## AMUSEMENT RIDE SAFETY ADVISORY BOARD MEETING AUGUST 20, 2019

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE HARRISBURG, PA 10:00 AM

......

### **ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS**

Bureau Director, Walter Remmert

Bradley Nesland \*\*Absent
Jim Houghton
Stephen Gorman \*\*Via Phone
John D. Blaney, Jr
William B. Hall, III

John C. Pittman Kenneth Potter, Jr. John D. Makrias

Thomas Rebbie

Stephen Swika, III \*\*Via Phone Robert A. Di Domizio, Jr. PE

Philadelphia Toboggan Coaster Inc.

Dorney Park

PA State Showmen's Association

Waldameer Park

PA State Assoc. of County Fairs

Public Member Public Member Special Advisor Special Advisor

PA State Showmen's Association RAD Engineering Corporation

# **AGENDA**

- A. CALL TO ORDER Deputy Secretary Fred Strathmeyer
  - a. Sunshine Law Announcement
- **B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:** 
  - a. Approval of the minutes April 16, 2019
- C. SECRETARY'S REMARKS:
- D. DIRECTOR'S REPORT:
- **E. OLD BUSINESS:**

## **NEW RIDE APPROVALS**

### **MANUFACTURER**

Ropes Course Incorporated
Battech Enterprises, LLC
S & S Worldwide

Speed Raceway Mini GoKart Track

### **RIDE NAME**

Sky Trail w Sky Rail Black Widow

Steel Curtain Coaster

Speed Raceway Mini Car & Track

G) NEW BUSINESS: Short Presentation by Pret Lytle - (Hershey Park)
New Roller Coaster

Joe Filoromo to talk about new ride Approval letter & misuse of the term "service proven"

- H) BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS:
- I) PUBLIC COMMENTS:
- J) ADJOURNMENT:

Upcoming Advisory Board meetings will be held at the Department of Agriculture at 10am in room 309

Wednesday, October 16, 2019

### AMUSEMENT RIDE SAFETY ADVISORY BOARD MEETING

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE: WALTER REMMERT, Chair

Fred Strathmeyer, Member

Joseph Filoromo, Member

Randall Arndt, Member

Thomas Rebbie, Member

William B. Hall, III, Member

Natalie Behe, Member

Yvonne Brown, Member

John D. Makrias, Member

Kenneth Potter, Member

John C. Pittman, Member

John D. Blaney, Jr., Member

Robert A. Di Domizio, Jr., Member

Reporter: Derek Richmond

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency

MEETING: Tuesday, August 20, 2019

10:01 a.m.

LOCATION: 2301 North Cameron Street

Harrisburg, PA 17110

AUDIENCE: Nathaniel Rivera, Esquire, David Pfeffer,

Rob Henninger, Ben Pfeffer, Pret Lytle, Craig

Glover, Derek Shaw, Jim Liggett, Sean McCabe

İ		
1	I N D E X	3
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	by Mr. Strathmeyer	5 – 6
5	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	6 - 16
6	Ropes Course, Inc.	16
7	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	16 - 28
8	Black Widow	28 - 29
9	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	29 - 30
10	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	30 - 37
11	Steel Curtain	37 - 38
12	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	38
13	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	39 - 43
14	Speed Raceway MiniCar GoKart Track 43	
15	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	43 - 69
16	Candymonium	60 - 65
17	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	65
18	COMMENTS	
19	By Nathaniel Rivera, Esquire	81 - 89
20	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	89 - 92
21	BOARD COMMENTS	102 - 104
22	PUBLIC COMMENTS	103 - 105
23	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	105 - 106
24	CERTIFICATE	107
25		

			4
1		EXHIBITS	
2			
3			Page
4	Number	Description	Offered
5		NONE OFFERED	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

### PROCEEDINGS

2 ------

CHAIR: Good morning.

My name is Walt Remmert. I'm the
Bureau of Director for Ride Safety Standards. To my
left is Deputy Fred Strathmeyer. And I would offer
to you Deputy Strathmeyer to begin the -.

MEMBER: What's up with the echo?

CHAIR: I have no idea. I'm trying to

10 | figure it -.

MEMBER: And just to let you know, these mics, the new toys, they do pick up so you don't need to be leaning into them. They're - you can see where I'm standing, see, and it's picking up just fine. So it's just a matter of pushing the button and speaking.

So you guys can even sit back there probably and turn that on and pop right on. So we'll be listening.

CHAIR: Yeah. No chitter chatter.

MR. STRATHMEYER: So again, this - the meeting's good on time. I just want to thank everybody for being here. Obviously, it's the busy time of the year here. That's darn sure. Really appreciate everything that you all do in the

industry and for taking the time today out of your busy schedules to - to be here, and be part of something that's extremely important to the state and to the citizens that come in here, and that live here, and that come here to visit and play and have fun.

2.4

CHAIR: Perfect. Again, I'm Walt

Remmert, and I appreciate the opportunity and

everybody showing up today. I will be brief in my

comments as far as the Director's report.

Since Fred has given it back to me,

I'll ask if all the Board members present have had

the opportunity to review the minutes from the April

16th meeting. I believe they were emailed back and

forth. I did not actually see more than one change.

Is there any discussion about those meeting minutes from the April 16th meeting?

All right.

Is there a motion to approve the minutes as they're distributed?

MR. REBBIE: Moved.

CHAIR: Thank you, sir. Is there a

1 second? 2 MR. PITTMAN: I'll second. 3 CHAIR: Is there any further 4 discussion concerning minutes? 5 Seeing and hearing none, all those in 6 favor, please respond by saying aye to approve the minutes. 9 (WHEREUPON, AYES RESPONDED.) 10 11 CHAIR: Are there any opposed? 12 Thank you. 13 As far as the Director's report, I 14 have one significant item which I'd like to offer. 15 Starting on Monday of the following week, we are 16 adding an amusement ride quality assurance inspector 17 position to the Bureau. This is not to replace 18 anybody. This is a brand new position. So we're 19 very excited that we actually have - can I try it 20 now? 2.1 All right. 22 I got it, thank you. 23 We're better at it than last time, so 24 we're always making progress. 25 MR. FRIEDEN: Hello?

1 CHAIR: Hello. 2 MR. FRIEDEN: Hello. 3 CHAIR: Hello. 4 MR. FRIEDEN: Yeah, this is Albert 5 Frieden. 6 CHAIR: Hello, folks on the phone. Αs - as soon as I get done with the introduction, I 8 will take -. 9 10 (WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A BRIEF INTERRUPTION IN THE 11 RECORD.) 12 13 CHAIR: They can't hear us. 14 MEMBER: I think we might be cut off. 15 CHAIR: Can you hear me on the phone 16 right now? No? I don't know. 17 Hello, everybody on the phone. Can 18 you hear me? 19 MEMBER: Bottom left of the screen, it 20 says your speaker is muted. 21 MS. BEHE: That's me on my computer. 22 First is -. 23 CHAIR: It shouldn't - shouldn't be 24 us? 25 MS. BEHE: Yeah.

```
9
                          Folks on the phone, can you
1
                   CHAIR:
2
              They're still on it.
    hear us?
3
                   Right?
 4
                   MS. BEHE: Yeah, according to this.
5
                   CHAIR: I mean, we're not muted on the
6
    big - are we?
                              No. I took it off.
                                                    Was it
                   MS. BEHE:
8
    -?
9
                   MEMBER: I don't know.
10
                   CHAIR: People on the telephone, can
11
    you hear us right now? Anyone on the phone? Anyone
12
    calling in? Can you hear us?
13
                   MEMBER: Can anybody hear me right
14
    now?
15
                   CHAIR:
                           I - I can hear you. Can
16
    anybody hear me on the phone?
17
                   MEMBER: We're all hearing each other,
18
    but Pennsylvania's muted. I don't know what's going
19
    on.
20
                   CHAIR:
                           They can't hear us. We can
21
    hear them. How about you unmute the computer, and
22
    see if -.
23
24
        (WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A BRIEF PAUSE IN THE
25
        RECORD.)
```

10

1 2 CHAIR: We - we can, but just - it's 3 just - folks on the telephone, can you hear us? Yes. Yes. 4 MEMBER: 5 Just turn your volume all the CHAIR: 6 way down. MEMBER: Every day is evolving. CHAIR: All right. 8 9 So I think we've moderated the issues 10 on our end. I appreciate your indulgence with us while we were working through, again, new 11 12 technology. But we're getting better. 13 Can the folks on the telephone hear me 14 now? 15 MEMBER: Yes, yes. No. Yes. Yes, I 16 can. 17 CHAIR: That's a good start for eight 18 minutes after 10:00. We're only going to get 19 better. 20 I understand that we may have some 21 Board members online with us. They can now hear us. 22 If we have Board members on, would you please 23 identify yourself?

MR. GORMAN: Steve Gorman.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Gorman and

24

25

welcome aboard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Would the remainder of the people, one at a time please, identify yourself and who you're here to represent?

SPEAKER: This is -.

COURT REPORTER: I didn't get that.

 $\underline{\texttt{MR. FRIEDEN:}}$  Albert Frieden, Battech

8 Enterprises.

MR. TERPSTRA: Jordan Terpstra, Ropes Course, Incorporated.

MR. FEELEY: Bob Feeley, Philadelphia
2 Zoo.

SPEAKER: Philadelphia Zoo as well.

14 MR. LIGGETT: Jim Liggett from Ropes

15 Course, Incorporated.

MR. MAGAVERY: Phil Magavery, the engineer.

CHAIR: Thank you, gentlemen. We're as soon as we get to the new ride approvals, we'll take you in the order in which we have on the current agenda. The agenda only has four items on it for today. So with some fingers crossed and some good luck, we'll move through this rather briskly I hope. But we'll - we'll see how that goes.

Is there anybody in the audience in

attendance today that is here to represent one of the items on our agenda?

And you are, sir?

 $\underline{\text{MR. HENNINGER:}} \quad \text{I'm Rob Henninger with}$  Kennywood for the Steel Curtain ride.

CHAIR: Very good. Thank you.

And we'll get to the remainder of this either post-Board or the comments portion at the end of it, so we'll - we'll address almost everybody's issues here. But we're going to stick to the agenda.

All right.

As far as the Director report, now that I'm back and everybody can hear me, a new inspector. That new inspector, after having a conversation with Joe, will be home-headquartered in one of three places, Lehigh County, Dauphin County, or Lebanon County.

The reason for the home- headquarter location choices rather than anywhere was Joe's been out of town. He's a supervisor, and really should not be out doing the inspections. He should be supervising the quality assurance inspections, which he does spend a good bit of his time out in the field.

Randall, also pretty close to Joe, covers mostly the Philadelphia or southeast and central region where there is an awful lot of concentration. And right now, the two positions that we have out west cover mid-state to western boarder north and south very well.

So the - they're located well. Our concentration is here, and we wanted to pick counties where people have easy access to the north, south, east, west parts of the state. So that's why the determination is made for either Dauphin, Lebanon, or Lehigh County.

MEMBER: How many full-time people are there?

CHAIR: Well, right now, Joe is the supervisor also providing - he's the quality assurance inspector senior. So he's a supervisor, and we have three. So it'd be Randall and Joe covering the east collectively. And then John Humberger and John Garden covering the west. So this will actually give us four inspectors and a supervisor.

Still reduction from what we've had at their inception, but it is a start in the right direction. So Joe hasn't argued with me. He's

happy. We are ready and receiving. We hiring this. It will start as soon as the selected candidates are available to start. We have a vehicle, we have equipment. We have training modules. We're ready. So interviews then start on Monday.

