - worse than that, 99 times out of a hundred, it's not exactly the same. It's close or similar, but not good enough for us. So that's why we go through these submissions.

All right. Sky Zone, what are we looking at in the Warrior Trainer submission?

MR. MEKAY: This is Bob Mekay here with Sky Zone. Yeah, the submission starts with the

structural review approval letter from Harcher Engineering and then goes on to list all the normal operator and guides and the like from the attraction.

What I say is unique about the work on the Warrior Trainer as opposed to a traditional Warrior course, is that this is a very small version. Most Warrior courses are large, what we call multi-bay where they have, you know, sort of boxed in track cells that have multiple obstacles. This is sort of a, for lack of a better term, a single bay that stands above a traditional foam drop pit, which is the trampoline accessible - where you dive off a trampoline into a five or six foot deep foam pit.

And the point of the attraction is a small introduction to the Warrior course where the depth on a trampoline rather than a normal Warrior course is just from a pit platform.

MR. REMMERT: So the intent is participant use a trampoline and leap on what appears to be a moving cargo net filled with foam over a foam pit. Is that the intent?

MR. MEKAY: Yes. None of the nets are moving until it's jumped upon. So yeah, it's essentially a number of three to four maximum suspended obstacles that you would see in a Warrior

course, but this is built for cargo or rings. And yes, the main difference being the insulation context or the foam pit, and then the access is coming from an - an area from a trampoline other than a fixed spot.

5 MR. REMMERT: Questions from Board

6 members?

Is that correct?

MR. MEKAY: Correct.

MR. NESLAND: Yeah, two questions. I mean, the sidewall seems very close, at least on the right-hand side? Does that not pose a problem, number one? And number two, the height that you attain to get onto there from the trampoline, is that - there's no way that you can interfere with the - with the truss above?

MR. MEKAY: Yeah. From - for the first question. You know, I had - you're talking about impacting the truss structure from the trampoline, is that the first question?

MR. NESLAND: That's one of the

questions, yes.

MR. MEKAY: Yes. Yes.

So is the height of the overall structure is within a five meter or about 15 and a quarter foot truss column from concrete. We do have, in the greater network context, two different foam pits, that one is five feet, one is six feet. So the the worst case scenario would be the pit where you're already closer.

The picture you're seeing is actually the - our initial prototype in Anaheim, California. And so that truss being 16 feet, 16 and a quarter feet from the concrete with ten a quarter feet from the trampoline and approximately four feet in the direction of where you're jumping away from the trampoline from the closest point. Most of our adult jumpers were able to - you know, our trained adult jumpers were able to even touch the trampoline with their hands reaching up, in terms of giving you a thought as to how close you can get.

So I will say on this picture being that - that we have added a pad to that front space just it's not depicted here. I believe it is depicted on the - it's depicted on the CAD later, but -.

So yeah. So you know, any sort of adult - and again, our adults have - our first one was about 6'3", very athletic person try to touch it. So

that's, I guess the first question. And then in terms of how high you can get, we do -. And again, the - the - this is - in all our cases, the highest, sort of allowable thresh point is actually fitted by a four foot strap below that structure. So yeah, and in terms of if they were to be able to climb above that strap, that operationally controlled where it's a rule to climb up, but the highest drop point is I believe, seven feet is your grip height above the foam pit level. So that's affixed with the hardware.

MR. NESLAND: So since this is an operation, you're saying that you're not really having a problem with injuries where contacting the structure or the - any part of the structure for that matter?

MR. MEKAY: Yeah. Right. Yeah. The truss is too high to even reach for the best of jumpers. We've - we've already had I think three in operation for in excess of six to nine months with no injuries reported at any time. And no reports of anyone accessing the upper structure and breaking the rules. And like I said, they will be monitored on the attraction to, you know - or permit people if they try to climb up, but that's more, you know -. It would be possible if you were to climb up, you know, within the picture itself, you get up there, but that's against

the rules and it's monitored.

MR. REMMERT: Any other questions?

MR. GORMAN: I just have the same

comment as the other exhibition that the maintenance

manual was referenced but was not provided to us.

 $\underline{\text{MR. MEKAY:}}$  Yeah, in case we - we have a daily visual and a biweekly hands on that we can provide.

MR. REMMERT: Thank you.

Mr. Di Domizio?

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Mr. Chairman, I was looking at the engineers approval letters and — and something that Joe had prefaced at the beginning of this discussion was on the ASTM 2970 code for trampolines where they talk about absorption devices and various safety concerns.

Now, in the report, in the engineering report, I don't see any reference to 2970. In fact, some of the things there don't even - on 2391 and all of them, doesn't even address a trampoline court.

So without looking at the - the report you're looking for, we provide a standard format. We

accept that in general terms as — and it combines with our chapter verse and also provides that point four, which literally means at 24 sub thereof, which those other ASTM standards typically all fall under that point four. We might have to update our requirements, as now some of the standards are not something to F-24 anymore. They stand on their own. But these are referenced in some of the subcommittees.

So in order to be probably more succinct and exact, we would probably have to expand our requirements for our engineering letter and generically say, as long as it meets the F-24 standards and our Pennsylvania law chapter verse, that's what we need engineering to say. And what you're saying, you would like to see or perhaps make sure that they're in compliance with those additional standards to call them out specifically. If that's what I'm hearing you say?

