

AMUSEMENT RIDE SAFETY ADVISORY BOARD MEETING

10:00 a.m., September 8, 2022 Room 309

PA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

2301 North Cameron Street Harrisburg, PA 17110

ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

Russell Redding, Chairman PA Department of Agriculture Secreta	
Bradley Nesland	B&N Consulting
Jim Houghton	PA State Showmen's Association
John D. Blaney, Jr	PA State Assoc of County Fairs
John D. Makrias	Special Advisor
John C. Pittman	Public Member
Kenneth Potter, Jr	Special Advisor
Robert A. Di Domizio, Jr. P.E.	RAD Engineering Corporation
Stephen Gorman	Waldameer Park
Stephen Swika, III PA State Showmen's Association	
Thomas Rebbie Philadelphia Toboggan Coaster Inc	
William B. Hall, III	Public Member

Bureau Director, Walter Remmert

AGENDA

- A. CALL TO ORDER Bureau Director Walter Remmert, Chairman (on behalf of Secretary Redding)
 - a. Sunshine Law Announcement
- B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:
 - a. Approval of the minutes March 10, 2022
- C. CHAIRMAN'S REMARKS:
- D. DIRECTOR'S REPORT:
 - a. Update on Hayride Attractions
 - b. Update of personnel
 - c. Advisory Board meeting dates
- E. OLD BUSINESS:
 - a. None

F. NEW BUSINESS:

a. Amusement rides/attractions pending review by the Board:

12" Open Rody Slide	Avalanche Waterslides	
42" Open Body Slide		
	Joseph Cragin, P.E.	
Camelback Zipline	ZipFlyer LLC	
	Troy Garland, P.E., S.E.	
EGK Kart & Duo Kart	OTL Karts	
	Richard Wand, P.E.	
Kangaroo	Premier/ Major Mod	
	Kennywood – Herbert Mudrow, P.E.	
	,	
Steel Curtain Roller Coaster	S&S Worldwide/ Major Mod	
	Kennywood – Todd Snyder, P.E.	
Thunderbolt Roller Coaster	National Amusements/ Major Mod	
	Kennywood – Bill Kelley, P.E.	
Tourist Train "Lakeland Express"	Roba's Tree Farm, Inc.	
I I	Tim Horn, P.E.	
VX4 VR Cinema Platform	Beijing Leke VR Technology Co. Ltd.	
	Robert A. Di Domizio Jr., P.E.	
	Roote 11. Di Donnello VI., 1 .D.	

- b. Waldemeer- New water attraction
- G. BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS:
- H. PUBLIC COMMENTS:
- I. ADJOURNMENT:

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

* * * * * * * * *

AMUSEMENT RIDE SAFETY ADVISORY BOARD MEETING

* * * * * * * * *

BEFORE: Walter Remmert, Acting Chair

Bradley Nesland, Member

Stephen Gorman, Member

Thomas Rebbie, Member

William B. Hall, III, Member

Stephen Swika, III, Member

Kenneth Potter, Jr., Member

Jim Houghton, Member

Robert DiDomizio, Jr., Member (Via TEAMS)

HEARING: Thursday, September 8, 2022

10:08 a.m.

LOCATION: 2301 North Cameron Street

Room 309

Harrisburg, PA 17110

Reporter: Derek Richmond

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization

by the certifying agency

ı		_
1	I N D E X	2
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Chair 4 - 5	
5	ROLL CALL 5 - 7	
6	APPROVAL OF MINUTES 7 - 8	
7	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 8 - 96	
8	CERTIFICATE 97	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		1

				3
1		EXHIBITS		
2				
3			Page	
4	Number	Description	Offered	
5		NONE OFFERED		
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

PROCEEDINGS

2 ------

CHAIR: Good morning, everybody. I appreciate your patience and a little bit of your indulgence. It seems we never get these meetings quite exactly right but we get a lot of work done in a short amount of time. For those of you on the phone thank you all for your patience. We were double booked this morning. We got into the room a moment or two later than expected. And as per usual when we have these meetings something unexpected popped up that we're trying to address. We're going to charge on through.

As a matter of notice, and I'm going to take that as housekeeping, make sure you're on silent for your phones. If you do need to take a call please step out of the room. Because we have a stenographer here, those of you when you introduce yourselves please state your names clearly and spell your last name when you're speaking. So if you're asked to come to the microphone or you have a question, please start those conversations with your full name and spell your last name at least the first time that you speak.

We'd also be helpful to the

stenographer if you would let them know if you're from the audience who you're representing. Those of you on the phone we'll ask again if you could state also who you're representing and it would be helpful when we go through the meeting minutes afterward.

Before Board members speak please make sure your microphone's on. That's the only way that the people know that are on the phone will be able to hear you. And then please turn it off when you're done. They'd just interfere with each other if you have microphones on at the same time.

So I am Walt Remmert. Today is the day for the Amusement Ride Safety Advisory Board posted for the 8th of September at 10:00 a.m. in 309. I would look to my staff and say is this properly Sunshined?

MS. BROWN: Yes, it was.

CHAIR: Yes, it was. And we are here at the appointed place at the appointed time. As I look around the room six members in attendance here. And I will ask for members and members only that are on the phone please identify yourself so we can take roll call. So members of the Advisory Board participating on the phone or through TEAMS please identify yourself?

MR. DIDOMIZIO: I'm Bob DiDomizio, RAD Engineering, professional engineer.

CHAIR: Are there any other Board members in attendance on the phone? I know that I spoke to Mr. Pittman, he was unable to attend so he has already predesignated his absence. And to inform the Board, we have just received information Mr. Blaney will be stepping down from his position so we're working with the association to get other names to present the Governor's office for consideration.

Having taken roll call I will ask those other individuals who are joining us on the phone identify themselves, spell their last names and who they're representing. It's going to be kind of jumbled so please have patience with each other as the first one to answer jumps off the phone.

MR. ROBA: I can go first. This is

Jeff Roba, last name R-O-B-A, B as in boy, and I'll
be representing Roba's Tree Farm, Inc.

MR. HENNINGER: Good morning, Board members. Rob Henninger with Kennywood, H-E-N-N-I-N-G-E-R.

MR. MILLER: Gary Miller with Kennywood also, M-I-L-E-R.

1 MR. FRANTZ: Clinton Frantz with 2 Camelback Resort, F-R-A-N-T-Z.

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SWAN: Todd Swan, S-W-A-N, with Suwannee River Railroad Company, representing Roba's Farms as well.

MR. WAND: Richard Wand with OTL and Kart One, W-A-N-D.

8 MR. HORN: Tim Horn, H-O-R-N, 9 professional engineer.

MR. ADAMS: Leonard Adams, A-D-A-M-S,
PA Department of Agriculture.

CHAIR: Thank you all for being in attendance. Moving on to the second part of our agenda item which is approval of the Minutes from March 10th, 2022. I want to notify the Board that we received anything you sent us in writing for the correction. Is there any one Board member who has information that was not provided to us that needs correction in the March 10, 2022 Minutes?

Okay. Is there a motion to accept the Minutes of March 10th, 2022 with revisions by Board members?

 $\underline{\text{MR. GORMAN:}}$ I will make a motion to 24 approve the Minutes.

25 <u>CHAIR:</u> Thank you, Mr. Gorman.

Second?

MR. REBBIE: Second.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Rebbie. All those in favor please answer by saying aye?

ALL RESPOND AYE

<u>CHAIR:</u> Are there any opposed? Thank you.

I will be fulfilling both the director's report and obviously I'm Acting Chair on behalf of Secretary Redding. I have more than a few things that I'm going to expedite. So I'm going to offer a real quick brief overview of the items I have listed before we get to review of the rides, you know, the work that we do so well. And I'll entertain additional questions after we get through the approvals, if that's satisfactory to Board members?

So update for me, Hayride Attractions. That means we need to recognize a gentleman who doesn't really need any introductions in the back of the room, Mr. Randy Bates, who spends I can't imagine how many hours, days or weeks on the subcommittee of F-24 that helped and eventually signed into standards, the standards for hayride attractions in November of '19 that we tried to

ignore for a couple years but current events kind of got in the way. And for that we have been very, very grateful of his help, assistance, understanding, expertise to help us roll out what we believe is a common sense approach to adding a new amusement attraction to our inventory which is hayride attractions.

So what we have done is April 1st we reached out a grassroots effort through the Department of Agriculture to the industry as a whole and said, guess what, we're finally getting around to, although we did not word it that way, putting hayride attractions into our inventory of rides that we're overseeing. Which means if you have hayride attractions that meets the definition of the standard you're now considered an amusement ride attraction.

And working with Randy, many of the people on that committee are subject matter experts here in-house and our own haunted hayride attraction folks in Pennsylvania, on top of Mr. Bates' own expertise. We came up with some ideas on how to offer it out to a group that had been operating for a very long time unregulated by us.

So it's spectacular, that's my words,

not Joe's, in that people would be almost always receptive and willing to have conversation about - oversite and what your expectations are for that oversite.

So in a nutshell it looks like this. The Secretary of Agriculture has approved a variance that says hayride attractions do not need the professional engineer's letter as part of their submission packet for application. Saying that since farm equipment is not designed or expected to be used as an amusement ride attraction. There's no value in seeking that professional engineer's review of it since it doesn't meet any specifications.

I think the committee really took that to heart when they designed the standard, and that it was common sense and they understood that we're using agricultural equipment part of the time in an amusement function. So what they created was a nononsense list of expectations to make that activity as safe as possible with the understanding that you are not just taking the vehicle, the tow vehicle or the trailer into consideration, but also the operation as a whole including where you are traveling, right, and weather conditions and everything else that goes into consideration when

you're approving these attractions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we distilled the ASTM standard, took out all of this good stuff, rebranded it because it is an ASTM standard so you can't just copy and past the whole thing readily. We did ask for approval or forgiveness to actually take some of their checklist that they offered as additional information and said there's no reason to recreate the wheel and offered a checklist, a registration form and formatted owner/operation manual. assisting the hayride owner - the authority to fill in the details of their own operation in a format There was a lot of confusion and that we provide. we definitely could have done a much better job as Joe reminded me right after I released it to business people and smart and common sense - don't big words, just what they need to do, and give to them, make it as easy as possible.

So over a lot of conversations we decided that the manual can also be a checklist so we we're going to have that consideration - of development. Compliance isn't until 2023 which means we're not enforcing compliance until 2023.