We have 51 interested candidates. We asked for the top 50 percent or so come to us based on, you know, objective scoring. I think we still ended up with 29 with all them testing. Out of those 29, we reduced that to nine extremely well qualified candidates. We expect to interview at least six of them, seven of those. And we're very excited for the opportunity.

So it's a new day. It's been a long time. I think the last person that we actually hired in the room wasn't Drew, but was Randall.

Randall was already working for us. We just made - Randall made the move from a seasonal nine-month employee to a full-time employee. And since Randall came on board, we had used our last seasonal one, which was Charlie.

MR. REBBIE: How long ago was that?
CHAIR: Well, six years ago?
MR. ARNDT: Seven years ago. Seven,

25 yeah.

2.1

2.4

MEMBER: He's been a rookie for -.

CHAIR: He's been the low man on the totem pole. He's looking forward to moving up the ranks a little bit. So we're extremely excited about this opportunity. Happy to make it happen. Just beyond words that Agriculture's allowing us to invest back into this program.

2.4

What more can I say other than we have great news coming. We're going to make the candidate selection and interviews. We're going to be done hopefully by Wednesday afternoon. We'll start the HR process, and we're very fortunate in 60 days from there, or sooner, we'll have a new person on board to introduce to you. Looking forward to it.

Are there any questions from Board members before we move into the agenda items? I mean, we could - we always have the chance at the end.

Seeing none, I believe it is appropriate to follow our agenda. So we're going to go to the first submission on our agenda which is Ropes Course, Incorporated, the Sky Trail with Sky Rail.

Please give us a moment to put some of

the information up on the big board behind us, and to allow our Board members to orient themselves to the packet of information that they've been reviewing for the last four to six weeks.

I will give an opportunity. Who on behalf of Rope Courses, Incorporated would like to give us a guick overview of your submission?

MR. TERPSTRA: So our submission is a seven pole, two level ropes course with a 180 degree sky rail ride system built into it.

CHAIR: And you'll - you'll forgive me, but for the sake of our stenographer, would you state your first name and slowly spell your last name for his sake?

MR. TERPSTRA: Jordan,

T-E-R-P-S-T-R-A.

CHAIR: Thank you very much, sir. So

I'll - I'll ask a few primer questions to help us

orient what we're doing.

Does this exist anywhere else other than Pennsylvania, or something similar to this?

MR. TERPSTRA: Yes.

CHAIR: Where - where, and how many,
and how long might it been operating?

MR. TERPSTRA: So we have about - Jim,

17

```
what did you say, 400 Ropes Courses throughout the
1
2
    world?
 3
                   CHAIR:
                           Any others in Pennsylvania?
                   MR. LIGGETT: Yeah, one second.
 4
5
                   CHAIR: I asked you questions you
6
    weren't ready for.
                   MR. LIGGETT:
                                 Yeah.
                   Can you hear me now, Jordan?
8
9
                   MR. TERPSTRA:
                                  Yes.
10
                   MR. LIGGETT: Yeah, we have about 600
11
    Sky Trails, and about 150 Sky Rails. And we've had
    those up for over five years. So they're service
12
13
    proven.
14
                   CHAIR:
                           All right.
15
                   Well, let me ask, for the sake of our
16
    stenographer, since he doesn't have name plates in
17
    front of him, the person who just made a comment,
18
    would you slowly state your first name, and spell
19
    your last for his sake and ours?
20
                   MR. LIGGETT: Yes. I apologize.
21
                   My name is Jim Liggett,
22
    L-I-G-G-E-T-T. And I'm the owner of Ropes Course,
23
    Incorporated.
                   CHAIR: And I - I appreciate where
24
25
    you're coming from. You were on point with your
```

answer. Our definition of service proven is a little different, and that's something that we're going to discuss later. But I appreciate that you have a variety of these devices already - these attractions already in service and have been operating for several years. That gives us some context for review.

 $\underline{\mathsf{MR.\ LIGGETT:}}$  And no injuries by the way.

MR. TERPSTRA: And it looks like we have about 12 to 15 in Pennsylvania.

CHAIR: So now for the Board members, this is not a new company. This is a company we've actually dealt with in the past for other submissions. This is another variety of submission with the Sky Rail attachments that were included in the submission. And I know Mr. Gorman is online with us, and I will recognize that another Board member was able to attend.

And I apologize for not letting folks know there is a detour off of Cambridge Street exit which is, I'm sure, the reason he was delayed. So Mr. Domizio's out here with us. So I apologize for not - I didn't - I didn't give you a chance to open your large binders if you had any other questions

for the Board members concerning this submission.

Seeing none in particular, I'm going to ask Joe. Joe, did you have the opportunity to review the information that was provided?

 $\underline{\text{MR. FILOROMO:}} \quad \text{Yeah.} \quad \text{I - I read it}$  and looked at it.

CHAIR: Okay.

Would you mind providing us just a quick review of what - what was reviewed and witnessed when you went to look at it, either one of you?

MR. ARNDT: Yeah. I went and looked at the attraction. The main part of the attraction — as Jim mentioned we have several of these main attractions in the state at several locations. It's just a part of the element, the rail portion, which is a little different than what I've seen at some others.

So the operations of this, they - they harness it, and there's an assignment, and there's a pocket which is a harness assigned to the top of it.

Once you're connected, you really can't come undone as long as the maintain - maintenance is being done, and daily inspections are being done.

It's one of the better systems I see

out there. And so in working with the zoo, they weren't - weren't taking no shortcuts. They were - they went with a good product here. And I was - I was - the day I was there, they were doing training for the attendants and everything. So I have - I have no issues with it.

2.1

2.4

CHAIR: I also got a chance to take a look at its condition. And it shouldn't surprise the Board members that we entertained the puck system at length. In some previous submissions, as Randall has said, it's the preference. It fits into a track. As long as the puck's installed appropriately, then it's a primarily redundant in that particular system which is one of the newer methods to do this type of attachment to the attraction.

MR. ARNDT: Well yeah, this is they've been out for quite a while. So it's - it's
- what you've seen with it. These - it's a little
different from ours.

CHAIR: All right.

MR. ARNDT: But they have been around for quite a while and it is one of the - one of the safest ones I've seen out there.

CHAIR: All right.

21

```
Now that we have the Board members to
1
2
    kind of acclimatized to the submission, we're
3
    willing to entertain questions at this time of the
4
    Board members since they have them. I see Mr. Hall
5
    has his hand up. Yes, sir?
6
                   MR. HALL: Randall and Walter, you
7
    said you made an onsite inspection of these.
    Randall did? Where -?
9
                   MR. ARNDT: Yes, I had. I went out to
10
    Philadelphia.
11
                   MR. HALL: Philadelphia Zoo?
12
                   MR. ARNDT: Yeah. Well, this is right
    where the balloon used to be. So this is all where
13
    the balloon is.
14
15
                   MR. HALL:
                              Okay.
16
                   When was that?
17
                   MR. ARNDT: I was there two months
18
    ago, but -.
19
                   MR. HALL: Thank you.
20
                   CHAIR: Mr. Pittman?
21
                   MR. PITTMAN: On this - on this
22
    worksheet we have, limited and maximum age
23
    requirement. I believe that's a typo. What - what
    is the answer to that question? It says 48 inches,
24
25
    so - not an age.
```

22

```
CHAIR: Mr. Liggett, time to shine.
1
2
                   MR. LIGGETT: Hello?
3
                   CHAIR:
                           Hello.
                   MR. LIGGETT: Yeah, unaccompanied
 4
5
    height is 48 inches.
6
                   MR. PITTMAN:
                                 Okay.
7
                   What is the minimum and maximum age
8
    requirement?
9
                                  Fifteen (15) in the
                   MR. LIGGETT:
10
    harness.
11
                   MR. PITTMAN:
                                  Okay.
12
                   Then I'll clarify to make sure we
13
                 Forty-eight (48) inches at any age as
    understand.
14
    long as they fit the harnesses. Is that what we're
15
    saying?
16
                   MR. LIGGETT:
                                  Yeah.
                                         That's
17
    unaccompanied.
18
                   MR. PITTMAN:
                                 All right.
19
                   And regardless, it's fit the harness?
20
                   MR. LIGGETT: They have to fit in a
21
    harness to be - no matter who. They have to fit in
22
    the harness safely. If you're under 48 inches, you
23
    have difficulty moving the puck. So that's our
24
    cutoff for unaccompanied person.
25
                   CHAIR: Very good.
                                        Thanks.
```

I always have to scan. Mr. Domizio?

MR. DI DOMIZIO: At the risk of - if this has already been discussed, then cut me off.

But when an engineer hears the word - we're not agreed that - we talked about service proven. Jim and I talked about this.

And - and service proven,
unfortunately, takes on encompassing - so if no
other data exists, then you look at the - you look
at whether it's still standing. So that's what
service proven means because there's nothing else to
compare it to. Take it, for instance, a railroad
bridge.

Right?

Just because it hasn't fallen down doesn't mean it's service proven. It just means it's still standing. If you take - service proven to me says that it's been properly examined, regularly examined for - like in the case of looking for any cracks or looking for any fatigue, service proven says that to me. That if it's been in service for any length of time, that means a lot of cycles. And a lot of cycles on anything means propensity for failures.

So you can see obvious failures from

cracks. You can see things like creak, where steel 1 2 just moves on its own and just begins to sag. 3 you ever seen typical barns in Pennsylvania with just two stone walls? That's because the wood has 4 5 shrunk and fallen inside. So - so it's - it's very 6 - when I read the word service proven, as it - as it 7 was, as it is, has been replaced by good, technical background. If - if I'm making these things. 8 9 Because you don't - you don't know what else to say. You say well, they haven't fallen down. 10 11 MR. LIGGETT: Perfect sense. 12 MR. DI DOMIZIO: So service proven 13 isn't what I'm going to hang my hat on. 14 MR. LIGGETT: No, that - that makes 15 perfect sense. 16 And I'm not belaboring your CHAIR: 17 point, and I appreciate the - the explanation. 18 agree. I - not to belabor the point, but 19 Pennsylvania will always, first and foremost, desire 20 answer from the submitting engineer that assures us 21 compliance with ASTM and Pennsylvania law. 22 To - to lessen that requirement where 23 they feel that they cannot put that in writing, and 24 you want to refer to something as service proven, 25 that is an individual conversation based on

circumstances that Joe, Board members, particularly the expertise of our professional engineers sitting on board would have the additional conversations and decide whether it was warranted or reasonable for us to declare service proven.

Right?

So we're always going to defer to a requirement by the PET to review the submission as it complies with ASTM and Pennsylvania law. That is our standard. If you're asking for us to deviate from that standard, -

MR. LIGGETT: No, no.