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Yeah. And what you're saying is correct from a purely legal standpoint. My only concern was specific areas so we can say where applicable - I mean I do this, too. So it's like where applicable and it can be a one paragraph thing so you think it's a catch all. But there are things dealing with trampolines that you -

you have energy, you're - you're up in the air. So it says you could - if you're going to be near a wall, you need to have some kind of energy absorption.

The code says it should have containment nets. There's a lot of things in the 2970 that if we just say F-24 in general, it doesn't generally cover that in general because it covers amusement rides in such a wide format. That's what concerns me. It's going to continue to concern me until we really get a good handle on it.

I know - I know that's what attraction brings to this, is the excitement of it, but if you ever bought one and your - you know, for your backyard and your insurance company finds out about it, you expect an increase in your - in your monthly - in your annual premium. But they put nets on there just for the purpose of not leaving the jumping area. And - and a lot of those injuries we see are from kids who get under the mat and into the springs, or actually come off of the ride.

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{I thought that - I take - I take that}$  as part of what we should point out.

 $\underline{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}$  A valid position. And to clarify for the board. So as we evolve, we accept the F-24 in the letter. Our expectation is, we

actually have conversations with the applicants and 1 2 have specific conversations with the professional 3 engineers as if we haven't dealt with them before. It's pretty clear that they're actually looking at the 5 right standards. I, myself, through an education process, would actually reach out to say, P.E., we're going to do a show and tell, you say that you read ASTM but I actually never seen your name before, 9 haven't done business in Pennsylvania, what standards 10 did you read because the submission doesn't appear to be compliant. And then we have a little more 11 12 conversation and they typically say, yeah, that 13 probably wasn't the right person to ask. And they bow 14 out or they get some other P.E. that has some 15 familiarity with amusement rides to understand some of 16 their work.

4

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it's a valid point and we should look at perhaps getting more specific - when it comes to items that run outside of the F-24.

MR. FILOROMO: When an engineer certifies that the ASTM F-24 standard, everything in general F-24 and everything in the subcommittee that apprise that attraction. So they're - they're responsible for doing it. Then a lot of times, just like Walt - what Walt was saying is that's what we

need to keep an out for and catch.

So sometimes we do a checklist, we started to do that, but there's always - it could be something in general that we're not thinking of.

That's why we let the brainstorm open for people like this.

Same thing when they read - they
certify that it meets the Pennsylvania regulations.

That includes all of the reference, laws and standards
and so on that were included, too.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Yeah, that's - and they're in - you know, don't believe what the engineer is telling you. So if we say F-24, that's a really wide net excuse upon to - you know, it would just be a catchall. I just want to make sure that we're covered, especially those of us who pay for our insurance not to be wrong.

MR. REMMERT: Absolutely. I think somebody might have recognized this a few dozen years ago who created this board.

MR DI DOMIZIO: That's why we're here.

MR. REMMERT: Yeah, absolutely.

That's exactly why we're here.

So I'd ask Yvonne to move on to this zip line submission. So if I missed any questions

from the previous submission, please catch me up, if not, this is what we're looking at. For the zip line portion, which is also over a foam pit.

So we next we have an artist's submission. Any questions for the zip line piece of this. Almost looked like you had a question?

If you thought you were pulling your hair out for this there are states that many - many don't touch these at all. And I don't know if that's good or bad. Having the accidents that needed recorded. There's a better representation of what's installed. Board members, do you have any questions concerning this particular submission?

Vincent, I know you can't see them, but they're all diligently looking at pictures of the material, so standby.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Mr. Chairman, it's interesting to note that the engineers letter on this particular one does refer to the trampoline standard. It says zip line, so it's not like it's the wrong letter. It's page five of our PDF.

MR. REBBIE: We were just looking at the photo and trying to figure out how far away that brake system is from the covered post.

MR. REMMERT: Vincent, you want to

talk to us a little bit about the actual, physical set up of the zip line, specifically the distance from the braking mechanism to the actual post that holds up that end of the zip line?

> MR. BARRIOS: Yeah. I believe -.

MR. MEKAY: Yes, this is Bob Mekay. Ι can do that.

Yeah. So we do - because the exit arch structure, though it's padded, is the end of the line of the zip line. We install a stop block as well as a deceleration spring braking system at approximately 12 to 13 feet from the - the end anchor point of the cable. And that was kind of chosen based on testing our door to where we can - are trying - or they could or could not, you know, at 12 feet, launch off and even touch - even the padding of the exit arch and even beyond that.

> MR. REMMERT: Thank you.

Any other questions or concerns from the board members?

I'll ask for your indulgence. We have three separate submissions for the board today.

23 Anybody have the opportunity to offer any options for Do I hear a motion? Any one of them? this?

25 them? Mr. Rebbie?