2022 is designated as going out and educating all the various people - these operations and assisting

them in getting them registered and licensed for certification and inspection, amusement rider attraction of the inspection requirement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm happy to tell you that I've gone to no less than three large organizations in agriculture, had some great conversations and for the most part, except for maybe one percent, there has been nothing but positive feedback in our attempts and actions. They don't always agree with it but they're always willing to have conversation And where it is absolutely an amusement ride it on. is a no-brainer - the ASTM standard - meets or exceeds expectations of these operators. So we'll let you know how many we have by next meeting and how the efforts are going. We just thought we'd catch you folks up on where we are with hayride attractions. Did that lead to any questions from the Board members right now or move on to the next topic.

Quick personnel update, Joe is not retiring, right, you're not retiring?

MR. FILOROMO: No.

CHAIR: In the back row I'd like to introduce, though he probably doesn't need introduction to some of you, Mr. Timothy Anthony

Catalano. He joined us a mere few months ago and already feels like we had him forever. He has more years than Joe in the industry.

So we hired - a month and a half ago, two months maybe?

MR. CATALANO: Two months.

CHAIR: I think we - a hot second and threw him to the wolves out there. So we now have three quality assurance safety inspectors in the field, Joe is the supervisor. And I'm happy to tell you that we have one more that we're in the process of hiring. So this gentleman should he work out - I'm not putting the cart before the horse but we're very hopeful is also from the industry and has a background in amusement rides. And we're very excited to get through the process and get this person onboard.

As soon as we do a final offer and he accepts, I'll let folks know who and what but that should happen in the next four or five weeks. So we're very excited. That brings our complement up to four. We haven't had four quality assurance safety inspectors in the field for more than a little while, five, six, seven years. So we're very, very, very excited to be moving forward.

I have advisory board dates I'm going to put on the side, talk about at the end.

I'd like to move into New Business as there is no Old Business - Board members, is there any Old Business that needs to be addressed today?

Okay, I'll jump right into it.

I would like to move if I may
Waldemeer from B to A so I would like Waldemeer to
go first, if that's okay. Okay. The floor is
yours.

MR. GORMAN: This is just a preview for our amusement park. We're planning to install a pro-slide water coaster/waterslide for next year and there is not one in Pennsylvania - has this technology. Hershey has one similar to it. If I'm right Hershey uses magnetic forces to push boats uphill, ours will use water propulsion and they call it rocket blasts. And it has basically just has jets, a series of jets, water jets on the side of the plume to shield - so you can extend up the waterslide so this waterslide will be about 850 feet long.

And that compares to our longest gravity waterslide, is about 350 feet long so it really extends the length of the ride. And it's a

four-person boat, inflated boat, which you sit four in a line single file. And so the capacity would be good. And we're still in the design phase, trying to get the design to fit. So I'm just previewing it now so that when I have all the information, then I will present that to the Board for review.

CHAIR: Is that public knowledge?

MR. GORMAN: Yes, it is, we announced it last week. They have one right now in Six Flags, Texas, and Water Country USA Virginia is aware of this.

CHAIR: Is there another water slide similar to this?

MR. GORMAN: I think Great Lodge has water propulsion. I'd have to look it up. It might be WhiteWater West or something.

CHAIR: Thanks, Stephen. Housekeeping for stenographer and Board members, we are adding a C onto this, not because they deserve to be last, just last minute. So we're going to preview haunted attractions submission from Hershey and they will be here today to discuss that after we go through the new approvals that we provided information for. Then I have one more administrative note for the Board members. We are going to be discussing the

nebulous at this meeting. That's not on the agenda, that was something that you provided tentative approval for. We said we were going to address at this meeting as a follow-up based on our review once it was constructed and passed all its testing and we have a report for that. And that was my fault for leaving it off, not Joe's fault -.

2.4

I am looking at the list of items to be considered. And is there anybody on the call for the 42-inch Open Body Slide, Avalanche Waterslides?

MR. HORN: Walt, is that the Hampden Township waterslide?

CHAIR: It is. I'm pretty sure you were it but I wanted to make sure. This one - we have Joseph Cragin listed as the P.E., are you part of that?

 $\underline{\text{MR. HORN:}}$ I think Mr. Cragin didn't do the ASTM certification. He just supervised this part, sir.

CHAIR: Okay. Can we open up the 42-inch waterslide? All right. Yes. This is the Hampden Township one. Do you have any information you'd like the Board members to know? If not, we can take it from there.

MR. HORN: No, I don't think so. I

think I put everything together that I was required.

I was told that the previous engineer would not

certify the ASTM standards, only to the structural

ability of the slide. And that's why they asked me

to do the ASTM standards.

CHAIR: Have we seen this slide in
operation -?

MR. FILOROMO: Yes.

CHAIR: Leonard, were you the one who saw the slide?

MR. ADAMS: No, I was not.

MR. FILOROMO: Somebody - I know I did virtually with them because I commented on the way the water was -.

CHAIR: Board members, suffice it to say this was one of the rides that had received tentative approval from three Board meetings. Any questions or concerns about this submission or the information provided?

MR. NESLAND: Yeah, the picture that's on the screen, if you could move that up a little, that's good. So if we show - I show this as page six in our document. It's a picture of the slide. It shows the pool. It shows the piping for the pumps. My only real question for this submission is

I can't tell from the pictures but is the intake for the pumps directly from the pool and if so, does that meet the Virginia Graeme Baker intake requirements so that there's no danger of entrapment?

MR. FILOROMO: I asked them that. I did a virtual with them and I did ask that same question. Because I had the idea 30 years ago actually. And it has the grading that meets our standard but it's not -.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: Mr. Chairman, can I ask a question?

CHAIR: Mr. DiDomizio, yes, as long as
Brad doesn't have any additional questions?

MR. NESLAND: I'm done.

CHAIR: By all means, Mr. DiDomizio.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: Thank you. Several questions, I guess. The engineer letter that was included with the submission, from what I'm hearing, that is for the structure that's supporting the ride. What are we actually looking to approve, the structure or the entire - whole thing as one ride?

CHAIR: Understood. So now, Joe would ask that you move to page five of the PDF and it's both the structure and the ride. So the whole

thing.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: So just to confirm that, so what I'm looking at here on page five, some of the - Mr. Cragin - it says steel - so he had to certify that - code conformance. Is that correct?

CHAIR: Any other questions, concerns,

7 comments?

MR. DIDOMIZIO: I didn't hear an answer. I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR: I would simply say that the consensus here is that we believe that's what it says in the information. That's our interpretation that that's what it says.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: Okay. I thought I heard that Mr. Cragin was not certifying the ASTM. Did that happen between the previous - I have no problem with the fact that Mr. Cragin signed off, I just want to make sure that that's covered.

<u>CHAIR:</u> Yeah. We're going by the information in the packet, not Mr. Horn's comment from earlier.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: Very good. Thank you.

CHAIR: Any other questions, comments

from the Board? And this has received tentative approval, anyone in the audience who may not be

aware, we do housekeeping in between sessions. So we find that there's no technical issue that causes us to - safety issue. We do take latitude in providing - to allow the business to operate since there may be some time between actual completion versus the opportunity - before the Board - it's more housekeeping to keep things moving and allowing operators to invest time and effort into the industry and needing to get back some of their investments while we do our housekeeping process which is - Board getting information.

So is there any reason that this should not be approved? So I have to ask the opinion of the Board, in cases where we had tentative approval already, is there a desire to make your position known as board members or do I just take your silence or affirmation as enough for final ruling?

MR. DIDOMIZIO: This is Bob DiDomizio. Since this is not looking at - it's looking like it's an application for the entire ride. I am not seeing anything in there that would back up, you know, structurally, the ride itself, not the steel structure but the - I'm not seeing any electric, plumbing structural assuming this is a nonmetallic

tube of some sort. I'm not seeing anything like that in the application and I understand it's only a 24-page application.

CHAIR: How about we offer this, to answer your questions more completely, and to continue on with the agenda, we'll table this particular decision until I can go research the submission that you're discussing and we'll move on to the next item. Hopefully while we're discussing other items on the agenda I can bring this item back up again for consideration. We're going to move forward.

MR. GORMAN: One comment is I don't think that your requirements for submissions require submission of electronic calculations or anything like that. I don't believe that I have done that for submission for any new attractions -. We have that letter that says engineering did all that work and am I right that that's what the rules are?

CHAIR: You are. I just want to go in and double check the submission and entertain Mr.

DiDomizio's question to make sure I understand -.

You bring up an excellent point. So Mr. DiDomizio, as housekeeping again on what Pennsylvania Law requires, only at our request are

the underlying requirements, mechanics, electronics, plumbing, engineering documents need to be submitted to us for review if we have questions or concerns that it doesn't meet standards. The professional engineer letter to us saying that they have reviewed those documents and they approve that it meets - or conforms to ASTM standards and our law is enough.

 $\underline{\text{MR. DIDOMIZIO:}} \quad \text{Understood, Mr.}$ Chairman. I'm just noticing that when we were given this 24-page long, -.

<u>CHAIR:</u> Yeah, sure. I was just clarifying what Mr. Gorman said, that's all.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: Very good.

CHAIR: I would - more research into this to make sure there's more to the submission provided. I would like to move on to Camelback Zipline. Mr. Frantz, if you could give us a brief overview of the submission?

MR. FRANTZ: Yes, absolutely. Thank you for your time. So this is a submission for a roughly 4,000-foot long zipline from our summit to our base area at the resort. It is four rider lines wide. It is uses a stop on the braking system and any current braking trolley. It is very similar to the previous zipline that was in the same location,

built by the same manufacturer. And has been expanded to four lines from two lines now replacing the entire structure.

CHAIR: This is - I'm going to prompt you to talk really good about this. When you replaced the previous ziplines and upgraded it to the four lanes, you also upgraded some of the safety equipment. Would you take a moment and explain for the Board what you upgraded to?

MR. FRANTZ: Yes, absolutely. So with the system we added computer-aided backups to the system that connect the braking system at the bottom with the releases and the rider releases - at the top to aid in preventing two riders on one line at the same time - the brake system in the proper location to receive the rider. It also has an anemometer installed to lock out the ride when wind conditions are not correct or over wind speed.

Also there's various other sensors both when the rider is received, when the brakes are reset, the correct position - rider gate closure as well as - so connection of the trolley to the rider release system prior to the rider being open or the rider releases being opened to the other rider to embark on the zipline.

CHAIR: And with all of that came the redundant safety of the person - so we've seen in the past as completely acceptable in extreme sports where the safety redundant is on the same line. But the newer versions have a trolley that follows the zip so you don't have both safeties on the primary line of support. And we've seen a trend that newer installations are now adopting, the safety line is no longer tethered or attached to the primary line which obviously provides a more secure secondary system.

MR. POTTER: Is it okay to ask a question?

CHAIR: By all means, go ahead.