CHAIR: No. I wasn't speaking about your particular submission. I was offering to all in attendance a little clarity of service proven as - as a preamble to what will happen under new business later. And maybe I shortened that quite a bit. So we're - we're not worried about yours, and your submission will have all of the required

```
engineering language necessary for us. There's no
1
2
    question on it.
3
                   Are there any other questions specific
4
    to the submission of the Sky Trail with Sky Rail
5
    submission?
6
                   MEMBER:
                            There hasn't been any other
7
    additions or corrections to the maintenance or
    anything or any that's been turned into us?
9
                   CHAIR: Updated?
10
                   MR. FILOROMO: Yeah.
11
                           There's no last minute changes
                   CHAIR:
12
    that I'm aware of in the submission or anything.
                   MEMBER: Thank you.
1.3
14
                   CHAIR: Okay.
15
                   Seeing that there are no further
    questions from Board members, I ask if there's a
16
17
    motion? Specifically, is there a motion to
18
    recommend approval for this?
19
                   MR. REBBIE: I'll make a motion.
2.0
                   CHAIR: Okay.
2.1
                   Is there a second to that?
22
                   MR. BLANEY: Second.
2.3
                   CHAIR:
                            Thank you, sir.
2.4
                   Is there any discussion?
25
                   I have a motion and a second to
```

```
recommend approval of this. All those in favor,
1
2
    please respond by saying aye.
3
 4
    (WHEREUPON, AYES RESPONDED.)
5
 6
                   CHAIR: Are there any opposed?
                   All right.
8
                   Gentlemen from Ropes Course,
9
    Incorporated, on behalf of this, in the next day or
10
    two depending on how quickly Natalie will expedite
11
    this, she might even have it this afternoon. We'll
12
    have your approval and the follow-up information.
13
    We'll get that to you in the mail with the rest of
14
    the items you need to begin operation in earnest.
15
                   Do you have any questions for us?
                   MR. LIGGETT: Well, I would like to
16
17
    thank the Board for your professionalism, and
18
    helping us. And if you ever have any questions,
    please contact us at any time. And we look forward
19
20
    to continuing business in your state as it's a very
    large part of our - our future, and our - and our
21
22
    company's future.
23
                           We appreciate your time and
                   CHAIR:
    effort for working with us to get this through.
24
25
    It's always easier when everybody's working toward
```

1 the same goal.

MR. LIGGETT: Thank you so much, sir.

CHAIR: All right.

Do you want to stick on the phone with us? Because you're welcome to. We're going to move on to the Black Widow, Battech Enterprises.

CHAIR: Do we have a representative available to give us a brief overview from Battech? And as a reminder, please state your first name and slowly spell your last name before you start speaking about the submission.

MR. FRIEDEN: It's Albert

F-R-I-E-D-E-N, managing member of Battech

Enterprises. Bill Kelley was the engineer of this project. I talked to him a minute ago. He has physical therapy that he can't get out of. And so he will not be able to phone conference today.

CHAIR: All right.

Then we can't proceed with this.

I'm just kidding. I'm just kidding.

Would you give us a brief overview of

22 what the submission is?

MR. FRIEDEN: It's pretty much, the Black Widow is - is a newer, updated version of a ride that has a similar action to the Eyerly Spider.

29

```
We've addressed multiple engineering concerns with
1
2
    the previous design. It's got a much smoother
3
    operation. But essentially, it's the same -.
 4
                           Joe? Mr. Filoromo, any
                   CHAIR:
5
    opportunity to review this at any time as concerning
6
    this updated version of the previous version that we
    have approved?
8
                   MR. FILOROMO: I - I looked at the
9
           I went over it in detail at trade shows and
    answered every question I could throw out. I mean,
10
11
    basically it's exactly what he just said.
                                                It's -
    they took the spider and engineered all the problems
12
13
    out of it. So it's good.
14
                   CHAIR: To be clear, Joe's opinion is
15
    that it's good?
16
                                 It's really good.
                   MR. FILOROMO:
17
    I -.
18
                   CHAIR:
                           I appreciate that.
19
                   MR. FILOROMO: There's nothing
20
    unanswered.
                 There's nothing incomplete. It's all
21
    there.
22
                   CHAIR:
                           And obviously, if it's before
23
    the Board today, we don't have it in Pennsylvania
24
    yet. So how new -?
```

MR. FRIEDEN: You have - you have -

25

but - sorry, a temporary approval. And that was - I think that was in June. I believe they only had it for a couple weeks.

CHAIR: All right.

2.4

We're going to give the Board members an opportunity to go through their notes, and ask specific questions if they have any. And then we'll move on from there.

Are there any questions? Seeing none, I - Mr. Domizio.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: This is a pretty good feedback - I can move in closer.

No, it was interesting. I know Joe is very vigilant at what he does. But one thing he said was it compared to an older product with the way this one was being updated.

So my concern is this, how do we how do we tell the difference? So is this, like,
Black Widow 2? And so what - what we're approving,
is - he says an updated version of the older ride,
so -.

MR. FILOROMO: The - the older ride was a ground ride. It was a spider that - the whole drive is different. It wasn't - it's easily recognizable. It may not be to the public, but

anybody in the business would know.

2.3

MR. DI DOMIZIO: All right.

So what I'm concerned with -.

MR. FRIEDEN: The - the biggest thing with this - with this ride was we changed the whole drive system. We made it a smoother operation.

It's ATV. Pretty much so you can control the drive unit, a couple gearboxes. And it makes a much smoother starting and stopping.

And the traditional one had a shaft that was designed - it had, basically, a couple of carbines selected on it. And so it's very distinguishable as being able to see the center drive, whether you understand the traditional ride and this one. You can completely tell the difference.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Yeah. I guess that's what I was speaking to is there is a difference. So how do we tell the difference? For instance, somebody were to come in with the older version and say, well, this has already been approved. How do we know it's the new, updated edition and not just the old one?

So is it identifiable by anything other than the name? Are they both called Black

Widow, or is one called the Spider? You know, this one Black Widow. Is this Black Widow 2? How are we distinguishing it between the one you're currently are - and - and the old one?

2.4

MR. FILOROMO: It - it speaks -.

MR. FRIEDEN: It's called Eyerly Spider. It was an Eyerly Spider is what it was called or an Eyerly Octopus. It was an earlier version of that.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Okay.

MR. FRIEDEN: Ours is the Black Widow, and we're the only one calling it the Black Widow. And again, even the ride itself, even the ground version, we have several of them in parts. But it didn't have a modern serial number, modern data plate, modern drive system. And it's quite visible on the data plate that it is from Battech Enterprises.

CHAIR: So to offer just an overview. So if we register rides to make sure the compliance, so that you've been through this process, you have to provide a manufacturer, a model number, and a model year.

Right?

So that's - that's part of the data we

collect routinely on all of the rides registered in Pennsylvania. It goes into our comprehensive Pennsylvania database. Clunky as it is, but it is effective and it has all the information.

And wherever there's a disparity, which we can't confirm, then we go out and either start with a picture of the ID plate because we're having a problem identifying the specific ride, which does happen. And if necessary, we go out and visit it if it's older.

### Right?

To determine whether it's an item that we have registered or something we don't. So we rely heavily on the manufacturer's ID plate and an awful lot of historic knowledge and expertise that has existed in the program since its inception.

So we haven't come across one that we haven't been able to categorize and determine whether it's - believe or not, we have had one recently that we didn't know they made a new one.

And when we found out, we - we put the fix in.

 $\underline{\text{MR. DI DOMIZIO:}} \quad \text{I know you guys are}$  all - you're on your game all the time.

CHAIR: That's - yeah. We're very specific. We don't take it by the colloquial names

34

that people call them. We rely heavily and only on 1 2 the manufacturer, the model number, and specific 3 serial numbers provided. 4 MR. DI DOMIZIO: Thank you. 5 CHAIR: Yep. 6 All right. 7 Board Members, sorry, we went off a 8 little side to this. But are there questions 9 concerning the Black Widow submission? 10 Looks like we're at that time again. 11 Well, sir. 12 MR. REBBIE: Apparently Mr. Gorman 13 can't get through and or be heard. I mean, he's 14 asking - is there any indicative requirements on the 15 ride? He doesn't see any. 16 The only requirement for MR. FRIEDEN: 17 this ride is the board rod needs to be replaced 18 every ten years, and it needs to be -. 19 MR. REBBIE: It's not in the manual. 20 How do you go about that? 21 CHAIR: We go about that by making

23 MR. REBBIE: Sorry then.

22

sure it's okay.

24 CHAIR: No, no. I like that you 25 asked. Yeah, so the question directed to the

representative of Battech Enterprises, it's our understanding that you have NPT requirements, but they do not appear to be in the literature that was provided as far as the submission. If you can direct us to it, if it does exist in there, then we'll look at it. If it doesn't, then what you just stated needs to be included and updated for us to move forward with the final approval.

So which is it?

1.3

2.2

2.3

2.4

MR. FRIEDEN: It is not in the manual. It's not on the version of the manual that we have, and you're correct. I'm looking at what I have and it does not have the NPT requirements. But I can upload the numbers. I wanted to say though, the manual I sent to you is one that I provided with ours with the ride. It doesn't have that. I'll email it within the hour.

CHAIR: Any other questions?

Seeing none, is there a motion to recommend approval in addition to the updated information being received and approved by the Bureau?

Or any other motion?

MR. REBBIE: I'll make a motion.

CHAIR: The one I stated?

```
1
                   MR. REBBIE:
                               Yeah.
2
                   CHAIR: Very good.
                                       Is there a second
    to that?
3
 4
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: Just as a question
5
    to -?
6
                   CHAIR: Is there a second? And then
7
    we can have discussion.
8
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: Sorry. I'll - I'll -
9
    I'll second the motion.
10
                   CHAIR: Okay.
11
                   Very good. Discussion.
12
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: And the discussion
    I'll make is - is if Mr. Rebbie's going to really
13
    include that discussion of Mr. Gorman. So is that
14
15
    included? If it's not included in that motion -.
16
                   CHAIR: Yes, the motion he has agreed
17
    to is recommend approval, conditioned on our receipt
    and approval of that additional NPT information
18
19
    included into the manuals.
20
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: Okay.
21
                   CHAIR: Is that - that acceptable?
22
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: That's - that's what
23
    I was looking for. So yes. I second. I seconded.
24
                   CHAIR: We have a motion, we have a
25
    second. Any other discussion?
```

All those in favor, please repeat by 1 2 saying aye. 3 4 (WHEREUPON, AYES RESPONDED.) 5 6 CHAIR: Are there any opposed? All right. 8 Again, as I said previously to your 9 predecessor on this, you'll hear from us in one to two days depending on the workload of Natalie and 10 11 how awesome she is. Which means she might even have it out for you today. If you have any further 12 13 questions, let us know. You do not have to stick on 14 the phone with us, but you're more than welcome to. 15 We're going to move to S&S CHAIR: 16 Worldwide's Steel Curtain. So come on up. 17 MR. FRIEDEN: Thank you. 18 Let us take a moment to get CHAIR: 19 switched and allow the Board members to - to change 20 gears to another submission. 21 We have a gentleman before us, and we 22 have somebody on the phone. So who wants to take 23 the lead in describing the submission? MR. HENNINGER: Rob Henninger with 24 25 Kennywood. H-E-N-N-I-N-G-E-R.

The Steel Curtain is our new attraction for the season. It's the record-breaking nine inversion coaster that we're pretty excited about.

CHAIR: How's it been going?

 $\underline{\text{MR. HENNINGER:}} \quad \text{Very well. Couple}$  little hiccups, but we've worked those out. We're very pleased.

CHAIR: Well, I - I appreciate the way you get some news. No harm no foul, right?

Everything's working just fine?

MR. HENNINGER: Correct.

CHAIR: Thanks.

Joe, on behalf of the program, I know we've been out there many, many times. I know that we have Board members aware of this as the process has gone. So this is - you're here today for - just to wrap it.

Right?

 $\underline{\text{MR. HENNINGER:}}$  We received pending approval from the Board, yourself, and Joe. And then we're looking for final approval.