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

81

```
MR. REBBIE:
                                I'll entertain a motion
1
2
   that we approve all three of them.
3
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                  Well, I add Mr. Gorman's
   caveat that we receive the additional material that
 4
5
   was brought out specifically the maintenance of each
6
   individual piece.
                   MR. REBBIE?:
                                  Agreed.
                                  I have a motion.
8
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                                     Ιs
9
   there a second?
10
                   MR. GORMAN:
                                 Second.
11
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                  All right.
12
                   I have a motion to second.
                                                Is there
13
   any discussion?
14
                   Okay.
15
                   All those -.
                                    I'm sorry, Mr.
16
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO:
17
   Chairman.
              Sorry to interrupt. In addition to the
   information that this include Mr. Gorman's information
18
   some additional engineering information, calculations
19
20
   and such or just not going to -?
                   MR. REMMERT: I have to roll the dice
21
22
   here.
          What is it specifically that you're looking for
23
   that we can get any of it?
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: Yeah, well, we have -
24
25
   for instance, we have a weight, but is the weight
```

complies with the F-24, is the trampoline designed to take that weight or that height for the zip line - strength of the zip line, strength of the braking system. The fact that it's there and it's shown and there's a picture of it -?

## MR. REMMERT: Okay.

I think I understand. So I mean, let me go back. I'm going to offer you another opportunity by a motion, we add the maintenance specific to each of the submissions and Mr. Di Domizio has upped the pot and said, Sky Zone, will you please provide us the engineering documents for the dynamics of the operation and installation of those so he can take a look at your calculations for each one of those submissions.

MR. MEKAY: Yes, certainly. Just to clarify, this will be the loading criteria, the structural engineer used in similar situations.

Is that correct?

 $\underline{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}$  That would be perfect.

MR. MEKAY: We have no problem with

22 that.

MR. REMMERT: With those

24 considerations, the motion and it was seconded as I

25 amended if that's acceptable?

83

```
1
                   MR. REBBIE: Agreed.
2
                   MR. REMMERT: Is there any further
3
   discussion?
                   All those in favor, please signify.
 4
5
6
        (WHEREUPON, AYES RESPOND.)
8
                   MR. REMMERT: Any opposed?
9
                   Thank you, Sky Zone. We'll be
10
   reaching out to you later today, or likely tomorrow.
11
                   MR. BARRIOS: Great. Thank you,
12
   gentlemen.
13
                   MR. REMMERT: Some propose we take
14
   five minutes. We'll be crushing through here in the
15
   last couple, if somebody needs a break? No?
                   One last one, Mr. Kelley?
16
17
                   BOARD MEMBER: Yeah, I believe they're
18
   on the phone.
19
                   MR. REMMERT: Mr. Kelley and the
20
   remainder for the Midi Discovery 360?
21
                   MR. KELLEY: I think I'm here.
22
                   MR. REMMERT: I think I can hear you.
23
   So that's a good sign.
24
                   So we have Midi Discovery, also known
25
   as Midi Discovery 360 submission for a particular
```

1 location. Who's going to give us the brief overview?

MR. GORMAN: Well, I can do that since

3 I'm here.

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

So this ride is built by an Italian company called Soriani, the ride is distributed and serviced in the United States by Zamperla. That picture you see there is our installation as of two weeks ago.

It's a pendulum swinging ride. The ride rotates as well as oscillates like a clock and it does go upside down into a 360. You have to be 48 inches tall to ride, over-the-shoulder harnesses. This is the platform below you, it slides open after the ride is ready to run so that you have foot clearance to ride the ride currently. In this picture, you see that we don't have a perimeter fence but it has been installed since then.

That's a simple overview.

MR. REMMERT: All right, board members. Kill them with kindness. Go ahead, Brad.

MR. NESLAND: So when - if you have a power outage or in an emergency stop, this ride will come back home?

Correct?

MR. CRISLER: That's correct. This is

Jay Crisler from Zamperla. 1 COURT REPORTER: What's his name? 2 3 MR. NESLAND: The other question that 4 I have, Jay, is there any condition in which the 5 counterweight would be heavier than the vehicle without - with a light load? So that if you open the brakes, the vehicle would go up, and then counterweight would come down? 8 9 MR. CRISLER: No, that is not the 10 case. The counterweight is lighter than the gondola. 11 MR. REMMERT: Any additional questions? Steve, since you're on the hook for 12 13 answering questions, unless you need the ticker back 14 We have similar attractions in Pennsylvania, just up. 15 not this particular version.

What's the cycle for this? What's the ride cycle?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $\underline{\text{MR. GORMAN:}} \quad \text{Are you asking what - how} \\ \\ \text{long the ride cycle is?}$ 

Right now we have it set for two minutes and 30 seconds. That is the clock that starts when it just begins to swing until it completely stops.

MR. REMMERT: And it's an automated sequence

MR. GORMAN: Yes. It's an automated program. We can adjust that time to however we feel. We did ask other parks that have this in the United States, there's five of them I believe and they all have two and a half minutes as their set time.

Now, I rode it twice last week or so and it's pretty thrilling. It doesn't spin as fast as I was expecting, but the upside down feature is very thrilling. And two minutes and 30 seconds is enough.

MR. REMMERT: So where I was going, that this is on a sequence once the operator starts the process and keeps it safely moving. If you, as the owner-operator, can you affect the way the sequence - the sequence - can you program your own variable speed, rotation or -?

MR. GORMAN: The only thing that we're allowed to change is the time. And they can dial in and make adjustments to correct different parameters, but time is only thing we adjust.

 $\underline{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}$  And can you ask the company to make that adjustment?

MR. GORMAN: Yes.

We can make other adjustments with their permission and their assistance.