MR. POTTER: This is Ken Potter. The computer system that does this overriding supervisory function, if something isn't - if that computer system isn't operational, is the ride still in business or is that considered an essential part of the ride being up or down?

MR. FRANTZ: That is considered the central part of the ride being up or down. If the system loses communication for any reason or loses connection to any of its sensors, it automatically locks the ride until that condition is repaired and

the communication to all sensors is in place.

<u>CHAIR:</u> Any other questions? Go ahead, Randall.

MR. ARNDT: I saw when they were putting this up and during the installation phase and I took some pictures and - it seems like - interesting to see it's not just a half inch cable line, they put up a pretty decent cable. And this is the other picture of it too. This wasn't in the submission, at least the ones I had and I noticed that they weren't in so I wanted to share with the Board so you can see what - you can actually see the cable they're using instead of just looking in the manual. It's wire rope, not cable.

CHAIR: Mr. Nesland?

MR. NESLAND: This is Brad Nesland.

On, what is it, page 12, page 12 of our document it shows you have 52 appendices. Did the department get copies of the appendices? Because I would presume that's part of the manual.

CHAIR: That will take us a moment to look.

 of up to 50 miles per hour and then it says max speed of 60 miles per hour. So I don't know if that's a discrepancy or if it's just that one is what is actually going to be used at and the other is what it can do.

 $\underline{\text{CHAIR:}}$ Mr. Frantz, anytime you want to jump in with an answer.

MR. FRANTZ: Yes, absolutely. The max weight rider based off of GPS testing we did at 275 pounds reaches 49 miles an hour so that would be, the operating speed is roughly 50 miles an hour at max. And then the maximum speed allowed for the ride is 60.

MR. NESLAND: All right. On page 44 I believe it's a carryover from 457.1, track/cable inspections, you're calling for a third-party certified inspector. What certification are you looking for for that, sir?

MR. FRANTZ: That would - for the NPC testing - I'm sorry, the manufacturer is supposed to be coming on any second here as well. But for the MRT testing on the cable yearly as well as the diameter checks and everything on the cable, to check for any broken strands or abnormalities.

MR. NESLAND: So you're looking for a

nondestructive service - nondestructive testing
certification?

2.

MR. FRANTZ: That is correct.

 $$\underline{\text{MR. NESLAND:}}$$ Those are all the questions I had.

CHAIR: Mr. Nesland, I have your answer. We do have the appendices. They were not joined to the file that was provided to the Board members. However, I'll gladly pass you the appendices. Is there anything listed in the appendices that you desire an answer for now at this meeting or would you just like to peruse the appendices? Let's just say that we received a volume of documents and sometimes when I put stuff together I don't always do the best job. But we try.

Any other questions from the Board members? Yes, Mr. Gorman.

MR. GORMAN: I'm impressed with all the technology that they've added to the zipline attraction and I'm not familiar with the braking system that you say - current braking. So does that require electricity?

MR. FRANTZ: It does not. They're magnets.

Oh, okay, that makes 1 MR GORMAN: 2 I was going to ask you for a battery backup 3 of your control system so yeah, and then when you 4 use electricity you're still going to brake 5 properly. Do you use permanent magnets? 6 MR. FRANTZ: That's correct. 7 MR. GORMAN: Excellent. Thank you. 8 Steve, I only - let me ask a CHAIR: 9 similar question, it was any electricity for the 10 sensors to notify you that the ride is complete 11 versus - any electricity. 12 MR. FRANTZ: That's correct. The 13 sensors do require electricity and the loss of 14 electricity, that would create the state that I 15 spoke of before where all communication is not in place so the rider gauge would be in a permanently 16 17 locked position until that is corrected. 18 Are there any other questions CHAIR: 19 from Board members? 20 Is there an interest to recommend approval? 21 22 MR. NESLAND: I'll make a motion to 23 approve. 24 CHAIR: Is there a second? Thank you, 25 Mr. Rebbie. And is there any discussion? All those

1 in favor?

2.

ALL RESPOND AYE

CHAIR: Any oppose?

MR FRANTZ: Thank you, gentlemen.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Frantz. You'll be receiving a final notification letter from us, approval nontentative within the next few days.

 $\underline{\text{MR. FRANTZ:}}$ If you need anything between now and then let us know.

 $\underline{\mathtt{MR. FRANTZ:}}$ Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIR: Absolutely. We are going to move on to EGK Kart and I combined actually two separate submissions to the one line item. The difference is the two seat versus the one seat.

Obviously there is design difference in the carts but the technology and everything else, kart manufacturer, is the same. So that was housekeeping to try to keep things - they are two separate submissions requiring two separate considerations.

Again, the difference between the two is the design to accommodate one passenger versus two. The rest of the design of the karts are identical.

So Joe is reminding me that the reason they're coming before the Board today is that it's

solely - the manufacturer made a change on how it operates, meaning the charging units. We have already approved the design of both single and two-seater but because it's a different model it comes before the Board.

So also for housekeeping purposes and for people who may look at this, part of the submission for go-karts is not solely the go-kart itself any more. It is also consideration of the track including the bends, barriers and surface requirements that the manufacturer stipulates the maximum speed allowed for it. Obviously design that we've heard over the years, entrapment, and - bigger pieces - operation. So everything can be - if it's not operated properly. So we take all of these into consideration when we review items like this. It's not just simply the design of the go-kart.

With all of that being said, does the Board have any questions? I'm sure Mr. Wand is ready to answer them all.

MR. NESLAND: So even though we changed the charging system we are allowed to ask questions about the submission, is that correct, other than charging?

CHAIR: Have at it.

MR. NESLAND: All right. On page 40 which is the maintenance check sheet, it's listed in there there's static inspection, dynamic check, kart cleaning. My question would be, especially the static inspection and the dynamic check. Is there any description what that is for the people that are doing it? I did not find that.

2.4

MR. WAND: I will say that in general it's a visual inspection but I will reach out to the manufacturer and confirm that.

MR. NESLAND: I'd just like to see it a little more specific as to what they're doing - from my standpoint. The other question that I have is I don't see where the - the test of a shutdown system for the track which I believe would be an important piece of the test, at least if not daily, weekly, on some periodic schedule.

MR. WAND: Can you explain what you mean by shutdown of the track?

MR. NESLAND: Yeah. From what I'm seeing in your submission it says that you have a system that can shut the cars down while they're running. I presume it's something like a cart commander?

MR. WAND: Sorry, yes. There's a kill

system on the cars that has to be remotely killed, 1 2 yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

MR. NESLAND: Right. I don't see that being tested anywhere. I don't see that as any test requirement. And again, I would think that would be something that you'd test daily or weekly.

MR. WAND: That's more of an operational phase than it is a design phase. I will certainly communicate that to the manufacturer.

MR. NESLAND: Understood. That's the extent of my questions.

Thank you, Mr. Nesland. CHAIR: And to clarify, Mr. Wand, we agree that as he asks and prefaces his questions, if he could ask more of the design because as I introduce this, we take everything into consideration when it's operations.

Any other questions or comments from Board members? Is there a motion based on the information requested by Mr. Nesland that we get a satisfactory result back from that -?

I make a motion for MR. REBBIE: approval.

> CHAIR: Thank you. Is there a second? MR. GORMAN: Second.

CHAIR: All those in favor?

25

ALL RESPOND AYE

CHAIR: I heard about one and a half ayes. Any opposed? Thank you, gentlemen. Thank you, Mr. Wand. We'll have some conversation with the submitter to update for making a couple of changes or additions to the operations manual and that will resolve that and get the -.

MR. WAND: I can get that coordinated for you. Mr. Remmert, can I verify that that's the only thing that you had me on the agenda for today?

CHAIR: As I look down our list everything else is accounted for. So yes, that is all we have you on the agenda for today. Thank you. Last minute notification is always a pleasure.

MR. WAND: Thanks for your time.

CHAIR: Thank you. Kennywood. Are you guys ready? Do you need to take a break? Do you want to go in the order the agenda has, the Kangaroo, Steel Curtain, Thunderbolt or do you want to take it out of sequence?

 $\underline{\text{MR. HENNINGER:}}$ No, however if that works for you it's fine with us.

CHAIR: Well, let's take it as we have it. Let's start with modifications to the Kangaroo, then the Steel Curtain and then the Thunderbolt.

Board members, if you don't mind, we'll allow

Kennywood to just continue. This is an ongoing

notification to us. Some cases there's absolutely

major modification. We'll discuss that, too. So

feel free to stop, interject or ask questions along

the way. But if there are none we'll just let

Kennywood continue through their summary discussion.

The floor is yours, Kennywood.

MR. HENNINGER: Thank you. All three submissions that you just mentioned were conditions approved and put into service at the park.

CHAIR: Excuse me, just as a reminder, for the stenographer, would you introduce yourself please?

MR. HENNINGER: Sorry. It's Rob Henninger, H-E-N-N-I-N-G-E-R.

CHAIR: Thank you. Go ahead.

MR. HENNINGER: As I mentioned, we did receive conditional approval from the Board and all three as you've mentioned have been put into service this summer. And all of three ran very well as we expected. And I don't have any substantial comments aside from saying it they did perform well and we would appreciate the Board's consideration for final approval on this ride.

CHAIR: Joe, do you want any of our folks to discuss interactions - you were out there and worked pretty closely with them on all three of these.

MR. FILOROMO: Leonard was there and he looked the stuff all over in detail.

CHAIR: So Leonard, this is your time to give us a two-minute elevator speech on what you saw, what they were doing and your impressions. And I suggest that you be good.

MR. ADAMS: I have a very good conversation with Kennywood every time we did go down there, they're very cooperative with us. With the Kangaroo modifications that they did, they did a full teardown of the whole entire ride, all the parts were NPT'd and reassembled. They put a new operation system in to allow more uniform operation and more control over the ride to make sure that there's more checkpoints being done regularly by a computer eliminating human error.

Also they added a major foot platform to the bottom of the car. We did have some questions about the new weight and everything to that foot platform but they did provide us with explicit engineering drawings which point to every

single - that was in there. I personally have ridden all three of these. The Kangaroo operates just as it did previous to the modifications. It was a comfortable ride. I'm six foot four, and 250 pounds and I fit - barely but I fit. So that's all I have for the Kangaroo.

The Steel Curtain, the brakes modification was -.

MR. ADAMS: All right.

MR. HENNINGER: On the Steel Curtain, the brake modification was even better than we expected. The limited wear, good stopping power and we've helped with our component changeovers for parts and brakes, we're very pleased with the brake changeover.

CHAIR: Okay. Lenny, all yours.