CHAIR: At that moment in time, are there any questions for the Board members? Now's the time to get up and say no.

```
How's the attendance? Has it been -?
1
2
                   MR. HENNINGER: Now that we have it
3
    open, attendance has been great. The weather helps,
 4
    too.
5
                   CHAIR: Yeah, there's no comparison
6
    this year to last year. Yeah.
                   MR. PITTMAN:
                                 It does make people
8
    predict premature death. My 13-year-old grandson
9
    said I thought I was going to die.
10
                   CHAIR: Really?
11
                   MR. PITTMAN: But it's nothing against
12
    him.
13
                   CHAIR: Is that testimonial?
14
                   MR. PITTMAN: Yeah.
15
                   CHAIR: Can you describe him deciding
16
    this was a near death experience?
17
                   MR. PITTMAN: Well, he still got on
18
    it.
19
                   CHAIR: And he'll probably get on it
20
    again.
21
                   MR. PITTMAN: He sure will, yes.
22
                   CHAIR: All right.
23
                   I don't see - there you go.
                                                Sir.
24
                   MR. REBBIE: About a month or so ago
25
    where we had had the Pennsylvania Music Park
```

```
Association meeting at Kennywood Park. And as a
1
2
    ride manufacturer, kind of hate to poke at things
3
    and see things that probably - but couldn't do it
 4
    with this one. They had everything under control.
5
    I think S&S Power did a beautiful ride, number one.
 6
                   And the - from everybody that I know
7
    that rode it, they really came off with a good
8
    attitude with that. And that's the thing
9
    manufacturer wants to see. I want get back in and
10
    ride it again. I mean, personally, I didn't - I -
11
    because this neck and back thing going on, so -.
12
                   But just talking with the maintenance
13
    staff, unofficially - the Board members that we've
14
    talked - they explained that they knew where there
15
    were there were issues with it. And as Rob said,
    it's probably one of the best rides I've seen at
16
17
    this Commonwealth in the long run.
18
                           That's pretty high praise.
                   CHAIR:
19
                   MR. HENNINGER: Appreciate that,
20
    thanks.
21
22
        (WHEREUPON, THERE WAS A BRIEF INTERRUPTION IN THE
23
        RECORD.)
2.4
25
                   CHAIR: Might have been a couple
```

```
phones on at the same time. That's usually what it
is.
```

MR. REBBIE: I've seen a lot on - in the social media. I kind of follow things I work - I read comments. There were some nasty ones about it was pretty - why you got to shut it down for a day, blah, blah, blah. You're going to have that. And the other - other stuff must be - must be - really wasn't that bad. Very - very nice at the time.

11 <u>CHAIR:</u> Excellent. Any other

12 comments?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

17

18

20

21

22

MEMBER: I think there was - 14 appreciate that.

MR. PITTMAN: It does hold some national records now, doesn't it?

 $\underline{\text{MR. HENNINGER:}}$  Yes. Nine inversions and the tallest inversion.

19 <u>CHAIR:</u> All right.

With that being said, I don't see any further questions from the Board members. Is there a motion?

MR. REBBIE: I would think that

24 the -.

25 CHAIR: What's your motion?

```
MR. REBBIE: Motion to approve.
1
2
                   CHAIR:
                           Okay.
3
                   Is there a second?
 4
                   MR. PITTMAN: I'll second it.
5
                   CHAIR: Okay.
6
                   Is there any discussion? All those in
7
    favor, please respond by saying aye.
8
9
    (WHEREUPON, AYES RESPONDED.)
10
11
                   CHAIR: Are there any opposed?
12
                   Thank you. In one or two days, maybe
13
    even this afternoon, you'll get the letter as you go
14
    about your day. Appreciate the time and effort
15
    today.
16
                   Thank you very much.
17
                   MR. HENNINGER:
                                   Thanks.
18
                   CHAIR: Last on our agenda, but not
19
    least, Speed Raceway Mini GoKart Track.
20
                   We have a representative online for
    Speed Raceway and Mini GoKart Track? If so, please
21
22
    state your name and spell your last name, slowly.
23
                   MR. MCCABE: Yes sir. My name is
24
    Sean. My last name is McCabe, M-C-C-A-B-E.
25
                   CHAIR: Would you tell us a little
```

overview of your submission as we move to it here in person, and allow our Board members a moment to flip their notes?

MR. MCCABE: Sure. Of course.

That would be Minicar manufactured by EuroGames. It's designed for ages three, four, five, and six primarily. It is an indoor electric Go-kart for - sorry. It's electric Go-kart which can be used indoors. It goes up to six miles per hour.

Please note on the - on the ride approval checklist, we did send in an updated version, version two. We sent that at about 4:00 p.m. yesterday. So I apologize, but I have to bring up the minimum height and age, weight. Everything.

CHAIR: All right.

I appreciate that.

MR. MCCABE: So as for the - sure. As for the manufacturer, there is no minimum height or minimum weight. There's also no maximum height, but there is a maximum weight of 250 pounds.

The minimum age is three. And we have the maximum age as six.

 $\underline{\text{CHAIR:}}$  Joe, have you had a chance to see this particular indoor track and/or its related

components?

1.3

MR. FILOROMO: It hasn't been delivered yet. Randall talked to them and we were both talking to them. The thing about this is, it's similar to a go-kart, but it is not a go-kart. It's a kiddie ride. You drive around the track.

But you know, there's some lessons from go-karts already in this manual for the Board and all. And it doesn't go very fast. So the video's kind of speeded up actually. So it's not even as fast as you've seen in your video. But other than that, it's - we have everything. We feel comfortable with it.

CHAIR: For everybody present, to kind of give you an idea of how it goes on the Bureau's behalf. So we get a complete submission. We're required to entertain it at the next available meeting. But until it's actually allowed to open and operate, there are some practical hurdles that it must overcome on behalf of the Bureau.

So we obviously would have to be there shortly after the items were delivered, and ensure what was delivered was what was submitted to us. So we'll make that independent verification, that the track that they're going to operate on because we do

take that into account as part of our approval for this type of item, especially indoor ones - that we take a look at the track, and how it's designed and setup to make sure there's not a design issue.

More important for the ones that go faster, but still equally important that we take consideration of how it was designed, the expectations of the driver, and what the safety material and the mechanics of that track are. So those are all things that we take into - into account.

Plus, we want to ensure that the operation's manual, or the operations portion of the manual that they've included, is site specific. So they'll be operating it not off the generic operations manual, but actually tailored to the location at which they intend to operate.

So all of those things, we'll collaterally take into account once the items are at the site, delivered, and they feel that they're ready to open up the ride.

So that's something that we would require to inspect ourselves before we would give any final head nod for them to actually operate.

What we're entertaining today here is

the submission, and your condition or unconditional - recommendation for approval of this so they can move forward. It's similar to what we've done to any other device, ride, or attraction.

With that, are there any questions from the Board members concerning this submission?

I see heads nodding. Does that mean you have a question? Have at it.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Thank you.

As the Chair, we - we've been down this path before, and I'm quite confused by the words, it's a go-kart, but it's not a go-kart. We - we have - in ASTM, we have various classifications of go-karts from session all the way through racing. And they're limited by their maximum speed that they maintain, also by the ridership, the size of the rider. If it - if it's electric, it requires certain requirements for that.

There - there are specific - it's not like the ATSM standards do not exist for this. They do. And I - I've been through it myself on other go-cats. So we might not call it a go-kart, but it's - it's a go-kart.

MR. FILOROMO: It did - went through the standard, the go-kart. And the - it didn't fit

the definitions strictly under that standard of gokarts, so -.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

 $\underline{\text{MR. DI DOMIZIO:}} \quad \text{Which number is that?}$  I was trying to find it in here.

## MR. FILOROMO: Okay.

Do you remember what it was? That the - there was something about the juice or the speed, or - maybe the speed maybe. But anyhow, it's - a lot, you know, kind of applies to it as far as the bumper, the containment system and so on.

You know, there are a few other rides that are kind of like that. Bumper cars is not quite the same, much as a horse. There's animal rides in malls. Basically, individual motorized things, you're on a horse or what's, you know - horse or -?

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Yeah, but they'd be in a track. Sorry to interrupt you.

MR. FILOROMO: No, no. These are not tracks.

21 MR. DI DOMIZIO: So this - this is not 22 a track? It's -

MR. FILOROMO: Right.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: - controlled by the operator. So it's - it's not limited to - sideways

or crashing into another car. We're - I'm not at liberty to add these photos. I didn't receive copies of this. It wasn't on the website. So I didn't look at those.

CHAIR: She pulled it from the website. So perhaps there's - we can certainly work with you on this if that's something that we're able to.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Yeah. There are - we've been here before. And it's - there are specific recommendations that I - I mean, just from what I can see here, I didn't see a roll bar. I didn't see anything that would protect the person, protect the person who's in it.

Having no age restrictions, no weight restriction, no height restriction, those kind of concern me. They are designed very specific for that - for that reason.

That's why they - that's why go-karts are prohibited as categorized. So to say it's a go-kart, but it's not a go-kart is not really a safe signal for me. Unless - unless they can back it up.

We're here to take the information that comes in with the application with - with his application and compare it to the ASTM standards

that are applied to. And we might not be able to close the chapter at first, but I guess there are - there are ASTM standards that cover exactly this kind of ride. I just can't put my finger on it.

 $\underline{\text{MR. MCCABE:}}$  As of 2007, the photos for the MiniCar show a roll bar.

 $\underline{\text{MR. DI DOMIZIO:}}$  The one's we're looking at on the screen here?

CHAIR: Right. So I'll - I'll focus,

I hope. So you have questions relating to the

requirements for the submission. So let me ask the

- an attendant, the owner operator this, are you

going to allow these to operate on a fixed course
indoors?

Or is it going to be in a similar wide-open area that people will get on, will interact, drive them in a closed area with no structure other than the boundaries?

MR. MCCABE: So outside of the fencing barriers around it, we would also have Kenco barriers set up just like we have for the go-kart here. They'll be run in the same barriers, just in a safe containment.

CHAIR: So in essence, a - a category of go-kart with one direction for all drivers to go,

```
the attendants keeping them focused to drive through
1
2
    the course without just being able to go wherever
3
    they want, and do whatever they want?
                   MR. MCCABE: Yes, that's correct.
 4
5
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: So is there any
6
    patterns or restraints - I'm sorry?
                   CHAIR: Well, I don't need to ask a
    question.
8
9
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: I - I don't - I don't
10
    see it. Maybe it's their -.
11
                   CHAIR: Having indoor restraints for
12
    the occupants?
13
                   MR. FILOROMO: The steering wheel is
14
    padded, but there is no other restraint.
15
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: So - so it refers to
16
    - well, whoever's riding it could, conceivably, stop
17
    on the track and get out of the car? This is -.
18
                   MR. FILOROMO: The operator would have
19
    a kill switch. There's a remote control that
20
    governs the speed and stop all karts.
21
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO:
                                    Okay.
22
                   MR. FILOROMO: Any - anything like
23
    that would be there.
24
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: From a technical
25
    standpoint, just for the purpose of the Board, it's
```

- I - I'd be - I'd be looking for compliance with the specific ASTM standards that would apply. So I could just - I could leave it at that because I can't speak to more detail about it based on what I'm seeing here.

2.0

2.1

For the benefit of the Board and for the benefit of the manufacturer it's my recommendation that we would be - we would need to see that. We would need to see the compliance.

CHAIR: I'll put you on the spot, Joe.

MR. FILOROMO: I - I'm trying to read back through where I did take notes, when I did it. We went through the ASTM standard, and there was something in the standard that may have had to do with the speed of the car, I forget, that didn't - made it aware, it did not fit the definition for the go-kart standard. Even though there's applicable things in the go-kart standard, I think the conclusion of that point that it is more of a kiddie ride.

CHAIR: All right.

So at this point, I think we, as the owner of the submission, owe the Board members some clarification. So by no means does that mean we're not moving forward with review of the submission,

and ultimately an issuance of timely approval.

But we have questions that we have to overcome and answer before the - that we're not going to be able to answer today at the board meeting.