MR. REMMERT: And because you're a

board member, that makes this easier to ask the question. What would be the mechanism that we would be aware if you made a fundamental change to what's approved. I would think that the ride cycle itself, that you as the owner, can affect - it's not an issue to approve it as that. Or do you think it comes back to the board because you asked the manufacturer to change something else that you yourself are not able to change?

When does the dynamic in the ride change?

MR. GORMAN: Yeah, they - the manufacturer would not actually change - I can't believe that they would - if I asked them to change the torque values to go over ten times instead of once or twice in a cycle, I don't think they would let me do that. I do not think they would do that. If that's what you're asking?

MR. REMMERT: That is the kind of thing I'm asking. So we approve a ride that's on a particular cycle. I was very interested about how much the owner-operator could affect that, and at what point does is become an issue for us that we do not approve it to operate that way.

That's - that's kind of what my

question was.

MR. GORMAN: We would be slated to extend the time if we saw that the patrons are getting more uncomfortable on the ride going down.

MR. REMMERT: That makes sense. And that's like many of the other automated rides we talked about. Some distance cycle, this is what its intended to do and then outside of that, you'll have control.

MR. GORMAN: That is correct.

MR. NESLAND: I saw in the manual that there's a manual release tool and also looking - and that's on the back side of the seat looking at the ride itself. How do you access the seat to release it manually?

MR. GORMAN: Jay, I can answer that because I was trained, you weren't there, but we can climb up underneath the center - underneath the center to do that.

20 MR. PITTMAN: Steve, how many 21 revolutions is in a cycle?

MR. GORMAN: Right now, the way it's set with that time, of two minutes and 30 seconds, that it once in this direction and then once in this direction. But you know, it oscillates and gets up

higher and higher each time and then it makes one revolution, then it goes the other way and make one and then settles back down.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Mr. Chairman, the application today, is it being made by Soriani or is it being made by Zamperla as a local distributor for Soriani? Who's actually making the application today?

 $\underline{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}$  We list Soriani because they are the manufacturer, I'm going to assume.

MR. GORMAN: Yeah, I list the manufacturer as Soriani, because that's really - are the manufacturer of the ride and the manuals were all provided by Soriani. So I - that's how I submitted it, but I did make a note that Zamperla is the service company.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: So we need a ride type certification, you, it's the manufacturer of the ride, so -

MR. GORMAN: Correct.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: - just wanted to assume which one it was. It seems like - it seems like it's perfectly okay because it says Zamperla as being the distributor and provider of service. I'm assuming that that means that they're representing the Soriani company for Pennsylvania spare parts, service,

```
installation, testing?
1
2
                   MR. GORMAN: That's exactly right.
3
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 They've been Soriani's
 4
   representative for quite a few years?
5
                   MR. GORMAN: Jay, can you answer that?
6
                   MR. CRISLER: Yes. I can't hear that
7
   well, Steve.
                 If I understand the question, does
   Zamperla represent Soriani North America for servicing
9
   their parts.
10
                   MR. GORMAN: Yeah, the only part of
11
   that you didn't answer was how long have you done
12
   that?
13
                   MR. CRISLER: Oh, how long has
14
   Zamperla representing Soriani?
15
                   MR. GORMAN:
                                Yes.
16
                   MR. CRISLER:
                                 That's a good question.
   I've been with the company since 2006, so before that
17
18
   and I looked at the database during the research and
   we've had rides here I think in 2002. So I can say
19
20
   honestly more than ten years. The exact date, I'd
21
   have to follow up. But it happened before I started
22
   here in July of 2006. So before 2006.
23
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: Yeah, very good.
                                                       I'm
```

sorry I had to turn that on. But I was just looking

for that clarification that it would be Zamperla who -

24

25

```
who was making - who's going to be the responsible
1
2
   party here in Pennsylvania that Steve and people like
3
   him might go to for questions, service, parts, that
   was - that's how my question ran if you didn't hear I
 4
5
   apologize.
 6
                   MR. CRISLER: Exactly. We - we - for
   any technical support, we have engineers on staff and
   they have a direct connection to the engineers and the
9
   factory. And we - we have some spare parts in stock,
10
   and if not, then we order it directly from the
11
   factory. So spare parts and service is handled here.
12
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: Very good. Very
13
   good.
14
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                  Thank you.
15
                   Any other questions from board
16
   members?
17
                   I'm hunting for a motion?
18
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: So moved. I'd like to
   make a motion to accept this application.
19
                   MR. REMMERT: Is there a second?
20
21
                   MR. REBBIE: Second.
22
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 Is there any discussion?
23
                   All those in favor say aye.
2.4
25
        (WHEREUPON, AYES RESPOND.)
```

92

1 2 MR. REMMERT: Are there any opposed or 3 abstained? MR. GORMAN: I will abstain. 4 5 MR. REMMERT: Thank you very much. 6 As I said earlier, you'll hear from the Bureau in the next few days about the progress of this getting it brought in. 8 9 Okay. MR. GORMAN: 10 Thank you. Do you want to be the first rider when 11 12 you open? MR. REMMERT: If you don't mind, I'll 13 14 invite Brian, you want to -? Do you have anything 15 further to display if we don't have it yet or -? 16 Thank you.

17 Introduce yourselves and you may

18 begin.

19 Brian Knoebel. MR. KNOEBEL:

20 K-N-O-E-B-E-L from Knoebel's Amusement Resort.

21 MR. REMMERT: What brings you here

22 today?