MR. ADAMS: As Rob said, the reason for the brake modification actually was an additional brake was added to the right side of the car was to help minimize the wear of the aluminum brake for the magnetic - from the opposite side to the cart. That ride ran 100 times prior to - 100 plus prior to the paying customer getting on it. I

did ride the ride. You did not feel no transition going into the brake. It was an excellent transition going in. So that's all I have for that one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR: Last but certainly not least.

MR. HENNINGER: Finally, on the

Thunderbolt our modification on the brake there went
to magnetic brake versus the old skid style brakes.

It's a much safer system and again we were very
pleased with the performance. It did very well this
year.

Once again, I was down MR. ADAMS: I saw the installation. I went back. Т there. rode the ride. A very smooth transition coming into the brake. No sudden stops. They had 100-plus runs per train on each one of the trains before they opened it to the public to give tentative approval for it. Overall it was a very nice ride. Otherwise, a lot of roller coasters are going to magnetic magnetic brakes - from skid brakes to thin brakes because they're more dependable in a rain situation and it is easier for the operator to So this is a common modification that control. we're seeing in the industry on wooden rollercoasters. That's all I have. Thank you.

Board members, impressions, CHAIR: I know that was a lot of information. questions? As a reminder, all of the time, effort and expertise put in by all people across the industry whether it's hayride attractions, they certainly are -. It's been 11 years which is a drop in the pan for some of you. But I'm really in awe of the industry as a whole. Pennsylvania specifically. operators, our owners absolutely, in my opinion, set the standard that others follow. There are big companies out there that could take a few notes of how Pennsylvania businesses in this industry operate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm appreciative. It makes coming to these meetings and reporting - nothing but good news most of the time. It's just a pleasure to be here. So that's just an example of people stepping up and doing better than what's expected. And thank you, Kennywood. Board members do you have any questions on any of the three submissions that were discussed?

This was a project that we were made aware of late winter so that's why we're able to get there at each stage and have somebody review the process and they address a multitude of issues that

they log and recognize and make plans for it. We received all the plans related to that and testing requirements for those cases. In our opinion it is better now than it was right after so they've made better. And we appreciate that. Any questions or concerns about the modifications that you want answers to?

MR. DIDOMIZIO: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIR: Go ahead, sir.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: This is Bob DiDomizio.

I was - the only reason I bring this up is because in the submission there are some photographs showing electrical changes. So my question would be moot, it depends on the answer here, but are we being shown this because this is part of a major modification that took place?

<u>CHAIR:</u> It's a great question. We're going to give Kennywood a chance to answer it.

 $\frac{\text{MR. HENNINGER:}}{\text{Nes, that was part of}} \quad \text{Yes, that was part of}$ the new control system to operate the ride, Mr. DiDomizio.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: Okay. Like I said, I do - because it's here. I'm not seeing anything in the way of schematics. Let me tell you the reason why I bring the question was when you do a lot of -

it's often customary to up the - certain safety precautions and maybe over the years I just can't determine that for myself, that's why I bring it up. And the bonding issues which you'll hear me talk about at least once where we try to segregate equipment grounds so that we don't cause safety issues at the controls rather than going back to the source where we'd be grounded.

So I'm seeing at the bottom of the drawing on page four, I guess the photograph on page four of your submission for everybody's benefit and probably the next page, you'll see at the bottom it looks like there's copper grounding wire which is fine which is fine. Now if I look at this, I'm going to say it's not the - cabinet but a series of cabinets that is being unified by a tower or a ground to follow along. So is there a separation here between, you know, the chassis ground and equipment ground versus live ground?

And I'm speaking in terms of the most recent changes that occurred in 2018 to the National Entry Code section. I can't quote the chapter verse but they told me if you separate those so that you don't ground possible current conductors through any part of the cabinet, there could be a conflict to

the operator. So was any of that upgraded at that time, bonding issue taken care of?

MR. HENNINGER: Right off the top of my head I cannot answer that question. What I can say is it does comply with the AFTM 24 as well as I'm not sure if you're aware but Kennywood and Palace Entertainment bring in a third-party TV review to begin compliance as well. They both were reviewed and signed off on. If that doesn't answer your question I can certainly dig into this and get that information to you.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: I'd be - in your best interest to show that type of modification only because it's brought up and it's something I've taken on, very near and dear to my heart because it is safety to the operators, to the people. So I appreciate that -.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. DiDomizio. I want to ask a question if Board members are willing to answer, I don't mean to put you on the spot. For those of you having small or large construction projects related to amusement rides or attractions, other than the approval through the Bureau, are you also required to seek local zoning building approval when you install these larger rides or attractions?

So you're going through local zoning on top of our specific approvals. So part of that should be compliance with construction code, electrical code, in those cases. I'm saying this in affirmation I have head nods here in person, so I'll ask Kennywood, is that similar to your work onsite that you also had to conform with local zoning ordinances and building codes when you made those modifications?

 $\underline{\text{MR. HENNINGER:}}$ Depending on the project, yes, we always work with our local borough and review all the changes.

CHAIR: That is my understanding. I just wanted to bring that up for the group and Mr. DiDomizio that though we do, and I appreciate the diligence in reviewing these, the installation requirement still has to pass installation, construction, and building code requirements which includes compliance with electrical and design.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: Just to follow up, that is true - still require - this county to whatever electrical standard. This is considered - source and connection. They would certainly be involved with the service entrances and the proper grounding and the length of ground on the

43

```
conductor but from that point on it's simply on the
1
2
    side of the installer or contractor or designer.
3
    It's been my experience in the past if they want to
4
    go past that point, at that point it's just
5
    responsibility of the person - the person or persons
6
    - the design. So yes, it is part of it and it
7
    doesn't go past that point.
                           Thank you for the
8
                   CHAIR:
9
    clarification. Excellent point as always.
10
                   Board members, any further discussion
11
    on Kennywood's submissions and modifications?
    Since this is a major modification is there a desire
12
13
    to put the Board's stamp of approval on recommending
14
    approval contingent on Mr. DiDomizio getting his
15
    answer concerning the grounding of bonding issues?
                   MR. DIDOMIZIO: I would make a motion
16
17
    - with that provision I would approve it.
18
                           I appreciate that.
                   CHAIR:
                                                Is there a
19
    second?
20
                   MR. REBBIE:
                                 Second.
                   CHAIR:
21
                           Thank you, sir.
                                             Any
22
    discussion?
                 All those in favor signify by saying
23
    aye?
    ALL RESPOND AYE
2.4
25
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you. Any opposed?
```

Kennywood, you heard - if you could look into that that would be greatly appreciated.

And other than that, you're off the hook.

 $\underline{\text{MR. HENNINGER:}} \quad \text{I will do that.} \quad \text{Thank}$ you. Can I add two more quick comments?

CHAIR: Of course.

MR. HENNINGER: First, just to bring it to the Board's attention our intentions for next year are to add a new Zamperla Gryphon Ride to the park. We are in the process of working with Zamperla for all the proper documentation to present to the Board to hopefully be on the agenda for the next advisory board meeting.

CHAIR: That sounds exciting. We're ready to work with you.

MR. HENNINGER: Thank you. And my second comment is just to say and pass on a big thank you to Mr. Filoromo, Mr. Arndt and especially Mr. Adams who worked very very hard with us to help us get these attractions approved in a timely manner for the park. We're very appreciative and I wanted to pass that on. So thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIR: We appreciate that. We'll be in communication. Gentlemen, you can stay on or you can drop off the phone as you see fit. Thank you

for your diligence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HENNINGER: Thank you.

CHAIR: So one of the most unique submissions we've had, Roba's Tree Farm, Inc. And I think it might be appropriate for one of us to give a quick overview of the submission and then allow Mr. Roba to elaborate for us.

 $\underline{\text{MR. ADAMS:}}$ Do you want me to jump on it with your indulgence, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIR: How about you take the first half and Randall will take the second half and then we'll let Mr. Roba speak. I'll switch it. Randall, why don't you take the first half?

MR. ARNDT: It's awesome. It's a neat train. The train installation they put in is a mile long track. You see that picture up there. Me and Leonard went up and looked at and we had a few suggestions for them that they took care of. brand new track - and it goes all the way around. There's hardly any grade, maybe a two present grade all the way around. We rode the train, the train stops very simple, about six miles an hour. It does take about 12 miles per hour, it doesn't operate at It's run with hydraulics. Each axle on the that. carriages have brakes so that is one of the things.

The pictures, they don't have all the - the pictures they took - they put more up the other day.

MR. FILOROMO: Let me add, this is the first railway we've installed in the state since the new railroad standards were written. So this meets from top to bottom the rolling stop requirements as they exist to this point and the rail bed requirements of the rail bed, the balance, the packing, everything. So the submission that's shown, this is done as a completed railroad, not just the engine or the rolling stop but the complete railroad that they built.

MR. FILOROMO: The picture that's up there now, that is not the engine, that is another one they're going to send to us at a later time. They're running a second engine. That is not the one for the submittal. So they put up a whole new building and they put safety railway, I asked them to put safety rails where it goes over the - next to the lake and there's high drop-offs on both sides. That's one of the last things, we asked them to put safety rail in because we were not comfortable if it was derailing into the lake to one side or come down and go on the other. So there should be pictures of it.

MR. ROBA: The submission you have was not updated yet. We have an updated submission.

MR. ARNDT: So they have updated all the safety rail that we required, we asked them to do. They did it overnight for the most part. A very nice train.

CHAIR: Leonard, do you want your
impressions of the train?

Yeah. I walked the whole MR. ADAMS: entire track. It was very well put together. Every crossover where a hay wagon will be crossing over is concrete. I didn't find any ties that were improperly installed. It was just an extremely well-done train, extremely well-done train. the only thing I can say about it. We did ask for a little bit of safety rails like Randall said and they were more than happy to comply with that for And it's a hydraulic-driven train. It has us. brakes on every wheel, not on every axle, on every wheel. It is just - it's a very nice unit. That's all.

22 CHAIR: Did we get it all right, Mr.

23 Roba?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. ROBA: Yes. That all sounds right to me. I guess the first thing I'll say is thank

you for the kind words and working with us and helping us get this approved. I do apologize for some of the photos that are in there. They were kind of placeholders and as our coaches were kind of an updated design but we didn't have pictures of those until they were onsite and completed. That red engine is - right there, that locomotive, that is exactly like ours. The station, the picture that's up there, is partial construction. That is now completed. We've gotten our occupancy permit for that. Everything so far sounded correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'll just cover over all of CHAIR: the sort of pieces. Pennsylvania is always interested in working with the industry to get them in compliance. This is yet another current example of people who are working hard to do the right thing and were willing to work together. When we asked for safety rails, it was a day and a half before they were hopeful of opening, and they poured, I don't know how many metric tons of B2 gravel out there and safety rail work crews probably 12 hours at a pop so they could be meet the requirements and be safe and still be open on time. So that didn't come until the last minute and they pushed forward and got it in. So I can't say enough about the

willingness to work with and ensure that they meet all the requirements. In this case they have a marvelous attraction that is hopefully well-worth their time and investment. We're proud to have you in Pennsylvania.