So we owe at least the Board members to answer of why we reviewed it in consideration as a kiddie ride versus the applicable go-kart standard. Though, I think somewhere along the lines, they both apply and I think we would probably take the best out of both of those requirements.

So we'll have to look back with the submitter and the manufacturer to get clarification to make sure both of those concerns are met, and offer to the Board for board consideration and review the extremely near future.

MR. MCCABE: Okay.

CHAIR: Mr. Pittman has a question.

MR. PITTMAN: I sometimes dwell over these minimum and maximums. But I note here that the minimum age is three years of age. So that raises two questions. A three-year-old would have to be four foot, seven, according to the height requirement, which it doesn't occur.

Does that then mean that then mean

that the three-year-old can sit in front of the 1 2 driver, and then - and they'll go around the track 3 that way? 4 MR. MCCABE: Okay. 5 Guys, we - we actually sent in an 6 updated version of the ride checklist. They'll -7 there is no minimum height requirement. That was -8 that was an oversight. 9 There's no minimum MR. PITTMAN: 10 height requirement? 11 MR. FILOROMO: That's correct. 12 MR. PITTMAN: Okay. 13 What about this age of three years? 14 MR. MCCABE: Yes, sir. That was the 15 recommendation from the manufacturer, EuroGames. 16 MR. PITTMAN: Okay. 17 Yeah, but three - a three-year-old - I 18 mean, a typical three-year-old kid can't even 19 operate something like this. That's awfully young. 20 CHAIR: While every - everybody's thinking, I'll offer for consideration. I - I have 21 22 - do - do you remember those battery operated jeeps

What do you mean, you

MR. PITTMAN:

2.3

2.4

25

or cars?

have in your driveway?

1 CHAIR: Yeah, yeah. This is a souped 2 up little version of the same premise. 3 Right? That's why Joe offered it as a hybrid 4 5 between go-kart and not. This is an electric, 6 larger, cool looking, racecar and course, but very 7 similar in nature to what we would see those toddler 8 age people operating in someone's backyard. 9 what you're looking at. 10 MR. PITTMAN: So that's where we get it it's not a go-kart? 11 12 CHAIR: The Board - the Board has 13 entertained, and approved, the electric, you know, 14 operated wheeled animal skinned cars that sit, like, 15 when you're holding - the - the child sits on it. 16 And they - they're - they do it around in a corral 17 area. 18 MR. PITTMAN: What's the top speed? 19 CHAIR: He said six - yeah. This -20 this - these are six miles an hour. 2.1 MR. MCCABE: That's correct. 22 Up to six miles per hour.

CHAIR: And so, though, it looks from the pictures in the submission, you might think it

25 was a bigger older brother or sister, it's actually

23

24

more akin to a toddler - like a big toddler or adolescent attraction which is why we -.

MR. MCCABE: Yes, sir.

That's correct.

CHAIR: Okay.

MR. MCCABE: On the EuroGames website, the same exact minicar, there's all different sorts of shells and fittings for it. There's one where - where, like, you have a little ATV or the Flintstone mobile.

This one just looks more like a go-kart, and that's our theme in our building. That's why we wanted to submit this one.

MR. FILOROMO: We have - also, we do have those power wheels in our system. You know, that little jeep you poke around with. We have those in our system.

ASTM standard covers a lot of different things.

Some are subcommittees. But when you have something that's in a subcommittee, that does not limit its scope. It's ASTMF 24.

Now let me bring up something here.

Now, the engineer certified that it meets ASTMF 24 where applicable in Pennsylvania Code, which covers everything. So the whole

semantic of whether it's a go-kart or not is not really important. Because basically, it's covered, as he said, ASTMF 24 where applicable.

2.4

Does that make sense?

CHAIR: And - and as a remainder for the Board, what we did - the brand name power wheels, those similar ones. And we always asked, just like we approved bicycles for seatbelts and roll bars on them, tricycles, we're skating areas that are to the benefit of Pennsylvanians, the people out there that would be interacting with these devices.

But since we don't have any clear, definitive lines, we want to make sure the most appropriate standards are applied, but not so many that it becomes a burden or cumbersome where that was never the intent for its use. So this is something new, similar to what we did the power wheels on, and the mall animals.

And your discussion is absolutely appropriate and on point. And we still owe you some specific answers on what part of the go-kart standard, if any, are applicable.

Right?

So - and - and if it is, that some

```
requirements are associated with it. But if it's not, we want to be able to clearly answer those questions for you so you have a comfort level in your recommendation. So Joe, and Randall, and I will go back to the books. We'll interact with - make sure he has current. And then we'll push the information out to you in just a few short days. So you will wrap your arms around the information.
```

I believe with Joe where it's a kiddie ride rail, no go-kart ride. But I don't have anything in front of me that says this is why or why not. That's - that's the way we reviewed it when we looked at the submission.

Are there any other comments before I ask for a motion? Which will more likely be tabled.

MR. MCCABE: No. Not from Speed

17 Raceway.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

23

18 CHAIR: Okay.

Is there a motion of any sort

20 that's -?

MR. REBBIE: I'd like to motion it.

22 CHAIR: All right.

Sir, your motion is?

MR. REBBIE: We table this until we

25 have Joe's, Randall's and your recommendations.

CHAIR: Very good. Then that will be - the information for you to review, not just our opinions. Is there a second to the motion to table it for the time being?

MR. BLANEY: Seconded.

CHAIR: Okay.

Thank you. Is there any discussion? All right.

All those in favor of tabling this submission for at least a few short days so that we have more information, please indicate by saying aye.

14 (WHEREUPON, AYES RESPONDED.)

CHAIR: Are there any opposed?

What that means, for you on the phone, is that we've kind of delayed this for just a few short days while we gather some more information.

We'll interact with the Board by phone and email, and we'll resolve this well before the next board meeting. But we will probably make an announcement at the next Board meeting if that's satisfactory for you?

MR. MCCABE: Yes. And again, thank

```
you for your time and the Board.
1
2
                   CHAIR:
                           All right.
3
                   And so you're invited to stay on the
4
    phone. You'll be in communication with Joe and/or
5
    Randall, or the pair of them, in the very near
6
    future. And we're going to move on to new business,
7
    starting with -.
8
                   MR. MCCABE: Okay.
9
                   CHAIR: You're invited up to the mic.
    Please state your name, spell your last name for the
10
11
    benefit of all here and our stenographer, and tell
12
    us what you got going on.
13
                               Hi. My name's Pret -.
                   MR. LYTLE:
14
                   CHAIR: Press the button.
15
                   MR. LYTLE:
                               Sorry.
16
                   First name's Pret, P-R-E-T.
                                                 And last
17
    name Lytle, L-Y-T-L-E.
18
                           The new and improved Gary Joe.
                   CHAIR:
19
    And I don't see him here, so I don't even know if -.
20
                   MR. GLOVER: Craig Glover,
21
    G-L-O-V-E-R.
22
                   CHAIR:
                           Well, welcome, gentleman.
23
    Thank you, once again, for being in attendance.
2.4
                               Thanks for having us.
                   MR. LYTLE:
25
                   When Craig and I were driving down we
```

said when's the last one of these meetings where Gary wasn't part of it. Yeah. That's what we thought too. So pretty tough act to follow, but we'll do our best.

 $\underline{\text{CHAIR:}}$  I have a little picture of him in my wallet.

MR. LYTLE: He's actually - was hoping he'd be here, but he's, I believe, in Alaska right now, so he couldn't make it.

CHAIR: Couldn't call in, nothing?

MR. LYTLE: Yeah. You know, time.

CHAIR: Not as dedicated as he used to

13 be.

MR. LYTLE: We're here to present preliminarily our new coaster at Hershey Park for 2020. The name of the coaster is Candymonium.

CHAIR: I get that. That's good.

 $\underline{\text{MR. LYTLE:}}$  Yeah. That's way above our pay grade, the name. So we'll leave it at that.

This is a hyper coaster by Bolliger & Mabillard. This will be - it's the only hyper coaster in Pennsylvania, but there's several other ones in the states. And B&M's a pretty reputable coaster manufacturer. We do have the Great Bears coaster that is in Hershey Park now.

Coaster will be located right in front of our new entrance to Hershey Park which is Chocolate Town. It's going to be the highest coaster in the park, 210 foot lift hill. fastest, 76 miles an hour. And the longest at about nine tenths of a mile. CHAIR: Chocolate Town. MR. LYTLE: Chocolate Town is -. CHAIR: Are you moving the merry-go-round?

MR. LYTLE: Pardon me? Yes, sir.

Merry go - merry-go-round's moving outside of the main park, right outside of the main gate. So building a new structure around the merry-go-round.

CHAIR: Are you pushing the gate up, or - you're making some considerable entrance changes.

MR. LYTLE: Very big, yeah. Video shows some of it. There's some dramatic changes around the park.

The ride is seven camelback hills, bigger hammerhead curve at the one end, and a panoramic curve around the new fountain going in.

Pretty long ride. Almost two and a half minutes in duration. Fifty-four (54) inches and taller will be

the ride minimum on it. And each train can take seven - 28 passengers. So there will be four seats -.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

Comes with a new station, obviously. You got three levels. Lower level's an entry. Queuing area is maintenance are or retail shop. Second level's a ride inspection platform which is really just a catalog area under the ride as opposed to the station. And the top level is where you get on and off the trains.

Here's a layout of it. The station is right in this area here. So they get on there, run all the way out to the hammerhead, get back in, a loop here. The new fountain's in that area. Back into the station.

So a schematic of the - of the train for the ride and the video here that our marketing people put together, if you go down to the bottom of the screen, you should hit a play button.

MEMBER: The black screen here -.

MR. LYTLE: I don't know.

MEMBER: One right there -.

MR. LYTLE: It's serious, though.

Thank you.

Who came up with the

25 MR. PITTMAN:

1 title? 2 MR. LYTLE: Again, we don't -. 3 CHAIR: It was Gary's doing. MR. LYTLE: I build them. Craig 4 5 maintains them. Somebody else names them. 6 the new front gate there. If you look at this video, there's - it looks a lot different in the 7 8 video than what it actually looks like in the site 9 now. I had to get used to that as well. 10 MR. PITTMAN: Busy picture for that. 11 We've got a busy picture for that. 12 MR. LYTLE: It's been a busy - we 13 started work right after New Year's this year. 14 MR. PITTMAN: Reconfiguring. I mean, 15 this is your front entrance. 16 MR. LYTLE: That was - to get that done by April, was a - a real - a real challenge. 17 18 CHAIR: We have the sound off. 19 Kennywood's taking notes back there. We will 20 approve the modifications of the Steel Curtain any 21 time. 22

 $$\underline{\text{MR. PITTMAN:}}$$  What you don't know is the Kennywood people behind you are already drawing up a new ride for 2021.

23

24

25

MR. LYTLE: That's the way it works.

I understand.

2 <u>MEMBER:</u> Just keeping up.

MR. LYTLE: Rollercoaster enthusiasts from Pennsylvania. There's some construction where we're at. This was taken from the new temporary mid-way to drop people into the park. One big challenge of our job was to - park's open all summer.

You got to get all these people in there right around an active construction site. So this - that was quite the logistical challenge. You can see the new station in the background coming up.

13 <u>CHAIR:</u> So this is scheduled to open when?

MR. LYTLE: Summer of 2020. Memorial Day of next year. So it sounds like a long way away, but -.

CHAIR: Well, we're - you're here before us a year out, as you all are. I mean, we appreciate being involved throughout the process as you know it's required.

Right?