23 MR. KNOEBEL: Approval of a ride from 24 Battech Industries called the DownDraft. We have

25 owned the DownDraft for many, many years. I'm simply trading it in, just like you would do to your car.

But Battech used to be manufactured - it was then sold

- I believe it was sold again, so now it's Battech

Industries out of Salem, Oregon.

2.4

Bill Kelley had sent a letter to Joe
Filoromo to state that he approved because there were
no modifications to the ride. It was simply just
changing the name, changing of the manufacturer.

MR. REMMERT: Gentleman, this is why we're here today - ask to assume this role under new business. It is literally a manufacturer name change. The ride specifications have not been altered at all.

When we're under this unique circumstance outside of the class I level usually with a manufacturer name, we just change it. So we thought we'd give members an opportunity to let you know that as always, all of our owner-operators are above the board and they asked our discretion. And I thought this would be an opportunity to have you ask questions, let you know what's going on, what we got here. And let you know that typically we would've handled this and we will with consensus just make a name change in our database from what it was with reference to the manufacturer.

Is there any discussion at this point

1 about doing business that way or do you see a need for 2 an approval process? 3 I have one question. MR. NESLAND: 4 Did they change the name plate? 5 MR. REMMERT: Yes. 6 MR. NESLAND: Oh, okay. So they did put their name on it? 8 MR. REMMERT: It now says Battech, 9 yes. 10 MR. NESLAND: Okay. 11 Because my thought was if they didn't 12 change the name plate, you wouldn't have to do 13 anything. 14 Sure. They did change MR. REMMERT: 15 the name plate. 16 MR. GORMAN: So in registering for 17 this summer then, you have the proper serial number 18 and all that? 19 MR. KNOEBEL: Yes. 20 Why are you here today? MR. REMMERT: 21 MR. KNOEBEL: It's your pleasure as a 22 board and not necessarily our intent, we told you how 23 we would typically provide this. We're talking about

trampolines and what happens and we rarely - this is

the first one we've seen that really happen and we

24

25

have existing and it hasn't changed at all. So here we are.

MR. PITTMAN: I was confused. When you first started your comments, you said we traded in a ride like you trade in a car. So if you traded it in, did you get something to replace it?

MR. KNOEBEL: So we traded in a Datron DownDraft for a Battech DownDraft. So they're going to take it back to, to my knowledge, Battech is going to take it back to the shop and refurbish it and -.

MR. PITTMAN: And they'll bring it right back to you then?

MR. KNOEBEL: No, no. We bought a new one. We bought a 2019 model.

MR. PITTMAN: Okay.

It's old?

 $\underline{\text{MR. KNOEBEL:}}$  Just LED lights, that's the only difference.

MR. PITTMAN: And a new name plate?

MR. KNOEBEL: Yes.

I don't need a motion if you all agree that he'll change the manufacturer, but I appreciate

you telling us.

MR. KNOEBEL: All right.

Thank you guys.

 $\underline{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}$  And I did save the best for last. Or the last for best.

If you didn't know, Gary has some news for us about - well, a couple of things.

MR. CHUBB: That's C-H-U-B-B.

MR. REMMERT: Mr. Chubb, welcome.

MR. CHUBB: Thank you.

Good afternoon. My first order of business is to let you all know that - some of you knew this already, but this will be my last appearance before this illustrious group here as a representative of Hershey Park, as I am retiring as of May 24, and -.

Yeah, I'll be retiring as of May 24, but actually tomorrow is my last day of work before I go on long term vacation. I hope I get that fixed. I don't know if I will or not, but I'll find out on May 23rd, I guess.

But in any event, to make a long story short here, that's one thing. The other thing I'm here for is a - for a major modification on amusement rides. Our former ride known as Reese's Xtreme Cup Challenge, okay, has received a modification, not only

to the ride praxis of it, but also to the inside gaming portion of the ride itself. And let's see, we have some of the pictures up there now, but I think there's a PowerPoint that I can point out some of the things?

MS. BROWN: Sorry.

MR. CHUBB: Go to - yeah, go to the

8 PowerPoint.

And while the PowerPoint is coming up,

I'd like to let everybody know that while there was a

previously approved ride, the Reese's Xtreme Cup

Challenge, we're now calling it Reese's Cupfusion,

okay? It was an operating ride since 2006 in the

Commonwealth. The major modification basically

involves the lower portion of the track, okay? And we

made it flat on the ground, okay?

Now there is still a portion that is is on a slight hill at two different places, but that
part has not been modified. So what happened is we
took out the entire track and - hold on everybody,
okay? We took out the entire track, went inside,
gutted the ride out, okay, - just hold it there, okay?

MS. BROWN: Oh, sorry.

MR. CHUBB: So we took the track out.

25 We took the old scenery out and put the new scenery

in, in addition to some of these huge projection screens, okay, so the ride is now going to use a gaming element and we have these little amplifiers that they - it's actually a little blaster or a gun but we can't use that term. We have to say amplifier, okay.

So that's what you're going to be shooting the targets at. So this is a shot, what you see on the screen right now of the original ride vehicle on the original track, okay, getting ready to go through the newly themed attraction itself.

So as we go on here, go ahead.

Here's the old track system. It's a standard track with the pickup on the side there and that basically takes care of the communication to the ride itself as well as the ride control and we now have a - the gaming portion of it on here as well.