MR. ROBA: Thank you.

CHAIR: Board members do you have any questions for this submission?

MR. NESLAND: Absolutely, Mr.

Chairman. This is Brad Nesland. This is not necessarily a question for the manufacturer, it's more for the Board. I know there was a serious accident in New Jersey, a small child. One of my questions is what's our take on fencing? I do not believe the train is required to have fencing by ASTM but being the nature that it's a train, but I'm just curious what's our exposure to somebody being run over?

MR. ROBA: We're looking for fencing - we're looking for fencing in the station area and possibly anywhere where there's public traffic.

Normally the trains go into more of a semi-restricted area and don't require fencing, as per the engineer's training to deal with that. But crossings, we're looking - what it says about

crossings, the standard. But you know, just in the station area where, you know, they group up and are around there, we want some fence railing around there.

 $\underline{\text{MR. NESLAND:}}$ So it is under review making judgment - or making judgment on what you think is right.

MR. ROBA: Correct.

MR. NESLAND: My next question if you go to page, it looks like 23 -.

MR. ROBA: Would I be able to have a comment real quick while we're on the topic of fencing? Fencing at crossing are proposed, kind of like, I think it was - we do have fencing up for around our station and where any of the public would have access to the track. So before opening we got our fencing up all around the station, all around the parking lot, anywhere where a guest can approach the track. So that's been taken care of. The rest of our track is not fence but our site lines are - I think the inspections can attest to this but we have very long site lines.

So we feel comfortable with the amount of fencing that we have right now. And as far as crossings go, we have not had time at this point to

put in our crossing arms but at all of our concrete crossings we did install conduit in the concrete so that next year we'll be able to install crossing For this year what we're doing, we have two spots where people actually walk across the track and what we're doing this year is just staffing it with a crossing quard who manually turns around like a PennDOT that says stop on one side and slow on the Those crossings with staff, they have other. checkpoints where as the train crosses a certain point they go up to the crossing and turn the signs around and stand there and make sure that nobody runs out in front of the train that is approaching. And then once the train is clear, they switch the signs back around. That's everything on the fencing and crossings. Sorry to interrupt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR: No, happy to have the insight. We do have - submission on this one. So this gives you an idea as part of the submission, the track is designed and laid out across the property and that's part of the submission. And this train is like go-karts in that we take and address not just the engine or cars as part of the submission, but also the operation, and the tracks specifically, with that in consideration to kind of elaborate on what

Mr. Filoromo brought up to your question. That was part of the conversation is how do you address public safety as it relates to, you know, interaction with the training. So absolutely that's part of the submission. Any other questions from Board members?

2.4

MR. NESLAND: Can we go back to page 23. So that's an image of the operator controls. One of the biggest contributing factors that I know of for train incidents is speed. And one of the things I don't see is any way for the operator to see how fast they're going. Is there an alternative or how are the operators being trained to control their speed?

MR. ROBA: This photo, again a photo of the older gauges, gauge layout, since then we have asked the manufacturer to put GPS speedometer in the controls so there's a digital display of speed for the operator, the engineer, conductor, whatever name you want to give them, to see how fast they're going.

In addition to that, we're also as a backup or secondary, I guess, we're using an iPad that's been enabled with cellular data and a GPS enabled app that displays the speed on the iPad as

well. So there's actually two ways for the operator to see how fast they're going.

2.4

And this past weekend when we got it open for the first time we've actually already trained every single one of our operators and worked with them to say okay, when you're coming out of the station, until you get through the switch and then the crossings you should be at two or three miles an hour and then as you get out in the open you can run it up to five, six. And that when you're coming back in through the final crossing to the station you want to slow back down to two or three in order to eventually do a rolling stop into the station.

So I believe that answers your question.

MR. NESLAND: Yeah, absolutely. And I appreciate you adding that for the engineers' benefit and their training. The other question I have is Roba's Tree Farm is listed as the manufacturer. Are you truly the manufacturer?

MR. ROBA: Of the rail bed and station, yes, we did all of that. The train itself is Suwannee River Railroad, Todd Swan is the manufacturer. If think that answers your question.

I believe there is a reason for us submitting it as one, maybe -.

 $$\underline{\tt MR.\ FILOROMO:}$$ The train is a component of the railroad and he's registering the whole railroad.

MR. ROBA: In our hopes moving forward we'll list it in our database as what it is, right, there's a manufacturer and serial number for it and the like. But the interaction so to speak is the railroad itself as a component.

MR. NESLAND: Yeah. I only ask because I mean I thought it was very well made and if Roba Farms had done this, it's done a - tell you what a really good job you did.

MR. ROBA: Thank you. I believe Todd is here so I'm sure that he's getting there but I agree. It is an excellent train, a beautiful train. It runs incredibly well. He's done a phenomenal job in building this things.

CHAIR: Any other discussion from Board members?

MR. DIDOMIZIO: Mr. Chairman, if I may, I wanted to follow up with a question.

<u>CHAIR:</u> Yes, Mr. DiDomizio, please go ahead.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: So the locomotive is built by Suwannee River Railroad Company obviously

as an amusement ride. How about the cars themselves, who manufactures the cars?

MR. ROBA: The same Suwannee River Railroad.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: So then what you folks have done is you've purchased - purchased the locomotive, using it as is, hooking up care to it and then what you've done is made a track and layout. Presumably everything is in compliance with the whatever the manufacturer of the locomotive says it is capable of doing.

MR. ROBA: That's correct.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: So I'm breaking it down by locomotive, cars, the track, the building.

Now, the building design that's - Suwannee is the manufacturer of the train and cars obviously so as far as the submission, what I'm seeing is the submission is really just limited to the track, the layout of the track, and all the features and requirements for that. I don't see any reason why Suwannee wouldn't be listed separately on the application merely from a liability standpoint if anything happened, God forbid, anything happens with the locomotive or the cars, I don't really think that you need to carry that liability. What are

your thoughts to the conversation? I'm only bringing that up if Mr. Filoromo wanted to comment on that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you for your concerns, CHAIR: Mr. DiDomizio. Please note that our documentation as Mr. Filoromo had said was the submission of the railroad as a whole and the train as a component. It's not removing or adding liability to Roba Farm, Inc. as if this is a civil matter which we don't get involved in. It's apparent that it was manufactured by Suwannee and they would have that piece of the liability that would be discussed or determined by insurance, insurance investigators or civil -. How we list it in our database for our own operation is not relevant to assigning liability. So it's kind of housekeeping for us on how we identify it. doesn't change that it was manufactured by Suwannee. We've determined that it's a submission as a whole railroad that was provided to us by Roba Farms, Inc. Does that make sense for you?

MR. DIDOMIZIO: Oh, yes, sir, as long as there's been no modification to the locomotive or to the cars, it simply come out of the wooden box and ended up on the track. I don't think I'm familiar with locomotives in general.

57

```
1
                   CHAIR: You bring up a great point for
2
    clarification. But yes, that's why the manufacturer
3
    is online with Mr. Roba in attesting that he stands
4
    by his product that he's providing to Roba Farms and
5
    Mr. Roba is using them in accordance with the
6
    manufacturer requirements.
7
                   MR. DIDOMIZIO:
                                    Understood.
                                                 Thank
8
    you.
9
                           Any other questions or
                   CHAIR:
10
    concerns?
11
                   MR. REMMIE:
                                Tom Remmie.
                                              I see this
12
    as very similar to things we've done in the past,
13
    Knoebel's, they designed the twister roller coaster.
14
    Waldemeer has a great coaster, designed that coaster
15
    and constructed in-house. And again it's parallel
16
    to what Robas are doing. My thought.
17
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you. Any other
               This is - for a motion?
18
    comments?
19
                                I make a motion to
                   MR. REMMIE:
20
    approve.
21
                   CHAIR:
                           Any discussion?
                                             Second?
22
                   MR. SWIKA:
                                I second.
23
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you. Any discussion?
    All those in favor?
24
    ALL RESPOND AYE
25
```

CHAIR: Any opposed? Mr. Roba, you're going to have one last letter from us.

 $\underline{\text{MR. ROBA:}} \quad \text{Thank you very much}$ everybody. I appreciate it.

CHAIR: Awesome. Thank you so much.

MR. ROBA: If I could ask one question real quick while we're still on our topic. We do have the second train, locomotive and coaches from a separate manufacturer that Randall has a picture of there. I believe we recently discussed that when everyone was out but as far as getting that approved would that be a major modification submission?

CHAIR: It would. You're adding a new component to it. But since you added the manufacturer, and you already have all the rest of the submission, it's just you're adding a new train and it's potentially - actually there would be new cars. So the submission would be identical except for the specifications for the train.

 $$\operatorname{\underline{MR.\ ROBA:}}$$ Got it. Got it. Okay. I think that covers it. So thanks everyone again. I appreciate it.

CHAIR: Having almost completed our agenda, we have one final item. And then I'll ask Mr. DiDomizio if he's willing to comment on it?

1 MR. DIDOMIZIO: Thank you, Mr. 2 Chairman. Before I do, did we skip over the fifth 3 and sixth one, the Kangaroo, the Steel Curtain and 4 Thunderbolt. I know we voted on one. 5 CHAIR: We took that as a lump sum, as 6 they were all major mods. We did not do an 7 individual for three separate ones. Do you desire 8 us to do so? 9 Provision only applies MR. DIDOMIZIO: 10 to the Kangaroo. I don't interpret -. 11 CHAIR: We'll clarify for the minutes 12 that that's acceptable. 13 MR. DIDOMIZIO: Yeah. And just for 14 the sake of the minutes, they would apply to the 15 Thunderbolt as well, so similar kind of, type of question, not exactly the same ride - type of 16 modification but since there were schematics that 17 18 were included that raised a question for me about, it kind of covers it, I just want to make sure it 19 20 doesn't go into the Steel Curtain. 21 CHAIR: I understand. I'm making the 22 notes. We'll carry the handwritten notes over to 23 meeting minutes, I want to make sure it's clarified. 2.4 MR. DIDOMIZIO: Thank you.