 $\underline{\text{MR. LYTLE:}}$  Yep. Here's another shot of the station, construction. You can see the arena in the background to give you a little bit of an

idea of where we're at. That's the one - we have a lot of foundations in. That's the big one for the lift hill of the station in the back. You can see the surveyors found a good spot to put a pop up tent on, so they - they set up there, and do all their - a lot of their surveying from there to check in on the location of other foundations.

1.3

There's foundation construction.

That's heading west away from the station. And then we do have a little bit track up. We had to get the track up so we could build a station around it. So we had track coming into the station up, and transfer track in - into the station is up. And that's about it for now. Lift hill should start going up in the near future.

That's all we got for now.

CHAIR: You're going to save your questions until you guys are going to know if - so literally, they're going to come in and people have two choices, you know, go this way or they're going to -?

MR. LYTLE: So what you'll do now, you'll - we built - had to build a new bridge.

We're closer to crossing Spring Creek ten times.

When you get into the park, you know, it used to be

you came into the east side of Spring Creek. Well, we did - we shifted the gate to the west.

So they went over an old highway bridge that we were gifted by the township. Then we built a new bridge that would take you into the park.

When we're done, the old bridge gets torn down, and that new bridge will - you'll come through the front gate, and head toward the tour of the main park, or they can cross the bridge to get to the new station.

There's seven, eight buildings coming up around it. You know, retail buildings, ticketing buildings, a big restaurant, big retail building.

Restrooms. So it's - a lot going on right now. But we're getting there.

CHAIR: How about more lockers?
MR. LYTLE: More lockers.

That's correct.

CHAIR: I'm assuming in the restaurant in - makes - an entrance was to account for that clearance all the way underneath the - that's taking up all the real estate going -.

MR. LYTLE: Yeah. The - the
restaurant building's huge. It's - a lot - a lot of

space there. And it - we brought the grade up a lot for the park. We always had ADA issues, trying to get people to enter the park, but not in the hole and then you came back up. This is - alleviates that to a large degree.

So it should be good for the whole park, but it's a lot of - a lot of effort to update that area.

CHAIR: Appreciate you coming out today.

Board members, are there any questions? Yeah, absolutely.

mentioned about the coaster enthusiasts coming.

You're right. Next June, they have their international convention, and Hershey Park will be one of those, Funderland and Dorney Park and my company.

MR. REBBIE: Just a comment. You had

Having some intel from the Board of base numbers, they're looking at this is probably one of the largest conventions they've had. Because the eastern seaboard has the most stations per capita out of the 5,000 members. So, heads up, they're coming.

CHAIR: Is that a -?

MR. LYTLE: We're hoping they're coming. We're banking on it.

CHAIR: Yeah, absolutely. I mean, you can't discount the expectations. I mean,

Pennsylvania and its industries, all of them,

continually bumped up their A game. It really sets

the national, and in most cases, the international

stage for quality, reliability, safety.

Right?

So yeah, they're coming for sure. Those parks and all the people -.

MR. LYTLE: Thank you.

CHAIR: Joe, brief comments?

MR. FILOROMO: Well, we had a

discussion on the Board about this service proven.

16 We've had situations where there was illegal

17 | modifications made to equipment, and they show up

18 here and say it's service proven. Nobody can - it's

19 okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

I've had engineers refer to things as service proven as I thought never operated in the past. Definition of this, you know, we have two different things, previously compliant and service proven. In a way, for the past five years - and we've had long discussion about that.

I just want to get it into the record, the discussion we had. I didn't want to comment.

Bob had a lot of very good points on it. And Steve gave more comments on reinforcement argument or issues that -.

CHAIR: Well, it references all back to my previous comments here. Service proven is - is not something that we accept, we're accepting - we have a standard, we're setting standards. ASTM is what we abide by, and Pennsylvania law.

And that is not international, not European codes. Our law specifically calls out the ASTM standards and our specific law. That is the benchmark that we try to meet or exceed in all cases unless there's a very unique circumstance, which is very small, and it's rare, small and rare, that service proven or similar exceptions are - all right.

So that, I think, speaks for all that whenever we see something coming in as service proven, we have a dialogue with the person submitting it's saying why won't it be Pennsylvania law and ASTM standard? Why are we - why are we calling it service proven? And I'm not - I mean, we have seen it many times in the past. It's just

communication issues.

Right?

It's not that the ride is not capable. That's not the case. It takes a little more effort, time and it takes some money for an engineer to have to go back through all the design elements and test that it needs - that it meets the requirements. Whereas, showing it maintains operations, it's - it's less of a burden on both the owner and the engineer.

Right?

that. That's - that's allowable, that it's okay.

But in many cases, it's not. So I hope that

clarifies, at least, my position of that program in

consultation with Joe, the resident professional

engineer.

And we appreciate that. And I respect

So is there discussion or concern, questions? Anything to help clarify on why that was brought up?

 $\frac{\text{MR. PITTMAN:}}{\text{Mell, the verbiage,}} \quad \text{Well, the verbiage,}$  service proven, is that still permissible? Is that what I'm hearing?

<u>CHAIR:</u> Under very, very specific circumstances.

MR. PITTMAN: It wouldn't be easier
just to eliminate from -?

2.3

CHAIR: ASTM - it is actually part of that standard. So there is a service - there is a service proven provision in the ASTM.

So we either buy it all, or buy none of it. So we buy it. We just say, even under the ASTM, there are very specific parameters on what's service proven.

MR. PITTMAN: Bob's analogy, I just - that really hit home for me. It's service proven, that railroad truss hole. But for 70 years, every time a train approached it, it made it across to the other side safely.

CHAIR: But that doesn't discount - that doesn't discount the inspection requirement that it must pass ongoing rigorous inspections.

Right?

So they're - it's not in a bubble by itself that you can just offer service proven with no documentation. Just - it's not really -. That's not the way it's written in ASTM, that's not the way it's meant.

It comes - it comes with other caveats. But it does - it does alleviate the

requirement for the detailed review as to all of their current, modern, up to date ASTM standards that may be applicable in another instance.

MR. FILOROMO: It doesn't give you an exemption. It's not a free pass. But it's noteworthy in some cases. That's about what I feel.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: To me, it's - Mr.

Chairman, to me it seems like it's - it's just kind of a way of closing the loop. Especially when it's an older - older ride, or it's one that's going to be modified. You say that some - this is what they want. It's not specifically covered in the ASTM.

So in order to not ignore it completely, their - their next step is to say service proven. Now that just means, which has brought on a whole lot more work for yourself. Because now we go back to general engineering principles.

So I say okay, if I'm not told that it takes a - a new standard, five Gs of force, I'm going to go back and look at it, and say well, that's going to be strong enough to not deflect more than a quarter of an inch or to exceed a factor of safety of five or some other generally accepted engineering practice.

So ASTM has done that just for that reason. So they don't ignore it. But it's actually made it a lot more difficult to try to prove it. It comes in here we say hey, service proven. You got to prove it to me.

MR. PITTMAN: It's a red flag.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Exactly, yeah. And I did see it in more than one place. And - and unfortunately, it's because a lot of the stuff that's done, it's so very recent.

Maybe in the last 12, 15 years that the ASTM standards have gone from being three pages long to now being hundreds of pages broken down into so many specific areas - talking about concession karts, before there wasn't anything. Now there's - now they're broken down into different areas.

And I'd be very careful about using words like service - service proven. That's - you're asking for trouble, yeah. I don't like the fact that it's in there, but it is. But how we deal with it, it's not told. It sounds like, how do we deal with it? We're pretty much open to interpret it the way we want to interrupt it.

So I appreciate you did agree with my comments and didn't ignore my comment. I appreciate

1 that.

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: Any other comments from the Board members concerning this particular subject?

Thank you, Joe.

Board member comments?

MR. DI DOMIZIO: So I - so I - is this - I meant to show this before. We have a document here dated July 1, 2019 to Mr. Remmert from Tim Horn regarding this Toddler Town Climber. And it mentions no ASTM, mentions no Pennsylvania Code, chapter nine. It just says it's a service proven design.

So - and it is sealed by Mr. Horn. So this, to me, was not - would this be acceptable? So here it sits. So is it - is it - is it rejected on that basis? That he simply -?

CHAIR: Since - since it's not before you today - it's a conversation to correct the submission.

It's one of the

things that I pulled out, this was quite interesting. I was hoping you - you would say that. But that's -.

MR. DI DOMIZIO:

CHAIR: I said it -

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Is that -?

```
1
                   CHAIR: - without prompting.
2
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: Thank you very much.
3
                   CHAIR: A proven -.
                   MR. HORN: The ASTM standards that
 4
5
    apply prior to those devices by Prime Play are
6
    included in all these packets.
                   CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Horn.
8
                   MR. HORN: Apparently, that happens in
9
    review.
10
                           Thank you for clarification on
                   CHAIR:
11
    this.
12
                   Moving on to Board member comments?
    Who wants to start us off?
13
                               Sir.
                   MR. REBBIE: Back in July, the Board
14
15
    received an email from Bob regarding the run in
16
    Czechoslovakia, I believe it is. D-O-J - I replied
    to it a week later after I - I got back from
17
18
    vacation.
19
                   And - but I only replied to the Board
20
    members that Bob sent it to. And then, a couple
21
    days later, I received an email directly to me. I
22
    don't know if anybody else on the Board would want a
23
    copy, but it was from a Benjamin Pfeffer. From what
    I understand, he's the owner of the ride.
24
```

P-F-E-F-E-R.

CHAIR:

25

MR. REBBIE: Yeah.

CHAIR: He's present here today if you

3 -

MR. REBBIE: He's here?

CHAIR: Yes. So if you have questions, or comments, or concerns, you can certainly talk into the microphone that you are -.

MR. REBBIE: I'll just say that I received an email from him stating comments from Robert Di Domizio are not ethical and are unprofessional. He has no idea of the details and the review prior the certification. Euro and DIN standards of focus are much more stringent in the USA ASTM standards. His conclusions are based on limited information.

I'm just curious - and I'm sorry.

This is apparently a copy, and it may have been forwarded to me. Because it said best regards, Tim Horn, P.E.

CHAIR: He's also on the phone.

MR. REBBIE: Okay.

Well, I'm just curious as to why I got an email amongst just the Board members ended up in their hands. And then I'm getting a disparity email about one of our Board members.

What's the point of that?

CHAIR: Let's comment one to the other. Joe, would you care to answer the question on how and where?

out.

MR. FILOROMO: I don't recall. I really don't know what you meant. I know there was so many disparaging emails, texts -.

CHAIR: How about - let me back up.
MR. FILOROMO: I can't sort this one

CHAIR: I believe that Joe passed along the original email with the items that he expressed to the Board to either Mr. Horn, and I don't want to - Heiper? Heifer?

MEMBER: Pfeffer.

CHAIR: Pfeffer. Thank you.

As a means of communication to assist us receiving the information that he believed we needed to direct the submission that, still today, diligently working on.

I - I will offer on behalf of Mr.

Pfeffer that he, if nothing, is passionate. And he absolutely has a variety of items that we all have to deal with day in and day out that I believe that they were not directed towards the Board in a

disparaging manner for what was written. And I'm sure the moment - I'll let him speak for himself.

But having had some communication back and forth, I would offer he - he has raised before us. He is an owner operator here in Pennsylvania. He has a good reputation, and a very good reputation.

And we appreciate him in Pennsylvania and going through the work process along with the rest of your family. And we know that this is the only experience on both parts on what - what we require to do our jobs diligently.

And I understand you wanted to operate this as part of the headliners for some of your shows. I get it. I understand. However, we can't circumvent the process that we have in place that everybody, big parks, and little operators alike, abide by.