So throughout the ride, again, there's the same track back in again, throughout the ride, keep going, Yvonne. Okay.

Throughout the ride, but we're changing the theme, I would say.

Okay?

So now it's a game. It's basically - and in the first picture you just saw there, Act 1 was

- that particular person is - let me get it straight here, Commander Cup, okay. Commander Cup is going after Mint Merciless and his band of misfit candies. So as they go through the ride - the next one -. There you see some of the misfits. Keep going. There's one of the augers you see the little targets. Okay?

Now keep going. This will be the darker ride, okay. So some of these things you won't see like you see now. There's one of the projection screens and the targets. There's more targets. You see the exits are well marked and everything. There's more targets. Continuing on, there's another screen. And part of the gaming element is — one more —. Okay. Hold it there. Okay. Part of the gaming element is that you got your wristband when you get on the ride, your score will come up on a big video board outside showing you're the big winner today or whatever it is.

So in a nutshell, we got a lot of -.

That's basically what we're doing. We're taking an old ride. We made some major modifications, considered it major modifications because we did lower the hills out of the thing, a major hill I should say which in essence, lowered the dynamics for the ride itself.

So we're here for approval of the major modification and let you know that we did change the gaming portion of this as well.

Anything I missed?

MR. REMMERT: Only because I want to offer to the board, does this change the dynamics of the area approved ride?

 $$\operatorname{\underline{MR.\ CHUBB:}}$$  The dynamics? I will refer to my engineer who happens to be with me, Brett Lydall.

So does this make this - this update cause it to be different than when it was first approved, and if so, in what direction? Is it harsher, harder, more difficult turns or is it less, light, modification that was made, if any, to the track and/or cars interaction negate the current certification,

MR LYDALL: No, because -.

 $\frac{\text{MR. REMMERT:}}{\text{hear because you're going to get a bunch of questions}} \\ \text{on why it doesn't and that's what I want you to speak} \\ \text{to.}$ 

MR. LYDALL: Sure.

As Gary said, the only changes we made was to lower the track at the very end of the ride, so we've taken the dynamics down. It wasn't severe to begin with, but it's less severe now, taken potential energy out, it's flatter. The braking system hasn't changed. None of the ride portion has changed other than that track being lowered.

MR. REMMERT: I appreciate that. Now I'm going to interpret a little bit from the State's perspective why we're here at the board anyway. So said it before, I get to say it again. Extremely diligent, everybody. I mean, you're talking about class acts, A-plus operators no matter what jurisdiction we just had the - fortunate enough to have them in Pennsylvania.

The reality here is when we first looked at this and had this discussion, what's a major modification here. That's a conversation that we had over and over again. Did it change its dynamics?

Really, if it changes the dynamics to make it better, safer, easier, does - is it a major modification that requires board review, and our review, other than to make sure that their T's are cross and I's are dotted for the submission?

So we asked them to come here today so you could ask questions. The State's inclination, our office in particular, that this does not need to go through another long ride mule process other than to confirm that they've not increased the dynamics, but reduced the dynamics, made it potentially safer.

So that is our position and I leave it to the Board for comments or questions to the ride representatives.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Mr. Chairman, I say leave a sleeping lion lie. Why even get involved if the State doesn't require it - doesn't require our input at all.

MR. REMMERT: I don't know that's why we bring it to the board saying do you consider it a major modification? Is it something that you believe needs to be entertained as another submission? Our opinion right now is no, it does not, but I'm just offering you up.

MR. PITTMAN: You know, from what Gary said to me is, it seems like it's an update. Very, very minor, the word major modification to me, sets a whole different standard.

 $\underline{\text{MR. CHUBB:}}$  Yeah, one of the reasons that we - we wanted to make sure the board was aware

because when marketing gets a hold of this and they start saying brand new ride and everything, it's not a brand new ride. It's a redeemed ride basically.

1.3

MR. LYDALL: And we want to be above the board, too. We don't want to do stuff at the state level and you say, well, I wasn't aware of it and why wasn't I aware of it. So we're trying to be much more transparent coming here.

Even though that was our inkling, I wouldn't - I wouldn't be here to know what your thinking was so that's why they indulged us and asked to come here today, to offer that to you and have this discussion.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Mr. Chairman, I'll offer one simple question and that is, is this - is this a specialty ride and was basically built for this purpose. It's not like there's hundreds of them out there that they would all jump on this and say hey, you have to change this and we have to change ours.

 $\underline{\text{MR. CHUBB:}}$  This is what's known as a custom car ride.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: One of a kind.

MR. CHUBB: It's one of a kind, okay, just like Six Flags does the Justice League, they had Buzz Light Year in Disney and stuff, they're all

```
104
1
   shooting lasers.
2
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: So we can narrow this
3
   down to, it's your ride?
                                This is our ride.
 4
                   MR. CHUBB:
5
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: It's just for you.
6
   Then I don't have any problem with leaving it as it
   is.
                                 Any other questions or
8
                   MR. REMMERT:
9
   comments?
10
                   MR. GORMAN:
                                Just for documentation,
11
   are you changing the rider restriction with the state?
12
   Are you going to give them a new number or keep it the
   same? That's all I'm saying, just change the name?
13
14
                   MR. CHUBB: Our inkling is to keep it
15
   the same.
16
                   MR. GORMAN:
                                Because we renamed a
17
   slide once and that's all we did was we just changed
18
   the name and -.
19
                   MR. CHUBB: We will change the name
20
   but simply to reflect -.
21
                   MR. REMMERT:
                                 There's a caveat to
22
   that, we did get an updated serial number so I'm not
```

MR. CHUBB: We can do - we have that 25 in place.

sure how that impacts your registration.