Thank you. Are you ready to

CHAIR:

25

put on your other hat?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DIDOMIZIO: Yeah. I got it all When I had - just to start off with, of lined up. course this is a relatively new of this particular application so just so the Board knows I will help with this presentation but I will abstain when it comes time, comes to that point. I was in contact with Jarrod - as a motion. And what you're seeing here is it's not unusual to have this type of a virtual ride with a seat - sort of four seats on top of a platform and are stationery. We are inside of a single room. What they're doing is preparing the - so the manufacturer of the base and the chairs attached to it, they're coming from the person who's putting in the submission - back on that first page, Beijing Leke.

So the motion is - by a company in England and then they tag up with Beijing Leke to introduce this product. So really what we're seeing here is just the inclusion of a virtual reality electronic that can go for - what we show on here is the application for a four-seat platform that they can chain together. It's really a package - looked over all the schematics, all the items, everything that we worked on together, plenty of updates or

whatever.

The one thing that I have to say according to information that was received, has this been approved? Just for the record was this not approved August 25th, 2022 according to a note that I have here from the manufacturer. I didn't see this note but I'm just questioning right now whether this has already been approved.

CHAIR: Long dramatic pause. So as a reminder, we allow approvals to go through, tentative approvals in some rare instances in order to allow the operator time and effort for testing -. So yes, this was granted approval for operation, approval to bring before the Board.

We don't often see many VR submissions so typically we like to bring items that the bureau's working on to the Board for their review, consideration and thought because that's why we have the Board. Irregardless of our approval status or not, it's still appropriate to bring items to the Board. So yes, in this particular case this item was approved to allow the owner to operate.

 that. So I am available to answer any questions the Board would have about this. What we're seeing is -well, let me just throw it out to the Board. Is there any questions here, anything you see that would prevent it from being approved?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR: I see heads shaking, not nodding. So it doesn't appear there's questions. But I do have a comment if I may. And I will quote Mr. Hall a little bit about this. Before the formal session I had a conversation as a side bar just to discuss where the Board may or may not see virtual reality fitting into the amusement ride setting as a whole. And obviously there's caveats. We've seen it where it's a feature or an option of an existing ride or attraction. Therefore, we absolutely have to entertain it as in our purview.

Right now for the record we have a submission from Kalahari. They worked in concert with a company called Ballast Technologies, or Ballast VR where they made no physical changes to the dynamics of the pro slide but offered a virtual reality option for a pro slide waterslide at Kalahari. It's actually - so they are on a boat rather than navigating it themselves. And it is the positon of the Bureau and through review of our

engineer that we denied that registration application which is the approval process.

So understanding this technology is new, that there is not an established ASTM standard for this technology that specifies its use. This way or this submission, let alone attaching it to the dynamics of a waterslide or any park attraction, we felt it was prudent for the standards that exist for us to be able to approve it comparatively to a standard. So we will be taking that to the next step as they did appeal our denial. So we'll move forward with how that goes.

It will be, moving forward, up to the Board to help the department determine where we are with this, what is or what isn't appropriate as far as the ride or attraction. Joe obviously has some opinions so I'm going to ask Randy to turn on his microphone and turn the floor over to Mr. Filoromo.

MR. FILOROMO: We're not talking about it because it is a stimulator where the seat moves. If the seat stayed still and you had VR, we would not be talking about this. So I want to make that clear. And it's not like - and as far as the other things go, when you have an amusement ride where the

headset can become a problem or where you have patron-directed rider attractions where you normally have go-karts, waterslides, trampolines, if you have a patron-directed piece of equipment and now you disconnect them from their senses so the patron cannot direct themselves you compromise the safety of that equipment. That's my opinion about this VR being added to patron-directed rides.

CHAIR: Thank you. That's why I brought up motion questions, where are the lines and limits how VR interacts and when it is or not considered. So I - Mr. Hall, he said almost exactly the same thing. He said, well, in this case it wouldn't be because it's attached to the amusement ride or attraction but on its own it may not be or probably shouldn't be -. I hope I got that right. If you have any further insight, Mr. Hall, I'd appreciate it.

MR. HALL: This is Bill Hall speaking.

A few years ago didn't Comcast out of Philadelphia come in here with some device that was circular and people would sit and put on gear. It gave you the experience I think but they wanted to have it ready for Christmas. And I remember about four or five people that came in here from Comcast and we had

considered it as an attraction or a ride device I think because people were seated. I don't know whether this has any similarity but that's what I remember. I don't know how similar this is but just what I recall. Thank you.

CHAIR: Any other comments from the Board? I agree. The VR portion of this particular submission was not substance to why we were considering it. It's no different than the coin operated - not to confuse or add any additional elements - but those rides -. So this met that requirement.

MR. GORMAN: This is Steve Gorman

I was just curious. Bob, have you seen this
installation? Did you go there?

MR. DIDOMIZIO: No, not personally. This is going to be installed in the Pittsburgh Zoo as I recall.

MR. GORMAN: I'm just curious why there's a height requirement, minimum height of 39 inches, is it because of the seat design or is it because what they're showing is not appropriate for minors or how violent does it move? Why would they have that requirement if they're not interested and are there seatbelts?

MR. DIDOMIZIO: To the first question, there are some limitations and accelerations that are shown to the platform movement and I asked that same question, Steve, about how much - is it going to be limited - more than jerking from side to side. And from what I've seen it basically falls into a class zero so it never gets in a situation where it tips forward or tips backwards. There are hard stops that would prevent that from happening. They do put seatbelts in them - I think it's - ASTM, the state does not require it.

But the reason why I wanted seatbelts because I wanted to prevent people from just snapping - getting up out of their seat, those are things - due diligence of the operator. The height requirement is more towards the age, keeping it under a certain height basically keeping a kid, you know, off of it that would not have -. Now they tell me that modifications that could be made - as a child ride but this is designed as an adult ride.

CHAIR: Thank you.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: If you look at page six - guide operations, I'm glad you pointed that out, that there are 11 feet so the entire structure itself is meant to sit on the floor. It has a blue

unit next to it - sit there and they're just showing it in close proximity for the photograph. Let me see if there's another picture that shows it better. We have multiple ones. On page nine, again, it is just showing - you can see from the view under 2.6, that's the dimension, the platform itself is stationary. That darker area, that is actually - it's just covering what's inside of it. Page eleven you'll see that there are two units that are working in tandem. That first picture 3.1 is showing how the two units are tied together. The operator stands at the console during motion, it's an approved program motion.

And I've been assured that it can be programmed to not exceed those limits. I don't know, it tells your senses how these things work but I've seen - I've seen them at least - program at least ten years, more than ten years I've seen these type of rides able to move you around. They put it in a darker area and that's the idea -. Just by putting the personal headset on you create an environment and things and that's where this is headed. I'm just giving you a feel for it. Safer than not having - the VR as part of it.

So here's a case where you have a

company that specializes in VR and they teamed up with a company that specializes in -. So the entire package is for the submission of the structural analyses of the platform itself. And of course there's some electrical items having to do with the console. I spent time with both parts to make sure everything was covered. And typically - approve any moving, well this was stationary - static versus dynamic ride, even though those dynamics - something like a coaster where you might have a VR - moving around. Movements are limited here.

CHAIR: Thank you. Any there questions, comments from the Board members? As Mr. DiDomizio did state, we have issued this, brought it to the Board just to show the uniqueness of the direction we're starting to get into and have that larger conversation about virtual reality. So unless there is a desire to - ask for the Board to take a vote on it, unless there's somebody who has an issue with approval.

Home stretch. We have a few things added to our agenda as housekeeping. I'd like to start with the nebulous submission. This was in error left off so we gave this tentative approval in March with the understanding that it was going to be

operated, we'd go out and see it in operation. They have brought their subject matter and is prepared to give us an update of the status. After questions and comments from the Board we'll go ahead and issue a final approval but that's the Board's decision. So please state your name and give us an update on this.

MR. ROSSI: Thank you, sir. Tony
Rossi with Hershey Park, R-O-S-S-I. As Mr. Remmie
said, Zamperla actually put in approval for this
ride for us and tentative - was issued. We did have
representatives of the Department of Agriculture
come out and meet with us to do a final inspection.
We keyed on our restraint system because of the past
issues in our industry.

I can say that after the approval we did open successfully. The ride has performed I would say exceptionally well. We have had as we all do little hiccups here and there mostly because people like myself - and sometimes we don't fit. That is the issues we have had. It has been very reliable. I can say I know there have been a lot of changes to this second generation as compared to the first generation.

So we learned from the beginning

models, and they've gotten much better. It is a very popular and reliable ride. And we've no issues with it at all. Any questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR: Is it still popular? People
have -?

MR. ROSSI: I'll be honest with you sir, it draws a crowd. It's kind of a mesmerizing ride, you've seen it. It's just the way it moves it draws you in a lot. It does real well, we put it in our different food areas so people stay around, hang out, just watch. Some eat and drink while they're there.

Leave it to Hershey. CHAIR: That's That's what you're supposed to do. good. members, comments, concerns? Any reason why we should not issue this full and final approval? you have a desire to take a vote on it? We voted on it in March with the understanding it is our requirement to move -. You still have the hot seat so we'll bring up your - we have it. Okay. So whatever one you pick we're ready. There's going to be four items they're going to speak about, the theme submission. And we'll bring them up one at a time, let them kind of go through it and we'll go from there.

MR. ROSSI: We want to thank you for your time this morning. We have submitted for tentative approval four of these attractions moving forward. Hershey Park has decided to make our Annual Hershey Park in the Dark as we put it a little bit darker. However, I can guarantee you there will be non killer claws from outer space. No chainsaws, no blood, no guts, no glory. Our Treat Mill which we had for years and decorations has been very poplar and we're taking it to the next level.

You can go to the next slide. What we are doing as you can see in our park there will be various experiences. We will have walkthrough scary experiences, trick or treat experiences, character dance parties, creatures of the night and classic Hershey Park in the Dark experience. And when we say classic that's our walk through the zoo at night. When I say we have classics, we have our Hershey Park Treat Mill which has been popular for years which is just a walkthrough for children and families to get some candy, believe that or not. They can dress up. We have a lot of decorations. Our dancing pumpkins have been popular.

We've taken and stepped it up a notch and I'll let Chris go over that in a second. But

we're not only going to have walkthroughs, we're going to add more on the outside. We're going to have indoor walkthroughs. Three are indoor, the fourth is not really an indoor walkthrough. We have the amphitheater. So we walk past the stage of the open air amphitheater and then along the creek. So it's not really a haunted house but it's what we've listed in here as one of our houses.

We have three scare areas. We're calling them Midway Misery, Darkness Hollow and Valley of Fear. And then our fourth haunts, Haunted Coal Mine, The Descent, Twisted Darkness and then Creature Chaos is the one I mentioned that would be a walk along the creek.