And we'll do our best to move through this process as quickly as we can to get to results that we're all satisfied with, which ultimately will be an approval of the ride in question.

So I will offer that when that became out back and forth, there was a lot of emotion attached to it. Some of it was on Ben's part. Some

of it was on our part. Whether it was me or by proxy, Joe. But ultimately, we - we regained that level of professionalism and moved past it. So I would offer, on both parts, there was a sincere apology offered to us concerning the back and forth on social media and, you know, the email chain.

2.4

I believe Joe honestly shared the email because of the content of the items we were looking for, or to expedite the process to get the information returned. And there are some other items that I'll leave for the family to whether they want to decide.

I - I appreciate the circumstance
we're in, and even today, show them that they - that
we're here to answer questions should they arise.
That they would offer, they want to get through this
process, and give us whatever we need to move this
process along.

Did I get close to it right? I don't want to - you - you're welcome to come up and comment if you want. You are welcomed to if you'd like. You can comment on his behalf, that's fine.

attorney rivera: Yeah. I'll comment
on behalf -.

CHAIR: Just remember to spell your

1 name so that - so we can recognize it on the record.
2 Okay.

Well, hang on a second. We'll let him finish.

Go ahead.

MR. REBBIE: And in my response to the Board - I apologize for being late. I was at another hearing. And I was catching up with the emails. After I looked over Mr. Gorman's, Mr. Nesland's, and Mr. Di Domizio's responses, my response was I feel that - that was required to operate this ride in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

So I agreed with - with Gorman,

Di Domizio and Nesland. And I said, if we were to

take vote, I would say no, I'm going to allow it. I

was just curious what he thought was necessary to

respond back to me.

And was it your way of trying to persuade me, your way of spouting off steam?

Because as a manufacturer, yeah, I get frustrated with things, customers, employees.

But I don't go unprofessional like that by sending other Board members remarks like that. I just don't like it. I don't think that's

right. I can't speak for the rest of the Board, but that's how I feel. If you want to see the email, I'll be happy to give you a copy.

ATTORNEY RIVERA: I've thoroughly reviewed everything. I can always - my name -.

CHAIR: Please.

2.1

 $\frac{\text{ATTORNEY RIVERA:}}{\text{record, Nathaniel Rivera, N-A-T-H-A-N-I-E-L,}} \quad \text{My name for the} \\ \text{record, Nathaniel Rivera, N-A-T-H-A-N-I-E-L,} \\ \text{R-I-V-E-R-A.}$ 

So I can't attest to my client's intention with regard to that email. But my understanding is this sort of - and correct me if I'm wrong, is just to make sure everyone had that email. Those words were specifically words of Tim Horn, your professional engineer.

While again, I do recognize that this is a heated situation - and I mean, no disrespect to anything that you guys sit down here, or to anyone here today. It is tense. It is tough. And I understand that there's sometimes some hostility at issue.

The fact of the matter is, we're looking at a ride approval process. And that's sort of why I'm here today, to step in, alleviate some communication issues. And again, the fact of the

matter is we're not approving people. We're not approving things. We're simply approving a ride. It's a manufactured piece of equipment. That's what's under the scope here.

1.3

2.4

That being said, again, my client has specifically - did not personally author that email. Those weren't Ben's comments that something was unethical. And well, again, Ben is very qualified. He's very well known in the industry.

But I - he is not an engineer. He wouldn't be able to comment on the ethical nature, if there really is, unethical nature to engineering. It's science.

MR. REBBIE: I have a question about that. Did anybody else from the Board receive this email?

ATTORNEY RIVERA: The email, again, be that as it may, I don't think it was made with any intent or malice. You know, I can tell by the look on your face that you happen to disagree. I understand that.

And again, what we're here for is essentially an approval of a ride. That - that is what we're here for.

That being said, I do have some

concerns. Not necessarily with the job of the Board. I think the Board's doing a fine job.

Obviously, at the end of the day, this is about the safety of people who reside in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Nobody's trying to compromise on the safety for anyone here.

For you, the manufacturer, I can assure you that they are not purposely evade anything. My concern is, simply, with the regulations as written.

The basis of denial as I understand it in most situations is due to the fact that standards aren't conformed to, you know, ASTM, ASTM 24, various iterations of whatever code or standard that Pennsylvania legislatures chose to adopt.

My concern is with regard to the regulations as written. And I think going through this process again, attempting to get all the information that the Board would like to have in front of them, my job is not to make this more difficult for anybody.

My job's solely to make it easier.

I'm going to communicate with everyone as needed. I

want to get you all the information that you could

possibly want or need, even more if you happen to

require it. I'm happy to do that for everyone.

And I think one concern here, and I believe it would be good for the Board to consider at this time is considering the adoption of additional regulation. And this is coming from a person - wait a minute, I represent a client. Why would I want additional regulations? It seems kind of counterintuitive from that type of perspective.

But for the smaller shows in the traveling industries, as formerly an employee of a smaller show, I think a big concern is that there is, unfortunately, a relative lack of guidance, so to speak, as to what's required.

Yes, there are obviously things that need to meet the engineering standards. And then you have an engineer sign off, saying that yes, this product does, in fact, meet those standards.

As part of the regulations, there's supposed to be - within 30 days - 30 days of submission of the application, either a formal denial stating the reasons for the denial, a formal approval, or a request for additional information.

I would just simply ask that potentially the court - the court? My apologies. Used to litigating.

I would think that if the Board, be that as it may, interview some - or interview, I'm sorry - reconvene at some time in the future and consider possible additional regulations brought on by members of the industry, to say hey, you know, the next time a ride comes - this is not necessarily me addressing this particular wheel.

2.4

But for future reference, a sort of list, a guidelines, so that way, each of these individuals, and owners, and operators, and even manufacturers, can go down and say hey, they want an electric cinematic. Hey, they want information pertaining to the power supply. They want information pertaining to the riders.

Because yes, generally speaking, you know, all that stuff is covered within those engineering standards. But not all of us are engineers.

I - I'm an attorney, and I'm, you know, fortunate that I have somewhat of a mechanical background right now. Obviously, I don't have the professionalism of Mr. Di Domizio to say, well, this is something that would be required under this standard.

And I think if there's some way that

the Board could work this, together and with other members of the industry possibly, come up some sort of list. Now obviously, standard things. Like owner's manuals obviously is something that - standard that should always be included.

But my client received a series of comments back in request for information. Some of it was requesting for information. Some of it was just kind of general commentary. And then others were things that, you know, should have been, like, mentions of updates in the manual or things along those lines.

And I think if we can kind of all collectively work together, and come up with some sort of system, or some sort of list essentially, the general outline of everything that's required, top to bottom, it would not only make the Board's job easier here as the documents would be readily available and in front of everybody. And it could be updated regulation wise to be included in the application packet.

Again, this is something the Board has power to do. While there is kind of a statutory scrutiny as to the exact power the Board can manifest over the approval process, specifically

because it wasn't given to the Board in the

Legislature, but it would - does have the power to

revise and consent. And it does have the power to

recommend regulations.

And I think this would be a significant step in the right direction for the industry. So not only would the manufacturers and the operators of these rides know exactly what they have to provide, right up front - and I understand to some extent, there's going to be variance.

A Ferris wheel is very different from a merry-go-round. It's very different from those kiddie rides/go-kart things that were previously brought up before.

But I think if there just can be a general outline that, essentially, will not only assist the operators, assist the owners, assist the engineers, but also assist the advisory board. It can be as simple as a checklist. Just an easy way to determine.

So I know, for instance, my client had submitted their application packet back in April.

It was in full conformance with the requirements of the law. It was not a previously registered ride.

So it had the certification under the

seal of the engineer that it met all these standards. And then the power supply became an issue. And granted, the power supply in and of itself, especially the transformer that was related to the ride, is not part of the ride. It's all - it's not manufactured with the ride. It did not come with the ride. It had to be purchased separately.

But nonetheless, I think using this as an almost an exercise - going back to the comments maybe that have been lodged to other different manufacturers and owners saying hey, you know, when this person had fair - merry-go-round come in, these were issues that were brought up. And this was additional information we asked to have.

Because I think, unfortunately, as the regulations are written right now, there is no outline as to why it denied this issue. Again, there are inspection requirements, it's required to be certified to meet certain standards.

But the regulations are silent as to why exactly an application, not necessarily the ability to operate the same - obviously, if it fails an inspection or it's not safe, it shouldn't be operating.

But then, it begs the question, if something fails an inspection after it's approved, do we immediately pull the registration? How do we move forward from that?

And I think the big issue here for me today is there needs to be more guidance as to the manufacturers and the owner, operators so they know how to comply. And I think in addition, there just needs to be a little more adherence as far as the regulations of the approval process. I know that the - yes.

CHAIR: I appreciate that we gave an opportunity to answer his - his question. That is, as a Board member comment, I suspect if you stay seated, you'll probably have an opportunity to continue. But let's try to get through the rest of the Board process.

ATTORNEY RIVERA: I understand that. CHAIR: Right.

Just to be clear for the record, we're all aware of the pending application that Mr.

Rivera's representing. And - and it's not on the agenda for today.

And I would not have invited comments except I wanted - because we have people present to

- to be firsthand to be able to answer the Board's questions. And should there be additional questions, I will offer them to feel free to continue to have that one-to-one conversation.

However, we need to stay with the agenda and to move forward with our Board member comments. And then if the --- we're done with this, then we'll go ahead and entertain any additional questions if I have time for you, absolutely today.

But I will offer, you were on point with your comments. They are recommended by, ultimately - and this is - a working relationship with the Board is fantastic selectively and individually.

The onus is on the Department. The Department, the Secretary, who defers that sometimes to a representative, in this case me, to make those changes, to sign off, to vote in his stead. So we own this.

20 Right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

They recommend. So your impassioned plea is to us, just to be clear.

All right.

We're on Board members -.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Yeah, it really helps

- if I could just make a comment?

1.3

2.3

CHAIR: Absolutely.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: It - it helps when - and I think Mr. Rivera has a valid point. And - and we are - we are open that we might send a -

CHAIR: Checklist.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: - question.

And I might answer a question, but I'm under the assumption that Joe works for you. And you, in turn, disseminate that response. It's not - it's not meant to be secret. So we have nothing to hide.

I think Mr. Rebbie is correct, that he felt like a response was directed at him. So it needs to be understood that any - anything, coming - either coming from the Board or from the public, should come directly to - to you, to the Chairman of the Board, for nothing more than just the respect afforded this Board.

He - he shouldn't get - I mean, I - I got pretty thick skin. But I understand him. I don't - I don't like it. But I will also engage in academic discussion as - as asked.

And I take issue that we - we don't - we don't make up our rules. We're - we're not the

ones that have to prove that the ride is - is in compliance with the Pennsylvania Code. The - the reason we're here is to see the evidence come to us and make recommendations for you.

2.4

So for us to have to go out and prove the case, it's - doesn't really work that way.

Unless there's a discussion like there is now between - if there's an exception.

Nothing that anybody - at least it should, at least in my camp, I'm not going to stick my neck out for anything that's not written down and that's not in the codes. It'll be either from - from my professional opinion as recognized by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Just as I write this, as I recognize the legal argument coming from - from an attorney. So it's the same way.

But I still see - I still feel that Mr. Rebbie was singled out.

CHAIR: Mr. Hall?

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Unless - please try to avoid that confusion.

MR. HALL: For his comments?

CHAIR: Absolutely.

MR. HALL: Never having been a fan of the telephoned in applications, I might compliment

Mr. Henninger from Kennywood and Idlewild, and Craig and Chris from Hershey Park. They, along with Dorney Park and Knoebels, I believe, come in here well prepared. They gave us previews of what to expect months down the road.