23

24

105 1 MR. REMMERT: Okay. 2 Then it's fine. It's no different 3 than what we just spoke about, you know? I have expired the agenda. 4 Are there 5 any words of wisdom for Gary before he -? 6 (WHEREUPON, AN OFF RECORD DISCUSSION WAS HELD.) 8 9 MR. REMMERT: These are possibly the 10 next meeting, updates and a new coaster. 11 MR. LYDALL: And if may I add, Gary's 12 leave is going to take about six of us to replace him. 13 So our thanks to Gary as well. 14 MR. CHUBB: Thank you. 15 MR. REMMERT: Board member comments? 16 Who wants to start? 17 BOARD MEMBER: Who's here for the 18 train? MR. REMMERT: The train? 19 There was 20 nobody registered on - I was looking for somebody for the train before I moved to the -? 21 22 MR. HALL: Did you recognize me? 23 MR. REMMERT: I recognized you, Mr. 24 Hall. 25 MR. HALL: Oh, thank you.

106

```
This is advanced notice. I don't know
1
2
   whether we'll have it this year, but I read at the - I
3
   read about the Houston Livestock Shows and Rodeo and
   they debut something called the Titan, a ride by
5
   Fabbri of Italy. It goes 17 stories high, 60 miles
   per hour, 200,000 pounds, it moves on three heavy duty
   trucks. The only one of its kind in the USA.
   ever expect anything like that here that's presented
   by the Ray Cammack Shows? They don't come into
9
10
   Pennsylvania, do they?
11
                   MR. FILOROMO:
                                  No.
12
                   MR. HALL: How do you ever like judge
13
   something like that?
14
                   MR. FILOROMO: If they'll ever come
15
   here you mean?
16
                   MR. HALL:
                              Yeah.
17
                   Well anyway, you don't have to answer
18
   that. Just -.
19
                   MR. SWIKA: It's a speed ride on
20
   steroid is all it is.
21
                   MR. FILOROMO:
                                  Yeah.
22
                   MR. SWIKA: Speed ride on steroids.
23
   Ray Cammack is a very special individual. He plays on
24
   the West Coast. He placed probably six or seven of
25
   the biggest events in the country. I don't think
```

we'll ever see him east of the Mississippi River with the route and the equipment that man has. He has a Ferris wheel of 27. He's got - you know, it's just a whole different -.

 $$\operatorname{\underline{MR.\ HALL:}}$$  Well, you've answered the question and we don't expect it soon or ever maybe, so. Thank you.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: I just have a simple question that I hope you can answer simply. I was approached by a company outside of the United States -.

--

(WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A BRIEF INTERRUPTION IN THE RECORD.)

\_\_\_

MR. DI DOMIZIO: I was approached by a company that manufacturers a ride outside of the United States and they're asking me - so they have a buyer in Pennsylvania and they want to come before this board. So before they - so I wanted to turn back this advice and they just understand how to handle that. They said I hope - they don't have to come here and make an application. And I said well, for the certification for the ride. So you, as the purchaser of the ride, do not represent the person who

manufactures the ride.

So you have to have - so - at any time stop me and tell me of the track. But that's the way I interpreted it, to come to these meetings. That we'll always have somebody that is at least on the - inside the borders here that would represent an interest in another country, be it Russia, Canada or who knows where, Antarctica.

But when we - when we go through a certification, somebody wants to purchase this ride, the ride tech certification, according to when I talked to Joe, goes back to the manufacturer. Then the question becomes who actually makes the application. Who actually gets that ride certification.

## MR. REMMERT: Sure.

So the manufacturer has all the technical information as we require, in order to approve a ride or attraction. So we don't tell the manufacturer they have to get approved. What we tell the potential owner that it must be approved. And hopefully the manufacturer is willing to collaborate and work with their potential buyer or owner and assist them to get through our process, New Jersey's - I mean, whoever's process. We have seen one or two

times that a manufacturer in particular amusement rides, and unwilling to participate in the process and we basically kick it to the door until we receive all the pertinent information.

So I would offer that the person on the hook is the owner of the ride. Especially if they'd already signed some documents to agree with it and if they can't get the manufacturer to buy on to help assist them through this process they will never make it. Without that specific, technical information, it will never get through this board. That's how strict they are.

So that's - but who filled out the documents because of language barriers or interpretation or time zones is irrelevant. We need complete and accurate documents with all the related information so that when it comes time for the board, we need somebody to be able to speak to the content of those documents that we'll review. Whether it's sending someone or somebody's up at 3:00 a.m. they're local time to be here in person or by phone and have that conversation.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: That's - that's a very good explanation in a case like this where it's a country where they could be France, it could be

whoever. But say they want to sell a ride in Pennsylvania and become certified, now could they - could they hire somebody and say, can you go in there or hire Steve Gorman to come in here and make an application on our behalf?