CHAIR: I believe just for housekeeping for the one or two folks who are on the phone. So Hershey Darkness, Trick or Treat. The thumb drive they gave us doesn't work on our system so some of the features of the slideshow presentation are not projecting. We'll be able to provide - they provided us handouts here and we'll upload those for those folks who are interested. So enjoy the talking tour and we'll upload the graphics.

MR. ROSSI: Thank you. Again, four design designated walkthrough zone. These we'll be

calling our haunts. They're all unique and different, I'll let Chris talk about where they came from. But we've taken this very very seriously. We went and found the best in the business to do this for us. The design, I'm going to give you a little history.

I was a jurisdictional inspector for the State of New Jersey for many years. I was working when the Great Adventure fire happened. We did not have any jurisdiction over anything that was going through at that time which changed right after that. But we've all learned. So I did things a little different than some, I checked things a little different. And we had keyed on the safety for our walkthroughs and how they're built and what they're made of as well as making sure it is a safe effective and kind of spooky experience for our guests.

We will have the four that are going to be controlled. We're limiting the amount of people that can go through there every day. So every day we're open it will be smooth control. And as I explained to Joe on the phone we have our procedures in place for evac as well as how we're going to operate each and every one on a daily basis

to ensure we're not over capacity which in all honesty we can never get to full capacity. How we can safely and efficiently run these attractions.

One thing I'm pretty proud of is where they came from and for that I'm going to let Chris actually tell you how we got this put together.

MR. BROWN: Thanks, Tony, and thanks to this Board for allowing me to be here. This is a true joy for me. I grew up in Warren, PA, so I cut my teeth going to the waterpark. It's been fun being here to listen to all this.

Yvonne has the PowerPoint back up, hopefully I'll give a quick sense of what Tony was talking about. Hershey Park in the Dark, literally we turn the lights off. It started in '98 when Creatures of the Night activity led folks across the bridge - it has evolved off to just Hershey Park Halloween. As Tony mentioned, we spent a lot of time and effort and what I find fascinating about this industry is we all share so much. The ability to share resources and share experiences and best practices. We spent all last fall visiting all of our local inventory - Jason's Woods, haunted hayrides. And we went to Knotts Berry Farms for the granddaddy of them all. We went to Universal

Florida, Bush, and Tampa Bush and Williamsburg. We did them all. I'm so tired of being scared.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What we learned was important, and as Tony said, some of you were probably on this Board in 2004 when we operated Haunted Harvest which was financially successful and terribly off brand for Hershey Park and our family friendly demographic. We had a great opportunity to work with some really really great retired imagineers from Walt Disney like Joe Lanzisero. He led our story team on this and gave us great content really pooled from So Haunted Coal Mine. Pennsylvania. The Creature Chaos is all about mythical creatures in Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Folklore. This is our business - we have Midway America. And The Descent is actually about tunnels underground and if you guys have been to Hershey, Mr. Hershey was a big proponent of connecting underground tunnels and utility corridors. Long before Mr. Disney thought about doing it, Mr. Hershey was doing it. We try to really mind that content.

And then we really just got a lucky break. When we built Chocolate town in 2019, we invited Adirondack Studios from Upstate New York to build scenic elements for the project, but they were

too busy building Galaxy Central - at the time. So they politely declined. So we were just in awe that they'd even considered coming to little old Hershey, PA to work for us. Well this year they have a bit of a gap in their schedule. So we're working with Adirondack Studios in Upstate New York to build all of the scenic elements of the four haunted houses. And they're just doing a terrific job.

So in the PowerPoint, and in the handout that we gave you is just some of the creative content from those folks. You can see the layouts themselves. As Tony mentioned we're doing four spaces at Hershey Park - if you haven't been there for a long time, guests really haven't seen in the last several years, the Midway Tent is a space that hasn't been actively used. Historic Hershey Park Amphitheater, I saw Joan Jett and the Blackhearts there on a Sunday in 2004. We just don't bring those in any more, the amphitheater has been sort of vacant for a while, wondering what to do. So we've been able to repurpose some of those great spaces with this new haunted experience.

So we're thrilled about the content, we're thrilled about trying to stay true to our brand and be complimentary of our partners in the

industry and folks that do the haunts in Southcentral PA. We feel like it's another offering of our region. They can put us on the map as a great attraction with this industry. I'm just going to go through a couple of the other sketches. I'd be happy to take any questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So Twisted Darkness will actually share space with the Midway Tent. So two houses and one tent there. The fortune tellers tent. I can't wait until you guys come out and see this. It's so true to life -. The props that this team did is The next slide, is beautiful truly remarkable. underground rock work. And the skins and the texture paintings - done two and half to three weeks has just kind of blown me away. Haunted Coal Mine uses - tunnels under it. A sign has been taken off and turned into a sign shop and it's kind of an inspiration to the town. We had a mine five, we had a bunkhouse five, let's bring that back, let that be part of the haunted experience. So here's some of the art from that space.

And the Creature Chaos as Tony
mentioned is just walking in the amphitheater and
seeing that - the show has seemingly gone horribly
wrong and chaos has ensued. So this is really along

the line of one of the best midways at Hershey Park.

And I'm sure if you've been here in the summertime and spent a little time in the shade along Spring

Creek - it's really scenic but it will be inhabited by a menagerie of mythical creatures that Professor Darkstone has released while traveling through Pennsylvania. So everything from the Jersey Devil all the way to - we have them all. We are excited about it and happy to be here with you guys today. Tony might have a few questions?

MR. ROSSI: Yeah. And that is our plan. We wanted to get this to you as quick as we could. Brad, our director of engineering, on the package you have gentlemen and we'll make sure everybody has, that would be his signature on there. We are pushing to make sure we comply, not only ASTM regulations but with all the - standards. We had our inspectors ongoing through the entire process.

In fact Creature Chaos I'm not even sure it qualifies as anything but we wanted to bring it to you. It's all open air to let you know what we're doing ahead of time and ask if there's any questions. And as Joe knows I'm looking forward to either him, Randy, both or all of you to come out and walk through this, give us your input, give us

your ideas.

CHAIR: Thank you. I'm going to ask a few housekeeping questions to help the Board focus in on this. We have more than a little Board expertise in this particular type of operation around the room and on the phone. I think you're going to get a lot of value out of this.

MR. ROSSI: Absolutely.

CHAIR: So when are you hoping to
start? When do you expect to open?

 $\underline{\text{MR. ROSSI:}}$ We would like to open on the 17th of September.

CHAIR: Of October?

MR. ROSSI: Of September.

CHAIR: Self or lead? Do you lead people through these in groups or counting people in, counting people out?

MR. ROSSI: Counting people in, counting people out. That is part of our plan to always know how many people we have inside.

CHAIR: Actors involved?

MR. KIRKHAM: Actors and attendants.

We'll have attendant stations throughout just to keep you kind of moving as well as technicians, too.

MR. FILOROMO: Head count.

 $\underline{\text{MR. KIRKHAM:}}$ Yes, head count is very important. I'm glad you brought that up.

CHAIR: There was a conversation you had mentioned we can never get to capacity. What were you referring to? Is there not a capacity?

MR. KIRKLAND: We have a theoretical capacity that we presented to Derry Township for permitting purposes. That capacity is kind of, let's say, tentatively based upon like a que line situation as you kind of single file through these. So that theoretical capacity is every occupant has a - is two feet behind the person in front. So operationally we don't feel comfortable jamming that many people in the house at that amount of time. It doesn't present very well.

MR. ROSSI: Leading to that - is a question. So obviously based on the township code since it's permitted. Because of the sequency of the experience of the story unfolding is important to us we do pause people through. Our attendants in the front of the house and at six to eight different locations through the house will manage that flow. It is not the best to have too many people in certain spaces so we'd be very intentional to work through to keep the show at a high quality for us.

CHAIR: So you've created an occupancy that works for the show you're providing?

2.4

MR. ROSSI: Absolutely.

CHAIR: So you're going to know who's where and when or what size the group is so there's really more to - they feel like they're walking through -.

MR. ROSSI: We actually have four houses. We'll have four radios so attendants can communicate inside the house so they say, hey, slow it down a little bit, this group got ahead. So it's very intentional. Those attendants are actually going through making sure that they're measuring that pace to walk through. It's a bit of a risk and that it's story driven, we realize that, but we didn't feel like we could deliver just with shear scariness because of being Hershey Park family friendly. So we're going to have to be intentional about pacing people through -.

MR. KIRKLAND: Our lead will be more like a conductor and knows exactly what's going on and where he needs to go, step it up or slow it down, keep the flow going.

CHAIR: Have you tried it yet?
MR. KIRKLAND: Next week.

CHAIR: And I'm going to hand it off to the Board members. So some of these larger operations - they have to move quite a few people in and still provide them the experience. So our personal interest is there a concern - who have gone around and have seen a lot of things. Hershey is a big draw and there will be some high expectations.

So you're going to get a lot of people and you're not addressing that - deliberate design of these features all over the place - most busy here and they can still go and experience other things. People are looking for stuff to do. And if you get a capacity there's no way to tell the story and still move people through.

MR. KIRKLAND: Yes. We are limiting the amount of wristbands - entrances. We may have, let's say, 10,000 people in the park, we don't have 10,000 wristbands.

CHARI: So you do give consideration of how many people can truly get the experience and still have a good experience?

MR. KIRKLAND: Yes.

CHAIR: I know there's a bunch of questions so I'll turn it over to Mr. Nesland.

MR. NESLAND: So I don't have a bunch

of questions but I do have some questions. In your drawings, and really I'm more concerned with the walkthroughs, in those drawings I don't see any emergency exits which I know in the past in things that I've done it was a big challenge. Emergency exits are a challenge. Emergency lighting. And then also flame-retardant materials. Can you speak to how you are addressing those?

MR. KIRKLAND: We do have emergency exits built into the bases. There are doors put in there. The egress plan that is in your packet should have those identified.

CHAIR: We don't have -.

MR. KIRKLAND: When you get the packet, you'll see the egress plan of each house that identifies the emergency exits. Each one of those emergency exits will have a code complaint exit sign above it that in the case of an emergency or any stop on the attraction, those emergency lights will light up and all the house lights will come on and all show lighting, sound, all shuts down. And then can guide people out of the house safely. We're monitoring heat detection in each house. And we're fully sprinklered.

MR. NESLAND: And lastly, I laugh when

you bring up heat detection. We had trouble with smoke detectors.

2.

MR. ROSSI: And Brad, just so you know, it is tied in to the fire system, as well as the east side for the attendants. If they have a problem, if we have a guest in distress, someone's panicking, anything happens, they can shut the show down so they can evac and take care of it.

MR. NESLAND: And the materials are fire retardant?