And I can't understand sometimes with the investment involved why more of these applicants don't make an effort to get here for our quarterly meetings. It's so much easier to deal with these people when they're sitting there in front of us. They bring in presentations, and they're open to our questions.

It's - it's easier for me, and I'm sure fellow Board members. And we do get personal representatives from the applicants. I don't know about my Board members, but I certainly appreciate that. And that comes from somebody who's been on this advisory board since 1992.

That said, I'm going to read something that I came across. It's quick, but it opens up some field of jurisdiction for us possibly.

Venturing into the theme park and attractions field,

Feld Entertainment will debut its Monster Jam Thrill

Alley from September 14 to November 3 at Dorney Park and Wildwater Kingdom in Allentown, PA.

This is what has me concerned.

Oversized and unique trucks from - the arenas staging Monster Jam will be featured with park attendees urged to, quote, get up close, sit in, and even ride in some of your favorite Monster Jam trucks, end of quote.

Does that come under our jurisdiction?

1.3

2.4

Where a new attraction is being urged that riders get in, and experience an on motion action?

MEMBER: I - yep. I would - I was -.

CHAIR: I would answer.

MR. HALL: Would you say that -?

MEMBER: No, no.

MR. BLANEY: I probably got more experience with monster trucking rails than this room. But there's ride trucks from all over the country, the operator fair, operator wherever. I would see no reason they can't operate there.

MR. HALL: I'm not saying they can't operate. I'm asking the fact that they're invited to ride. Patrons of the park are being invited to ride in the vehicles. Does that fall within our jurisdiction as an amusement park ride or attraction?

MR. BLANEY: If - if it does, then

we've got a lot of fairs we're going to be going to,
because they're using ride trucks -.

CHAIR: The - the simple answer, as of today, is no. That is outside scope, similar to, I don't know, hate to bring it up, hayrides that run - that entertain today.

So there is an applicable oversight for vehicles. There - there's rules, regulations that an oversight ride is not. So similar to elevators, sky rides that are used outside of the amusement park or trans that are used to transport people back and forth, they may fall under different places.

It is our opinion through our legal office today that we could, because our law allows us to wrap our arms a lot of things based on the generality of our definition, but it is not a - a definitive, absolutely, you must oversee this.

So at this point, the way we're handling it, Dorney did ask us first for our opinion before they ever made that announcement. So they were very forthright and up front months and months ago about this. And we said, at this time, we are not considering those as rides that would require our oversight.

MR. HALL: Second point, I - I - back in the summer, early summer, late spring, I saw a television ad. I believe it was for AT&T. And it was about safely securing somebody on an amusement ride. And the patrons, it was a woman and her son, asked what happens if the safety bar comes loose and there's an accident. And the ride operator said we leave town.

And I thought wow. All the effort we go through here to create ride safety, and a national advertiser's telling you that they're basically fleeing the scene.

And I thought maybe that requires some sort of follow-up by our department to the AT&T, or whoever it was. I think it was AT&T. If not the company itself, at least he advertising arm because we stress safety. And here they were telling you how to beat the charge. Just a thought.

I ran into something this summer.

They called it a banana boat, but if I could name it, I'd call it an aqua rocket. It was yellow and shaped like a long banana. It was towed by water skis or a motorboat and seated five. They held on, they all had to wear life jackets.

I heard just scuttlebutt talk that an

adult had to be with the riders. And they were towing them around on a lake in Michigan.

And I don't know whether if any of our amusement park and facilities have encountered this, but I'm sure it would be a good money making attraction, but one that requires good supervision. Have anybody - has anyone in this group encountered the Banana Boat? I - I believe it will be coming. It was extremely popular where I saw it. And the kids couldn't get enough of it. Made in China. It was made in China. It was inflatable.

Talking about inflatables, I ran into a celebration on the 4th of July in Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia. And they said the recreation department in the City of Philadelphia had banned all inflatables on their property. Are you aware of anything like that, Mr. Makrias?

MR. MAKRIAS: I am aware that the local jurisdiction has tried to make statements on the misuse of inflatables. I often deal with requirements - so it's not something I've consistently heard of, but it's something that I've had to deal with before.

 $\underline{\mathtt{MR.\ HALL:}}$  Well, I got thinking, maybe there have been incidents on City of Philadelphia

properties that have made them come up with this, either through suggestion. But I don't know if we have any record of that.

2.3

2.4

MR. MAKRIAS: I can't speak to the records for the department, but - but most of the times, cities or parks make their statements because they fear the liability that's associated with inflatable, generally speaking.

Accidents aside, it's just a safety precaution that they take preventatively. That's part of the -.

MR. HALL: Thank you.

Another point, a friend of mine, an 88-year-old mother walked into a celebration in Ambler a few months ago. It was a charity event and celebration combined.

And she was struck by a zip line rider, knocked down, suffered lung damage, various fractures, and it was on private property. How do we ever - how do we ever have things like this happen without us being notified?

CHAIR: It should've been reported.

MR. HALL: It should've been reported.

CHAIR: Of course.

MR. HALL: Well, it's news to you,

isn't it?

<u>CHAIR:</u> It is.

MR. HALL: And - and when this fellow told me about this 88-year-old mother being felled, which she made a remarkable recovery, I thought it sounded like outlaw operation where you just stick up a zip line and the public's ingressing and egressing from the spot. And bang, you have an accident like that, but -.

ATTORNEY FILOROMO: Find out the date and where?

MR. HALL: Dates and where? I think that would be easy for me to do, but I'm not looking to create any trouble, but I'm sure there's going to be some lawsuits on this, you know.

 $\underline{\text{CHAIR:}}$  We would rather be aware of it and looking into it than -.

 $\underline{\text{MR. HALL:}}$  Do you want me to try to get further information?

CHAIR: Absolutely.

 $\underline{\text{MR. FILOROMO:}} \quad \text{That might be an}$  entirely illegal operations as far as I know.

MR. HALL: Well, that's what it sounded like to me. But they took the woman to the hospital, they had an ambulance and everything else.

100

And she was hospitalized for a long while. 1 But 88 2 years of age. 3 CHAIR: The question is whether it was 4 We haven't heard or seen anything like reported. 5 it, I can only assume -. 6 MR. HALL: Well, I have a number of 7 Board comments, and that's it for today. 8 Thank you. 9 Thank you, Mr. Hall. CHAIR: 10 Mr. Blaney? 11 MR. BLANEY: Comment on the - the ads. 12 Two - two state organizations, 13 Pennsylvania Showmen's Association and the Pennsylvania State Association of County Fairs, both 14

Pennsylvania State Association of County Fairs, both raised hell with people about that. That ad disappeared pretty quick.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR: Occasionally, we see what people think is a good idea go flat until they start getting blowback from it. So whoever approved that to be on the air probably is not employed.

 $$\underline{\mbox{MR. BLANEY:}}$$  Yeah. They probably don't have a job there.

CHAIR: That's my guess.

I agree they are inappropriate. I understand the context in which it was offered on

101

```
the commercial, still wholly inappropriate.
1
2
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: Quick question for
3
    you, Mr. Chairman.
                   We talked before about Internet access
 4
5
    in the building. I noticed that we still - do we
6
    have it in here now? Because we have -.
                   CHAIR: We have all of the hardware.
8
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO?: We have three
9
    inactive networks right now in here.
10
                   CHAIR: So they have all of the
11
    hardware installed.
12
                   Okay?
13
                   And we have yet to receive the keys to
14
    the kingdom on how to access it.
15
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO: Well, good.
16
    COPA-Campus -.
17
                   CHAIR:
                           That - that - that made
18
    progress to the point where if they can get the
19
    security of it locked down to meet with the
20
    Commonwealth requirements, they would release the
2.1
    actual code.
22
                   MR. DI DOMIZIO:
                                     Okay.
23
                   Was - and if what I - what I brought
24
    with me today wasn't - people might be interested in
```

25

it, is a mini Wi-Fi.

CHAIR: Sure.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Have you ever seen these? These have become very popular because you can take them to hotels, and - and it's a secure connection rather than just going into your hotel room and hooking up to - hooking up to the hotel Wi-Fi. So it's \$20 a month. But it's become very popular.

So right now, you can - if you look at yours, you'll see that my Wi-Fi is mini Wi-Fi. It - I wanted to just - so it looks like you're already there.

So I'm happy that you're - we're moving in the right direction. I rely on putting all that stuff on the cloud when I come here.

CHAIR: We're work - we're working towards the capacity, especially for the folks who rely on it.

MR. DI DOMIZIO: Well, good. Good.

Glad to hear that.

21 <u>CHAIR:</u> Any other Board member 22 comments?

Okay.

And as always to make it easier, I'm going to offer the time for public comments.

Mr. Rivera, that means that if you have some last, small comments to make to the Board, or - I'm absolutely here and available after your recess.

ATTORNEY RIVERA: I'll just make a brief comment real quick. From here on out, and my apologies to the Board, all of my communication will be through Mr. Remmert.

Likewise, all communication for me will be through you at this point in time. I advised my clients of the same thing. There's to be no further, you know, either perceived or real slights against anyone.

This is very much just - and as Mr.

Remmert said, a very professional situation. We're

just here to get a ride approved. I'm here to do

right by my client, and I understand everyone here's

to do right by the people of the Commonwealth. And

that's, essentially, the process we are here for

today.

And that's all I have.

CHAIR: I appreciate that, and we will continue to work through to a resolution on this. I guarantee it. We haven't failed in one yet.

Any other public comments?

Seeing no Gary Joe, I'm going to assume that we'll have - we have - we are in receipt of the PACE fall seminar, as we are the safety seminar information. So that is going through its process. So training continues.

Sir.

MR. SHAW: Derek Shaw, S-H-A-W.

And piggybacking on Gary Joe, he says hello and wishes everyone the best. He's on an Alaskan cruise with his wife, or he probably would've been here.

The other thing I wanted to talk about was a personal motion on the Steel Curtain rollercoaster at Kennywood. I attended the media day and I got to say I do not like inversions. I'm not afraid of inversions, the restraint system is just horrible. They do a number to my neck.

But S&S Worldwide has figured out how to perfect the inversion. It works, it's well done, it's well paced. And the proof is in the pudding as I expected to ride this ride one time and I'm done, don't ever have to ride it again.

I was in that station over 15 times that day and enjoyed every single ride. So S&S Worldwide knows how to make inversions and make them

105 1 work well. 2 And there is a T-bar restraint system. 3 And it's - it's very well done. No 4 over-the-shoulder, dreaded restraints. 5 CHAIR: See, I knew we had time for 6 you. MR. SHAW: Good. 8 CHAIR: It was just a matter of when 9 and where. 10 MR. SHAW: Sure. 11 CHAIR: Appreciate you coming, as 12 always. Any other comments? 13 14 Seeing that we've expired our agenda, 15 and I don't know that there's any additional 16 comments, I need one last motion of the day. 17 want to make -? 18 MR. REBBIE: Sure. I make motion to 19 adjourn. 20 CHAIR: Is there a second? 21 MR. PITTMAN: Yes, second. 22 CHAIR: Any discussion? All those in 23 favor, please respond by saying aye. 24 25 (WHEREUPON, AYES RESPONDED.)

		106
1		
2	CHAIR: Thank you all for your time	
3	and indulgence.	
4	* * * * * *	
5	HEARING CONCLUDED AT 12:05 P.M.	
6	* * * * * *	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		,

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was reported by me on 08/20/19 and that I, Derek Richmond, read this transcript, and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Dated the 31<sup>st</sup> day of August, 2019

Derek Richmond,

Court Reporter