That's - see that's where the gray area exists as far as I'm concerned. Now, how much exposure does that person have who came in here and made a case for them and then they just took the money and then they left? They did my job but I - and then I think it's a liability. I think it's a liability for us, but for the person that bought that ride -.

MR. REMMERT: It would be very suspicious if there was a third party, just a conduit to the - to make a deal to brokers because they don't have any skill. And so I would have to ask the manufacturer, whose reputation is on the line and the not only the purchaser, not only a potential owner, but why they would be doing this in that manner.

So we have occasionally, and I do mean rarely, where we see that kind of transaction.

Typically when you talk about China you'll have a wholesaler or doing business as or the purchasing manufacturer, manufacturer of the main line channel.

It will be branded something else. And that's the

people who would provide the over stamp even though they're buying the parts and the equipment from, but they have skin in game.

So in the scenario you're presenting, I would have to look closer at that.

## MR. DI DOMIZIO: Okay.

That's - that's what I told them so far. Unless you are representing that company, that you're buying these parts from, they're not willing to come here -.

MR. REMMERT: And it's very rare that we would see the owner or the manufacturer. We would see one of those two parties make an application.

Rarely do we see somebody who doesn't own it or manufacture it come in.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: And I've personally been, you know, several years ago I had an Italian company come to me and come before this board. I represented them as the engineer and they represented themselves as the manufacturer, but they didn't speak of word of English. So it was - there was a little difficulty, but they were here, physically, and they - and they got their approval.

These folks are not willing to sell it to people who don't want to just buy it, they don't -

because they don't want to jump through hoops. So - I think I'm giving them the right advice, stay in the game with the business -.

MR. REMMERT: I'll make it simple, we don't want them here. They can convince us otherwise. They really have no intent to go through the process and embrace the culture of the departments.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Yeah, and I don't want to - I don't want to put the trouble in either. I mean I don't want to take their money and find out they won't show up or - we have people on the phone, so that's why I had to just bring it up and see what the feeling of the board is.

Thank you.

MR. PITTMAN: On Sunday at King's

Dominion, there's a ride called the Twisted Timbers

and somebody - some passengers was using a device

similar to what I'm holding to film themselves

whatever, lost control of it, it then became a

projectile, smashed into the face of a gentleman that

I know. Broke the nose, lacerated the face and of

course a lawsuit will ensue.

Is there any rulings against a passenger carrying anything that can be used as a projectile?

MR. REMMERT: You would benefit from the park's discussion and this has been a subject, I think every day of park owner-operators, especially with the type of rides, experiences they offer that I don't know - I don't know how they crack that nut so you'd probably have to ask them individually. Brad, what do you folks think?

MR. NESLAND: It is against our policies. The problem you have is they can have it in their pocket and then as they get on the ride, they can pull it out. We do our best to make sure that they do not have those - those articles in areas that people lose articles until the ride is over. It gets a struggle.

MR. PITTMAN: Do you post any signage?

MR. NESLAND: Yes. We post signage.

We train our operators to look for it. On occasion,

we will stop the ride if we see somebody bringing one

out while the ride has commenced, but that's - you're

also weighing, okay, which is safer? Is it safer to

stop the ride to stop them or is it safer to let -.

MR. PITTMAN: Well, this selfie thing, you know, it's random and people always want to take a picture of themselves and say I'm doing something.

It's unfortunate that these - these things could-.

MR. REMMERT: I only speak from experience. I had been in Hershey to the event center, if they see a selfie stick, they won't even let it in the gates. Door is the same way. If they see it, they'll say you can't come in here with it. You'll have to return it to your vehicle.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

are any?

Any other board member comments? I appreciate your indulgence so far. I'm opening it for comments from the gallery, if there

MR. CHUBB: One final time here maybe it might not be the final time. I'm here to promote the annual parks and carnivals education ride and safety training seminar, a paid seminar, which is scheduled for October 28th through October 31st of this year. It will be held at the Altoona Grand Hotel in Altoona, Pennsylvania and we will be doing the hands-on training day at DelGrosso's Park.

So we will offer 32 hours of training or 24 hours of training and anybody is more than welcome to come. I am the seminar coordinator, I will continue at least through this year, beyond that, I'm not sure how long I'm going to be hanging in there, but we'll see how things go.

So I would like to promote the

```
training seminars. And of course, there's another
1
   training seminar after hours, it will be probably
2
3
   early November some time. Bill Sweigert's seminar,
   the Pennsylvania Ride and Safety seminar. I'm not
   sure of all the details of that one yet. But there's
5
   usually a list of them. I'd like to promote both
   seminars. It's safety training for the industry.
                                                        So
   thank you all once again.
9
                   MR. REMMERT: I believe Natalie has
10
   something for you before you go.
11
                   One last order of business, is there a
   motion to adjourn?
12
13
                   MR. GORMAN: I will make a motion.
                   MR. REBBIE: Second.
14
15
                   MR. REMMERT: All those in favor?
16
17
        (WHEREUPON, AYES RESPOND.)
18
19
                   MR. REMMERT: Thank you, Board.
20
21
               HEARING CONCLUDED AT 12:30 P.M.
22
23
2.4
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings was reported by me on 04-16-19 and that I, Cynthia Piro Simpson, read this transcript, and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Dated the 25th day of April, 2019

ynthia Piro Simpson