MR. KIRKLAND: They are. All materials are fire retardant so the construction of the actual maze is metal studs and fire-treated plywood. And then any of the show elements that can't be fire treated we actually go through and apply a fire-retardant application to everything once it's set, that is kind of the last step as we go through - so yes. We have - cigarette lighter test done.

MR. NESLAND: Thank you.

MR. HALL: This is Bill Hall speaking.

I always appreciate what Hershey Park does when
they come in here to alert us usually well in
advance what they're proposing. However, I really
don't know whether this comes with our coverage area

in that it seems like it's more of a municipal jurisdiction rather than amusement or entertainment ride or device. Just my thinking.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want to answer this. CHAIR: definition of an amusement attraction versus a ride. So ride is a set course where you'll be moved and an attraction is a set course where somebody is traversing. So where they have a structure temporary or permit, it falls into the general oversight and purview of amusement attraction. The outdoor one where people walk freely without a set course, a temporary or permanent structure, we have nothing to do with but we appreciate the voluntary compliance with all that. But when you set a structure, even if it's temporary pavilion that you transform into an event - we work in conjunction with so our law meets right up against zoning and building requirements and we do the additional requirement as an amusement attraction.

MR. HALL: I don't want to argue the point, but I'm just bringing it up. They told us they already been in touch or had discussion with Derry Township officials. And my thought, do we approve lights on houses, last walkthrough, we do that, right? Well maybe that's similar to what

they're doing here. So you've answered my question.

Thanks.

2.

MR. REBBIE: Do you have any video surveillance system throughout the place and command center - several people watching?

MR. KIRKLAND: Yes. We'll have cameras throughout all the houses. And they benefit - we hear that from every operator. Cameras are in place, we monitor the event. That's one of our opportunities to catch guests all the time. We'll have cameras onsite for sure.

CHAIR: Sell those pictures. I love - have you ever seen - get scared. You've got that snapshot moment. You're not doing that yet, you're missing out. Go to the penitentiary. They've got that down to a science, they catch you at the worst possible moment.

MR. KIRKLAND: We're going through a lot of that an awful lot. We also have a training program which starts Saturday. Every attraction attendant will have to be certified before they work. And we're going to have practice, practice, practice, because I for one have had - probably get tired of hearing it but I want to know who's doing what, and who's the last person sweeping that

building. There's always somebody that's got to sweep. So that's very important -. And do they know their job, is it getting done. We got to practice.

<u>CHAIR:</u> I look like I am available Thursday, maybe Wednesday evening.

MR. FILOROMO: The 17th, right?

CHAIR: 17th, Joe. We'll take a look at it, not because you're Hershey but because we do that for anyone. We'll let you know if we see anything. Sounds like you've addressed everything except for the photo -. Board members, any questions to make their product better? Brad, I know you have more than a lot of experience. We'll let you know how it goes.

MR. KIRKLAND: You are all more than welcome at any time. I'm sure you know that.

I'd like to get ahead of the game on something with you, sir, if I could. One more thing. I'm sure there's been word around and nothing has been released, you will be getting a submission for a major modification to one of our attractions.

CHAIR: You can name it nameless. We love rumors and innuendos. There's a lot of people

coming to your park for their very last ride that will be really surprised next spring. We are duly notified.

MR. KIRKLAND: Yes. Thanks.

CHAIR: Okay, Mr. Swika?

MR. SWIKA: Okay by me.

CHAIR: We're going to move to Board Member Comments. Any questions? Let me look around the room and on the phone. Mr. Hall, would you like to start us off?

MR. HALL: My comment concerns the travel expense voucher that we received today and we did not receive one for our meeting in the spring, or at least I didn't.

CHAIR: We can always provide you a second copy for remission of those receipts. I had made an error in that I did not let everyone know that you can just submit receipts to me and I can submit them with notations, even the ones from the previous meeting, and I can submit them on your behalf.

MR. HALL: I don't want to make this personal but maybe it relates somewhat to other people. For example, it says \$53.50 for this particular one. I got a bill of \$42 for the

Turnpike when I was here in the spring. And I went through - I didn't get a receipt but I know what you have to do to get a receipt, you go to the Turnpike Commission. So it's a big headache. And how do you suggest we handle that?

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} \hline $CHAIR:$ I can handle that with you. \\ \hline $MR.$ HALL: That's what you told me \\ \hline $before. \end{tabular}$

CHAIR: Before you go I'll get a signature on a piece of - there's a document for a missing receipt so Commonwealth for travelers doesn't allow - they need a document, even if it's a standard form I lost my receipt. And they say you have to make an exorbitant effort to get that receipt.

 $$\underline{\text{MR. HALL:}}$$ That's my Board comment for today. Thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you, sir. Those on the phone or those present, any additional comments from Board members? I had one last question for the Board members before we ask for a motion for an adjournment. Another meeting this year or when is the Board available the first part of next year? That's two separate questions regarding the same conversation. Should we have another one this year

and if we do, when? If not, we should have one immediately into next year.

So I'm interested to hear conversation so we can start looking at dates. What happened over the summer - and that is not an excuse but just information. This room, which is typically the only one that functions for us as the other ones are too small, was occupied as an operations center for the highpath outbreak from early May through the beginning of August this year so we could not operate out of here. We could have done a completely virtual meeting, meaning we set it up on And after speaking to some of the members, TEAMS. that's not something that everybody is interested in as there's more benefit in seeing folks so that's why we delayed having this meeting longer than we typically would.

I apologize and appreciate the Board's efforts. So any Board members with an opinion on having another one this year or having it sometime early next year, and if so, when?

MR. DIDOMIZIO: Mr. Chairman, if I

may?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

CHAIR: Of course, Mr. DiDomizio.

MR. DIDOMIZIO: First of all, I think

you've made tremendous stride in the improvements in the meeting room. It wasn't that long ago it was hard to get a conference telephone call and now we're seeing the meetings - and this is great. So with that in mind, it also leads up to the possibility of having meetings during months - hazardous for traveling for those that come then.

So the question is when an application comes in - the folks at Kennywood, it should be ready fairly soon and, of course, the requisite 90-day period, they'd like to get moving as expeditiously as possible. Would it make sense to set a time that a submission made today could possibly be ready for approval at the next meeting. I know you guys do what you can to try to get them in as quickly as possible. But with available technology we have the ability to do that. I would like to tell my clients we do require 90 days but I can't really tell you when it might get reviewed and ready for approval. Of course, we want enough time to review this, look over it. So any thoughts on any regularity to the meeting is my question.

CHAIR: Very important, Board members, any conversation on Mr. DiDomizio's -? I have an opinion but that's just an opinion. Then I'll offer

my position.

We are willing and prepared to have meetings based on availability of technology of members with the understanding that we want to have enough information on the agenda to make it worth the Board member's time which is why the Bureau issues tentative approval to gather information to give to the Board. The Board has an advisory committee that provides that extra layer of review to make sure that we're seeing everything that that individual subject matter expertise allows.

So it is not a requirement for operation, right? We don't delay an approval. We just ensure that approvals come before the Board because that's what the Board is for, right, they add that extra layer of expertise to make sure the submissions are the best they can be. So I would love to tell you that we should have it four times a year but if we don't get anything in and submitted to us that is worth the Board's attention, is one ride enough to bring the Board together in person, or do we offer virtual?

We can always upload new information for review by Board members, but does that mean we have to convene a Board to officially have this

discussion? No, it does not. So I would argue that twice a year is the minimum and three times a year or four times a year as necessary at the discretion of the Board members. If you guys want to meet four or five times a year, we'll figure that out. But we should meet at least twice a year just for housekeeping if nothing else. But we always will provide Board members what has been submitted to us to get your specific expertise and review as I would argue Kalahari and some of those other ones that you yourselves have reviewed. That's really at the discretion of the Board. But I have to say Board members have to agree and be available, then we have to schedule all of this.

But there's nothing that says we can't do this all virtually if that's something you're all comfortable with which means you're provided the material for review, have a conference call or a video conference and then we still have to allow the public to participate, right, so we still have to Sunshine the meeting of the Board. So I can't just do a conference call whenever I want if the Board is conducting activity. I want to get your opinion individually but we can get together and consult as a Board. It has to be Sunshined. So that's my only

stipulation. So again, that's at the discretion of the Board. I believe if you want my opinion that we should look for the next Board meeting after the first of the year and what you all believe your availability is. And then you can decide whether virtual is more appropriate based on circumstances. That's my opinion. How say the board if you have any comments?

2.4

I have a lot of nods of affirmation here. So here's my suggestion, Joe, Yvonne and I will search dates to circulate and I would say they would be late January or February dates. If we can get a consensus of Board members for some of those dates and we'll leave all days of the week open. If our Board members say they want to appear in person that's their preference. We can look for a March or April date if that's preferential because I would hate to put anybody in a hazard of being on the road if their preference is in person.

So let us circulate some dates for early next year, and then we'll go from there. But that's my intent, at least twice a year, three or four times a year if we have a lot to go over and the Board feels there is something to it.

MR. HALL: Before the pandemic

affected us and if I recall we used to have four meetings a year, January, sometimes over at the Hershey Exhibition Center when they had the Association meeting. April, July and then October. When I first joined this group back in 1992 we had one meeting a year and we spent all day up here. It's not doable in a sense as far as, you know, your endurance and being sharp and wanting to listen.

Then they expanded to two meetings a year, and that was not sufficient either. It was just too much to approve or go over. And I think four meetings a year has proven to be pretty effective. But you know, if you don't have a number of ride approvals, I think you have to play it by ear and see where we go from there. But I would not like to see us go back to two or one meeting a year. My thoughts.

CHAIR: Understood and appreciated. I agree, as long as there's items to review then we should absolutely establish as Mr. DiDomizio was saying a 90-day cycle if there's enough for the Board to review. So again we'll circulate dates for early next year and see if we can get a quorum of members. Hopefully we'll get an update on some of the members - the potential to add an additional

```
1
    position on the Board to represent an emerging
2
    market for us that we never contemplated before.
    All good direction for the Board, and we appreciate
3
4
    it. Any other comments before I ask for
5
    adjournment. Is there a motion to adjourn?
                   MR. REBBIE:
                                 Motion.
6
7
                            Is there a seconded?
                   CHAIR:
                                                   All in
8
    favor of adjournment?
9
    ALL RESPOND AYE
10
                   CHAIR: We are adjourned. Thank you,
11
    gentlemen.
12
13
14
               MEETING CONCLUDED AT 12:39 P.M.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, hearing held before Acting Chair Remmert, was reported by me on September 8, 2022 and that I, Derek Richmond, read this transcript, and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Date the 13 day of October, 2022

Derek Richmond,

Court Reporter